“As we listen to some of the most thoughtful voices around the world, over and over again we hear the need to be independent, the need to be self-reliant. That's the spirit that has led USAID to craft a framework we call the Journey to Self-Reliance.”

Mark Green
USAID Administrator

Analysis of Service Contract Inventory for FY 2018
INTRODUCTION

In support of U.S. foreign policy, the U.S. Agency for International Development’s (USAID) mission and vision is to end the need for foreign assistance by partnering with countries along their Journeys to Self-Reliance. Our assistance model emphasizes programs, initiatives, and investments that support human dignity and build on the innate desire of every community and country to shape their own bright future.

Pursuant to Section 743 of Division C of the Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act, P.L. 111-117, civilian agencies are required to prepare an annual inventory of service contract actions.

As required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB), USAID submits this report for public disclosure on its analysis of the FY 2018 service contract inventory to determine if contract labor is being used in an appropriate and effective manner. Further, the report includes analysis of whether the mix of Federal employees and contractors in the Agency is effectively balanced.

The analysis provides a listing of the required service contracts with contract-related information as required in OMB’s Office of Federal Procurement Policy’s (OFPP) guidance. The report is divided into six main parts:

1) Special Interest Functions Studied
   Summarizes the special interest functions studied, the dollars obligated to those specific Product Service Codes (PSCs) in FY 2018, and the rationale for focusing on the identified functions.

2) Methodology
   Discusses the methodology used to select questionnaire participants and develop the questions included.

3) Findings
   Reports the questionnaire results and draws conclusions based on these results. These findings explain the use of the various PSCs and the questionnaire-specific elements.

4) Actions Taken or Planned
   Outlines related Agency contract initiatives that provide increased oversight and scrutiny for contract activities ranging from a re-examination of contract coding to Agency Acquisition and Assistance (A&A) planning.

5) Accountable Official
   Identifies the Agency’s accountable official and provides a brief description of the respective role.

6) Selected Product Service Codes for Planned Analysis of the FY 2019 Inventory
   Identifies the special interest functions to be analyzed for its FY 2019 Service Contract Inventory Analysis.

SPECIAL INTEREST FUNCTIONS STUDIED

During FY 2018, USAID had a total of 1,390 service contract actions in all PSCs with a total obligation value of $13,286,441,034. A detailed description of each service can be found in Table 1: PSC Category Descriptions for Contract Actions Analyzed.

In accordance with guidelines from the OFPP, this analysis focuses on contract actions over $150,000 in “special interest function (SIFs)” PSCs. As required by OMB’s Memorandum regarding Service Contract Inventories, dated December 19, 2011, USAID selected Product Service Codes (PSCs) that have the
largest percentage of obligations for services and a large cadre of contract actions for evaluation. Additionally, the selected PSCs cover critical technical functions in USAID.

During FY 2018, USAID performed contract actions in nine SIFs PSCs. The PSCs with the largest contract actions include R408-Support-Professional: Program Management/Support Services; R410-Support-Professional: Program Evaluation/Review/Development; R425-Support-Professional: Engineering/Technical; R497-Support-Professional: Personal Service Contracts; R499-Support-Professional: Other; and, R707-Support-Management: Contract/Procurement/Acquisition Support. The PSCs with the lowest contract actions include AA36-R&D-Agriculture: Production (Management, Support); R418-Support-Professional: Legal; and, R422-Support-Professional: Market Research/Public Opinion. In FY 2018, USAID had a total of 875 contract actions with an individual obligation greater than $150,000 in the nine SIFs categories. The total value of these contract actions was $10,678,743,622, including all actions for any active contract in FY 2018 in these categories.

Personal service codes R499-Other Professional Services and R425-Engineering and Technical Services account for the largest percentages of obligation-value during the reporting period. The cumulative value of service contract actions in these two PSCs was $7,848,034,832. Like the special interest functions, each contract action had a minimum value above $150,000.

The Agency assessed the largest contract actions, a total of six PSCs, with a total of 871 contract actions. The combined award value total was $10,636,182,193. This subset of contracts represents 63 percent of the Agency’s total service-contract inventory, and 80 percent of the obligation value.

Table 1: PSC Category Descriptions for Contract Actions Analyzed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC Category Descriptions</th>
<th>Special Interest Functions</th>
<th>Detailed Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R408 Support-Professional: Program Management / Support Services</td>
<td>Wide-ranging services and support from administrative and analytic support including support to evaluate internal controls, manage audit compliance, and track audit recommendations to provide project management oversight to ensure grantee compliance in administering federally-funded programs. A large portion of these services are also for Information Technology (IT) related purchases and procurements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R410 Support-Professional: Program Evaluation / Review / Development</td>
<td>Program management support; contract, procurement, acquisition support.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R425 Support-Professional: Engineering/Technical</td>
<td>Product or service that provides engineering and technical support, including technical support, capacity building, monitoring and evaluation, training, construction, and commodities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R497 Support-Professional: Personal Service Contracts</td>
<td>Personnel support to fulfill a range of requirements, including surge and short term assignments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R499 Support-Professional: Other</td>
<td>Expert advice, assistance, guidance or counseling in support of Agency management, organization, and business improvement effort.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R707 Support-Management: Contract / Procurement / Acquisition Support</td>
<td>Professional support services to the Agency in conducting federal acquisition management activities. Services covered are acquisition planning assistance, including market research and recommending procurement strategy; acquisition document development, including cost/price estimates, quality assurance surveillance plans, statements of work, synopses, solicitations, and price negotiations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 summarizes the inventory subset that was analyzed. The percentages reported in this table are the percentages of the Agency’s total service contract inventory, which includes all PSCs.

Table 2: Summary of the Six PSCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Contract Spending</th>
<th>Percentage of All PSC Spending</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage of All PSCs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Special Interest Functions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R408</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Program Management / Support Services</td>
<td>$2,171,997,360</td>
<td>16.35%</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>13.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R410</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Program Evaluation / Review / Development</td>
<td>$111,794,525</td>
<td>0.84%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R425</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Engineering/Technical</td>
<td>$4,283,779,901</td>
<td>32.24%</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>10.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R497</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Personal Service Contracts</td>
<td>$194,758,364</td>
<td>1.47%</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>12.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R499</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Other</td>
<td>$3,564,254,931</td>
<td>26.83%</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>21.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R707</td>
<td>Support-Management: Contract / Procurement / Acquisition Support</td>
<td>$309,597,112</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of Six Product Service Codes</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,636,182,193</strong></td>
<td><strong>80.06%</strong></td>
<td><strong>871</strong></td>
<td><strong>62.66%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: The total obligation value is $13,286,441,034, and includes contract actions in all PSCs included and excluded from this table.

METHODOLOGY

For this analysis, the Agency used a combination of methods and tools to collect data, including information from the Federal Procurement Data System – Next Generation (FPDS NG) and a questionnaire distributed to USAID’s Contracting Officer’s Representatives (COR) to determine whether specific contract policies and procedures are in place.

Methodology for Survey Distribution and Collection

*Developing the Contract Actions Sample*

Beginning with the original population of contract actions in all PSC categories, the Agency first filtered the inventory to include only those with a place of performance in the United States. USAID’s Management-Support Service Contracts (MSSCs) are predominantly performed in the United States, which makes this a representative sample. Second, the Agency then filtered the data to include only one contract action per contract, which eliminates the possibility of analyzing one contract multiple times. The Agency then sent the survey to all CORs who oversaw at least one contract action within the six PSC categories. After collecting the survey responses, the Agency then filtered the results further to exclude any data that pertained to contract actions not in the six PSC categories.

In the six PSC categories, the USAID database recorded a total of 871 contract actions. Of those, the Agency included 745 in the survey sample. The Agency ultimately received survey responses regarding 144 contract actions in the six PSC categories.

In accordance with OMB guidelines, contracted professional and management services and information technology support services received priority consideration, to ensure they were adequately represented in the sample. Review of professional and management services included acquisition support and an appropriate sampling of policy and program-management and development services.
Survey and Interview Questions

The survey was distributed to all USAID staff who were CORs for at least one contract in the six PSCs in FY 2018, and were still at the Agency at the time the survey was administered and available by email.

The questions included in the survey directly addressed the OMB mandate. The questions were as follows:

1. Were each of the service contracts in your purview entered into and performed in accordance with applicable laws and regulations?
2. Were any service contracts in your purview poorly performed because of excessive costs or inferior quality?
3. Should any service contracts in your purview have been considered for the conversion of performance by direct hire employees?
4. Should any service contracts in your purview have been considered for the conversion of an alternative acquisition approach that would better enable USAID to efficiently utilize its assets and achieve its public mission?
5. Do sufficient internal Agency resources exist to manage and oversee service contracts effectively?
6. Excluding PSCs, were any of the contractors providing inherently governmental services for any of the contracts in your purview?
7. Do you believe the Agency was giving special management attention to functions that are closely associated with inherently governmental functions?
8. Excluding PSCs, does the Agency have specific safeguards and monitoring systems in place to ensure that work being performed by contractors has not changed or expanded during performance to become an inherently governmental function?
9. Excluding PSCs, were any of the service contracts in your purview using contractor employees to perform critical functions in such a way that could affect the ability of the Agency to maintain control of its mission and operations?
10. Were there any additional contracted functions in your purview where the Agency may be at risk of over reliance of service contractors?

FINDINGS

The Agency examined 745 contract actions in the qualitative portion of the analysis. In the quantitative portion of the survey, the Agency analyzed 144 contract actions (19 percent) in the six PSC categories for FY 2018. Out of the 611 CORs surveyed, a total of 114 CORs responded, which gave the Agency a response rate of 19 percent. The Agency extrapolated the following measurements from the survey’s results, along with information reported in the contract database. Please note some respondents did not respond to every question in the survey. A summary of the analysis appears below:

- The Agency identified 99 percent of service contract actions, including personal services contracts, as having been entered into and/or performed in accordance with applicable Federal and Agency-level statues and regulations.
- The Agency identified two contract actions as having been poorly performed due to excessive costs or inferior quality, meaning that 98.58 percent of contract actions Agency wide were identified as not having been performed poorly.
• The Agency identified one service contract action that should be considered for the conversion of performance by direct hire employees.

• The Agency identified two service contract actions for consideration for the conversion of an alternative acquisition approach that would better enable USAID to efficiently utilize its assets and achieve its public mission.

• The Agency identified one contract action as using contractor employees to perform critical functions in such a way that could affect the ability of the agency to maintain control of its mission and operations.

• The Agency identified three contract actions where the Agency may be at risk of over-reliance of service contractors.

• Eighty three of the 103 survey respondents, or 81 percent, feel that sufficient internal Agency resources exist to manage and oversee service contracts effectively.

• The Agency identified zero contract actions where inherently governmental functions were performed. Consistent with OFPP Policy Letter 93-1, personal service contractors (PSC category R497) were excluded from this response.

• Thirty-nine of the 60 respondents, or 65 percent, believe the Agency was giving special management attention to functions that are closely associated with inherently governmental functions.

• Seventy-eight of the 84 respondents, or 93 percent, believe the Agency has specific safeguards and monitoring systems in place to ensure that work performed by contractors has not changed or expanded during performance to become an inherently governmental function.

Agency Success

From the analysis undertaken, the Agency is using contract labor in an appropriate and effective manner, and that it is balancing the mix of Federal employees and contractors in the Agency effectively. The Agency has determined that service contracts are highly compliant in most areas, and that it enters into contracts, and sees them performed, generally according to applicable laws. The results further indicate that the quality and cost of the contracts are acceptable for Federal performance standards.

Areas for Improvement

The areas identified as needing improvement are concerning sufficient internal Agency resources and oversight. As detailed below, the Agency is taking measures to ensure that sufficient resources exist to manage and oversee the service contracts effectively, particularly for contracts that could implicate inherently governmental functions.

ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED

Given the Administrator’s commitment to improve the Agency’s use of contractors, USAID has undertaken several actions to ensure contracted functions are appropriate. Key initiatives that provide increased oversight and scrutiny for contract activities are part of the Agency’s Acquisition and Assistance (A&A) Planning Policy. These initiatives ensure workforce recruitment, retention, and
procurement processes provide sufficient oversight of contractors’ performance. A description of these actions is detailed below.

**Senior Management Review of Planned A&A Awards**

To carry out the Agency’s mission to end the need for foreign assistance by strengthening self-reliance in partner countries, while advancing U.S. national security and prosperity, USAID invests in organizations from the non-profit and private sectors to implement programs aimed at achieving the Agency’s development and humanitarian objectives.

Historically, USAID’s A&A mechanisms have represented over three fourths of the Agency’s annual spend plan. During the past fiscal year, USAID obligated $17 billion through 23,309 acquisition and assistance (A&A) actions. Given the central role A&A has in terms of executing the mission of the Agency, the Bureau for Management (M) is committed to look for ways to continue to streamline the A&A process and increase efficiency and effectiveness.

USAID started an ambitious effort in 2017 to transform the way it does business. The Transformation effort was informed by the intent to improve how the Agency manages its core A&A business functions and maximize the full potential of our partnerships. In an effort to take on this challenge, the Effective Partnering and Procurement Reform (EPPR) initiative was established. The goal of EPPR is to develop and implement a series of reforms to the Agency’s program design and procurement processes aimed at fostering self-reliance.

To kick-start EPPR, USAID engaged in an external listening tour (ELT) with a diverse range of outside stakeholders. The goal of the ELT was to consult with our implementing partners and other experts from across the development spectrum to receive and incorporate into the reforms lessons learned and recommendations related to USAID’s design and procurement processes. In total, more than 250 individuals from USAID’s partner community participated in the ELT.

In addition to the ELT, the Agency stood-up four cross-Agency working groups that included more than 100 staff from the field and Washington. The working groups were responsible for developing reform recommendations. As a part of this process, the working groups reviewed the ELT report, Office of the Inspector General (OIG) reports, Agency Policies, discussion notes, and other relevant documents. Through the efforts of the ELT and the EPPR working groups, the Agency will develop its first-ever A&A Strategy as well as an EPPR Implementation Plan.

Another transformation in FY 2018 was the Agency’s revision of ADS 300 relating to senior leadership reviews of A&A actions. The previous AARAD process is now the Senior Obligation Alignment Review, (SOAR). The SOAR process incorporates many ideas that are part of the Administrator’s vision, including expanding opportunities for competition, enhancing collaboration in the designs, and helping our partner countries on their development journeys from recipient to donor.

**Past Performance Reporting**

USAID has been working diligently in FY 2018 to meet contractor past performance reporting goals as set by OMB. Past performance reporting is critical and will remain an integral and expected part of the Agency’s contractual process. The Agency requires all Contracting Officers (CO) and CORs to complete substantive assessments on prior year contracts with detailed justification for the rankings.

In FY 2018, USAID intensified its focus on past performance reporting through extensive policy updates, more rigorous training requirements, and increased engagement with the COs, CORs, and Agency leadership who together have been key to the Agency’s past successes in this area. By fully integrating
past performance reporting into its regular business practices, the Agency will empower its COs with the information necessary to ensure the selection of the best possible partners to accomplish its mission.

Planning for Human Capital
The Agency’s goals and objectives for human capital (HC) cascade from the USAID and Department of State Joint Strategic Plan, the performance-planning process, and USAID’s Strategic Budget. The Agency then integrates these HC goals into its strategic workforce planning, which informs all aspects of the talent cycle, such as recruitment, hiring, training and education. In 2018, the Agency achieved progress in talent management by modernizing its performance-management system for the USAID Foreign Service (FS), launching a new FS bidding and assignment tool, further developing the employee portal called LaunchPad for HR, and establishing a new data warehouse. The modernized performance management system emphasizes ongoing and regular performance conversations, allows for greater accountability, and bolsters career development. The new bidding and assignment tool dramatically facilitate the movements of one third of the Foreign Service annually. The timesaving LaunchPad portal gives employees 24-hour access to their personnel data empowering employees and supervisors to make necessary adjustments to their personnel records online. The new data warehouse, a key foundational step in strengthening the Agency’s comprehensive and strategic workforce planning, pulls together and facilitates the analysis of workforce data from multiple systems. These systems give our workforce a modern experience that builds trust in the Agency and, importantly, consumes less staff time.

In FY 2019, USAID will continue to cultivate and effectively support a more agile and mobile workforce with the ability to work anywhere, anytime, under any conditions. USAID will continue to develop and improve upon its new performance management system, bidding and assignment tool, data warehouse, and employee portals to enable employees across the Agency to find, share, and use relevant knowledge more easily.

ACCOUNTABLE OFFICIAL
In accordance with GAO report “Civilian Service Contract Inventories – Opportunities Exist to Improve Agency Reporting and Review Efforts” (GAO-12-1007) and as directed by OMB to improve how compliance with statutory and OMB requirements are monitored, USAID has designated the Assistant Administrator, Bureau for Management, Frederick Nutt, as the accountable official for ensuring appropriate internal management attention and responsiveness. The designated official’s role is to improve civilian agency compliance for compiling, reviewing, and reporting on inventories, ensuring appropriate internal management attention and responsiveness in the future.

SELECTED PRODUCT SERVICE CODES FOR PLANNED ANALYSIS OF THE FY 2019 INVENTORY
USAID has selected the following special interest functions for its FY 2019 Service Contract Inventory Analysis. The Product Service Codes (PSCs) selected have the largest percentage of obligations for services and a large cadre of contract actions for evaluation. Additionally, the selected PSCs cover critical technical functions in USAID. This analysis would strengthen our operations management platform and Transformation to support country partners on their journey to self-reliance.
Table 3: PSC Codes for Planned Analysis of the FY2019 Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Service Code</th>
<th>Special Interest Functions</th>
<th>PSC Category Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R408</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Program Management / Support Services</td>
<td>Wide-ranging services and support from administrative and analytic support including support to evaluate internal controls, manage audit compliance, and track audit recommendations to provide project management oversight to ensure grantee compliance in administering federally-funded programs. A large portion of these projects are also for Information Technology (IT) related purchases and procurements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R410</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Program Evaluation / Review / Development</td>
<td>Program management support; contract, procurement, acquisition support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R425</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Engineering/Technical</td>
<td>Product or service that provides engineering and technical support, including technical support, capacity building, monitoring and evaluation, training, construction, and commodities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R497</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Personal Service Contracts</td>
<td>Personnel support to fulfill a range of requirements, including surge and short term assignments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R499</td>
<td>Support-Professional: Other</td>
<td>Expert advice, assistance, guidance or counseling in support of Agency management, organization, and business improvement effort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R707</td>
<td>Support-Management: Contract / Procurement / Acquisition Support</td>
<td>Professional support services to the Agency in conducting federal acquisition management activities. Services covered are acquisition planning assistance, including market research and recommending procurement strategy; acquisition document development, including cost/price estimates, quality assurance surveillance plans, statements of work, synopses, solicitations, and price negotiations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION
USAID is highly compliant in overseeing management-service contracts. With the exception of a very small percentage of contract actions, Agency CORs report positively on compliance, performance, and management standards. The Agency is using contract labor in an appropriate and effective manner, and is balancing its mix of Federal employees and contractors effectively. The Agency will continue its focus on improving its internal controls to ensure adequate oversight of contracts with inherently governmental functions.