Criteria to Ensure the Quality of the Evaluation Report

A Mandatory Reference for ADS Chapter 201
Pursuant to 201.3.5.17, draft evaluation reports must undergo a peer review organized by the office managing the evaluation. The following criteria should serve as the basis against which the report is reviewed. To help ensure a high-quality evaluation report, these criteria must be included in the evaluation Statement of Work to communicate to evaluators USAID’s quality criteria.

- Evaluation reports should represent a thoughtful, well-researched, and well-organized effort to objectively evaluate the strategy, project, or activity.

- Evaluation reports should be readily understood and should identify key points clearly, distinctly, and succinctly.

- The Executive Summary of an evaluation report should present a concise and accurate statement of the most critical elements of the report.

- Evaluation reports should adequately address all evaluation questions included in the SOW, or the evaluation questions subsequently revised and documented in consultation and agreement with USAID.

- Evaluation methodology should be explained in detail and sources of information properly identified.

- Limitations to the evaluation should be adequately disclosed in the report, with particular attention to the limitations associated with the evaluation methodology (selection bias, recall bias, unobservable differences between comparator groups, etc.).

- Evaluation findings should be presented as analyzed facts, evidence, and data and not based on anecdotes, hearsay, or simply the compilation of people’s opinions.

- Findings and conclusions should be specific, concise, and supported by strong quantitative or qualitative evidence.

- If evaluation findings assess person-level outcomes or impact, they should also be separately assessed for both males and females.

- If recommendations are included, they should be supported by a specific set of findings and should be action-oriented, practical, and specific.