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SECTION A. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This funding opportunity is authorized under the Food for Peace Act (FFP Act) or Foreign Assistance Act (FAA) of 1961, as amended.

1. Authorities

Title II of the FFP Act authorizes the USAID Administrator to establish programs to provide agricultural commodities to foreign countries on behalf of the people of the United States and to provide assistance to address famine and food crises, and respond to emergency food needs, arising from man-made and natural disasters; combat malnutrition, especially in children and mothers; carry out activities that attempt to alleviate the causes of hunger, mortality and morbidity; promote economic and community development; promote food security and support sound environmental practices; carry out feeding programs; and build resilience to mitigate and prevent food crises and reduce the future need for emergency aid. The preponderance of Title II resources must be used to fund U.S. in-kind assistance interventions, under which the USG procures commodities from U.S. producers and then provides the commodities and associated costs to an implementing agency for use in programs to enhance the food security of vulnerable populations.

The Agricultural Act of 2014 amended Section 202(e) of the FFP Act to allow Title II funds to be used to establish and enhance Title II programs, which represents an expansion over prior statutory authority. Thus, a portion of FFP’s Title II resources may be used to enhance Title II in-kind program activities, including with cash transfers, food vouchers, local, regional, and international procurement (LRIP) and Essential Complementary Activities1 (interventions) linked to the provision of food assistance and integral to the achievement of desired food and nutrition security outcomes. The Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018 further clarified the uses of Internal Transport, Storage and Handling funds. For more information on Title II Section 202e and ITSH resources, please use this link for the most up-to-date information bulletins.

The FAA authorizes the provision of international disaster relief, rehabilitation, and preparedness, through the International Disaster Assistance (IDA) account. Section 7 of the Global Food Security Act of 2016 amended the FAA of 1961 and authorized the emergency food security program (EFSP) within the IDA account to provide emergency food assistance, including in the form of funds, transfers, vouchers, and agricultural commodities acquired through LRIP, to meet emergency needs arising from manmade and natural disasters. FFP relies on the IDA authority, including the EFSP authority, to provide market-based food assistance, which includes LRIP, and other interventions such as food vouchers and cash transfers to facilitate the availability of and/or access to food. For the purposes of this APS, market-based food assistance refers to those modalities which utilize and reinforce existing market systems within a country or within the region.

1 See page 7 for definition.
2. Funding Priorities

FFP’s Mission is to reduce hunger and malnutrition and ensure that adequate, safe and nutritious food is available to, accessible to, and well-utilized by all individuals at all times to support a healthy and productive life. Through its emergency awards, FFP provides food assistance to save lives, reduce suffering, and support the early recovery of populations affected by both acute and protracted emergencies.

FFP responds to emergency situations, or crises, where the food supply is severely disrupted and populations lack access to sufficient food through normally available means such as production, barter, or purchase in markets. Such situations may involve drought, floods, earthquakes, and/or civil conflict. An economic shock such as a rise in food prices due to global price increases, or reduced remittances due to a recession, will be considered an aggravating rather than primary factor for determining eligibility for emergency food assistance.

FFP’s 2016–2025 Food Assistance and Food Security Strategy acknowledges that to achieve stable availability of, access to, and utilization of food, action/change is necessary at both an individual and systems level. In some contexts, FFP recognizes that recovery from food crises at both levels can require years due to recurring shocks, compounded shocks, and conflict. Multi-year emergency programming can serve a role in reinforcing household, community, and national capacities eroded by crises; strengthening local systems; and supporting communities’ ability to weather future shocks on the Journey to Self-Reliance. FFP determines suitability of multi-year funding on a country-by-country basis.

FFP expects all awardees to uphold the following principles:

- Do no harm in the process of providing food or other food assistance resources;
- Strive to keep the interests and voice of the vulnerable at the center of our work;
- Adhere to the highest standards of human rights, respect and dignity in our provision of assistance;
- Provide access to food to those in greatest need in an impartial manner, without bias or prejudice; and
- Enable communities to find durable means to meet their own food security needs.

FFP will consult with the Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA) in evaluating and making final determinations on applications that propose modalities and/or Essential Complementary Activities outside of the food security and nutrition sectors, and/or on those that propose multipurpose cash assistance. For applications that propose Essential Complementary Activities outside of the food assistance and nutrition sectors, the OFDA Guidelines for Proposals will be used as the technical standards for application evaluation.
3. Eligible Food Security and Nutrition Responses

The Grand Bargain, launched during the World Humanitarian Summit in May 2016, is an agreement between donors and humanitarian agencies to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of humanitarian assistance. One of the Grand Bargain workstreams is focused on promoting an increase in multi-year emergency planning and funding. The workstream notes that multi-year emergency funding may be appropriate where humanitarian needs are protracted or recurrent and where livelihood needs and local markets can be analyzed and monitored. Multi-year, incrementally funded emergency awards may also reduce administrative costs for both donors and implementing organizations.

NOTE: This APS represents a pilot for multi-year international emergency food assistance.

FFP defines three categories of emergency interventions eligible for funding under this APS for multi-year emergency awards:

- **Recovery** - Activities implemented for a specified, appropriate timeframe that assist populations recovering from an identifiable shock and, if possible, place them on a trajectory that facilitates their return to at least a subsistence level and capable of participating in development activities.

- **Protracted Crisis** - Activities that meet the food security needs of populations in those environments in which a significant proportion of the population is acutely vulnerable to death, disease, and disruption of livelihoods over a prolonged period of time.

- **Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)** - Activities that improve food security by strengthening people’s capacity to anticipate, cope with, and recover from future shocks and stresses. FFP supports activities that are either specifically designed to reduce risk as stand-alone DRR activities, or integrated with recovery/protracted crisis interventions.

Recovery, Protracted Crisis, or DRR food security interventions supported under this APS may also mitigate the impact of shocks, prevent the erosion of household assets and livelihoods, and accelerate recovery—contributing to the future resilience\(^2\) of affected households and communities.

---

\(^2\) Per the *U.S. Government Global Food Security Strategy FY 2017-2021*, FFP uses the following definition for resilience: “the ability of people, households, communities, countries, and systems to reduce, mitigate, adapt to, and recover from shocks and stresses to food security in a manner that reduces chronic vulnerability and facilitates inclusive growth”.
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4. Eligible Modalities

A. Food Transfer Modalities

Under this APS, FFP has identified a number of standard food assistance transfer modalities for use in implementing activities to achieve food security and nutrition objectives in recovery, protracted crisis, or DRR contexts. These modalities are listed below. FFP encourages partners to consider whichever modality or combination of modalities is best suited to the emergency context. FFP recognizes that emergency circumstances may require flexibility to switch between approved modalities over the life of the award. See section D in the activity design and description section for details on how flexibility can be included in the Program Description of the award.

- **Local procurement** – the targeted provision of food or specialized nutritious foods procured within a country affected by an emergency.
- **Regional procurement** – the targeted provision of food or specialized nutritious foods procured from a country that is within the same continent.
- **International procurement** - the targeted provision of food or specialized nutritious foods procured from a country that is not located within the same continent as the country in which the commodities will be used.

International procurement will only be approved under rare circumstances in which neither local/regional markets nor U.S. in-kind food are sufficient to meet the needs in an urgent crisis and will require specific justification.

**Note:** FFP prioritizes procurement in developing countries. Consequently, for all local, regional and international procurements, country of source and country of origin must be included within the “Lower Middle Income Countries and Territories” level or below on the Official Development Assistance (ODA) recipients list of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development’s (OECD) Development Assistance Committee (DAC) unless the country in which the commodities are to be procured is itself impacted by the same crisis. A commodity’s source is the country from which the seller ships the commodity, and origin is the country in which the commodity was produced. See FFP website for further details on FFP’s policy on source and origin for LRIP.

Any deviation from this requirement should be explicitly identified in the application with justification for the requested waiver.

- **Title II U.S. in-kind food aid** – the targeted provision of U.S. food commodities procured through the regular ordering process or drawn from pre-positioned stocks, as determined by FFP, with associated costs for distribution, transport and storage to food insecure participants in the affected country.
Note: For U.S. in-kind food aid, applicants must request commodities on FFP's commodity price list. Note that specialized food aid commodities, such as ready to use foods (RUF) require specifically tailored interventions. Requests for these specialized commodities will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

- **Food vouchers** - the targeted provision of vouchers that are redeemable for food to participants who are affected by an emergency and who lack economic access to food stocks that are readily available in the local markets of the affected country.

- **Cash transfers** - the targeted provision of money to participants who lack economic access to food stocks that are readily available in the local markets of the affected country. See the “Essential Complementary Activities” section for details on multipurpose cash assistance.

- **Market strengthening** - assistance provided to improve a crisis-affected group’s situation by providing support to a critical market system they rely on, often utilizing a transfer modality as outlined above, but not necessarily at the household level. Support can be provided to market actors, market infrastructures and services, or market environment. Applications proposing market strengthening support must include methods to measure food security related outcomes for the emergency-affected population. Market strengthening is considered a food assistance transfer modality. Market development (i.e., activities intended primarily to promote market linkages that did not exist pre-crisis) will generally be considered outside the scope of emergency response.

On an exceptional basis, applicants may propose a Title II funded market access program activity for review and consideration. For additional information on market access programs, see the [Market Assistance Program Field Manual](#).

**B. Essential Complementary Activities**

“Essential Complementary Activities”, as described in this APS, are discrete activities that contribute to the stabilization of household/community availability of, access to, and utilization of nutritious foods. Essential Complementary Activities may contribute directly to food security and/or indirectly to the achievement of the emergency-related food security and nutrition objectives when they are programmatically linked to the transfer modality used.

For activities proposing Essential Complementary Activities, applications must clearly articulate how these activities will enhance food security and nutrition, and how these activities will complement or coordinate with similar activities being implemented by other response actors.
Essential Complementary Activities may include, but are not limited to the following sectors and activities therein:

- **Nutrition: Prevention and/or treatment of acute malnutrition:**

  **Management of acute malnutrition**

  - Commodity or financial support for specialized nutritious products (FFP promotes the use of the United Nations Children’s Fund [UNICEF] and the World Food Program [WFP]-supported pipelines for ready to use foods [RUFs], though exceptions may be considered for buffer stocks or other reasons with substantial justification);
  - Community outreach and mobilization to include screening to improve early case detection and referral into treatment programs;
  - Activities directed at improvement of case outcomes including defaulter case tracking and follow up;
  - Activities to improve quality through training, capacity building or supportive supervision of community health workers or other actors supporting these programs.

- **Infant and Young Child Feeding (IYCF) and Women’s Nutrition**

  - Breastfeeding promotion and support to encourage early and optimal breastfeeding practices (early initiation of breastfeeding after birth, exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months, continued breastfeeding for two years and beyond);
  - Improved access to and consumption of age appropriate foods during the complementary feeding period;
  - Activities that specifically target pre-pregnant, pregnant and lactating women with nutritious foods;
  - Activities that strengthen access to a broader range of foods for improved nutrition of women and children, particularly as paired with cash and voucher transfer programs;
  - Activities that build institutional capacity or support within the enabling environment for IYCF.

For activities proposing nutrition interventions, applicants must clearly articulate how other key stakeholders—particularly government ministries and/or nutrition clusters—are engaging in the sector, and how the proposed activities are filling gaps or otherwise harmonized with the wider response.

- **Agriculture and Food Security:** including agricultural training, capacity strengthening, rebuilding of agricultural assets. Interventions may include provision of approved agricultural commodities such as seeds, tools, and fodder, with appropriate procurement plans for safety and quality monitoring, following ADS and OFDA guidelines. Specific activities related to irrigation, gardening, livestock, or fisheries production and post-harvest handling will be considered.
● **Livelihoods:** including support for community-level savings and lending groups and restoration of livelihoods whether on-farm, off-farm, or non-farm.

● **Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH):** including support for water supply, hygiene promotion, environmental health (such as solid waste management, drainage, and vector control), and sanitation programming.

● **Coordination and Capacity Building Support:** including support to humanitarian coordination clusters, working groups or other sub-cluster entities that are relevant to support a more effective and coordinated food security response to the specific shock, as well as capacity building efforts to ensure effective and efficient food assistance delivery (e.g., food security and livelihoods cluster, nutrition cluster, logistics cluster).

● **Efforts to strengthen gender equity, empower youth, or improve cohesion:** including support to linkage promotion and dispute resolution mechanisms between identity groups when such factors can be clearly linked to improved food security.

● **Disaster Risk Reduction:** including activities that strengthen people’s capacity to anticipate, cope with, and recover from future shocks and stresses and that aim to address the underlying causes of disaster, such as lack of knowledge, unplanned urbanization, and environmental degradation, particularly when activities can be directly linked to improved food security.

**Note:** Applicants are encouraged to use risk-sensitive approaches wherever appropriate whereby key risks, hazards, and mitigation strategies are identified and integrated into the broad range of project interventions that not only meet immediate needs but also strengthen the ability of people to cope with future crises.

**C. Multipurpose Cash Assistance**

● FFP may authorize funding for a multipurpose cash grant either by (a) contributing funding proportional to food needs in an activity with other donors who can cover the proportion of the grants for non-food needs, or by (b) fully funding a multipurpose cash grant as long as the funding for the non-food needs represents less than 50 percent of the cash grant.

● For applications that propose a multipurpose cash transfer that includes food commodity costs funded through Food for Peace with the remaining value coming from another donor(s), the associated costs must be attributed proportionately. The food value and all proportionate associated costs must be budgeted under the category of Cash Transfers.
SECTION B: FEDERAL AWARD INFORMATION

1. Estimate of Funds Available and Number of Awards Contemplated

The value of awards and expected number of awards will be determined by assessed needs and context in a country to country basis and is subject to the availability of funds. FFP assigns no thresholds for minimum or maximum award or number of awards per response.

USAID plans to make multiple awards in the form of cooperative agreements under this APS utilizing a co-design process during the final phase of application. Nevertheless, USAID reserves the right to make awards in the form of grants, limit the number of awards made to a particular region or country, or decide not to make an award at all.

2. Start Date and Period of Performance for Federal Awards

Applications for recovery, protracted response, and DRR activities should be for two to three (2-3) years. Multi-year emergency awards will not be considered for a funded extension.

This APS is open indefinitely and will remain open until an APS amendment is issued to establish a closing date. This APS does not serve as a general solicitation for concept papers. USAID plans to issue specific amendments to this APS to request concept papers for particular countries or regions where USAID is interested in funding multi-year emergency awards, and may specify additional deadlines in these amendments.

USAID will post amendments or addenda to Grants.gov (through which organizations may sign up to receive notifications of changes), and to the FFP Emergency Food Assistance website. USAID may issue amendments or addenda to this APS for other reasons, such as to establish deadlines or notify interested parties that no further funding is available.

3. Substantial Involvement

In accordance with the ADS 303.3.11, a cooperative agreement will require the following elements of substantial involvement by FFP, if applicable to the application:

- Approval of the Awardee's Implementation Plans
- Approval of Awardee’s Monitoring and Evaluation Plan
- Approval of Specified Key Personnel
- Agency and Awardee Collaboration or Joint Participation covering:
  - selection of advisory committee members
  - concurrence on the substantive provisions of sub-awards
  - monitoring to authorize specified kinds of direction or redirection because of interrelationships with other USAID, host government, or other donor activities
Agency Authority to Immediately Halt a Construction Activity

Note: ADS 303 applies to NGOs/PVOs while ADS 308 applies to PIOs. Unless otherwise specified in the APS, any reference to the Code of Federal Regulations or the ADS applies to NGOs only.

4. Authorized Geographic Code

The geographic code for the procurement of commodities and services under this program is anticipated to be 935.
SECTION C: ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

1. Eligible Applicants

A. For Title II Emergency Food Assistance Activities the applicant must be:
   • A private voluntary organization (PVO) or cooperative.
     o A PVO is a U.S. private voluntary organization, international private voluntary organization or a local private voluntary organization as defined in 22 CFR 203.2.
     o A PVO includes U.S. and non-U.S. nonprofit, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) (1) as defined in Section 402 of the Food for Peace Act; and (2) in accordance with ADS 303.
   • A public international organization (PIO), such as the United Nations (UN) World Food Program.
   • In addition, according to Title II of the FFP Act, USAID may provide Title II resources and agricultural commodities to meet emergency food needs through foreign governments in such a manner and on such terms and conditions as USAID determines appropriate to respond to the emergency.

B. For EFSP Activities the applicant must be:
   • A U.S. or non-U.S. NGO, including PVOs.
     o An NGO is defined by USAID as any non-governmental organization or entity, whether non-profit or profit-making.
   • An organization designated by USAID as a PIO such as the UN World Food Program.

2. Non-eligible Applicants

Under this APS, for both Title II and EFSP, USAID will not accept applications from, or provide resources to individuals, parastatal organizations, or U.S. Government departments and agencies.

Note: USAID will not make EFSP awards to foreign governments.
3. New Partners

USAID welcomes concept papers from organizations that have not previously received financial assistance from USAID. However, awards to these new organizations may be delayed as the USAID Agreement Officer may need to undertake pre-award risk assessments as discussed in ADS 303.3.9. These pre-award risk assessments may affect implementation dates and activities; new partners should take this into account. Potential new partners might wish to consider collaborating with those organizations that have met the criteria described in the ADS in an attempt to expedite review and approval.

4. Cost Share

No cost share is required. Where possible, all applications, particularly those that are recovery-focused, should include activities that are integrated with activities in other sectors, layered with other investments in the same communities, and/or sequenced with activities that will further enhance the food security of the target population.
SECTION D: APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

1. Agency Point of Contact

Potential applicants shall contact the USAID Agreement Officer for this APS through the following mechanisms:

- Contact fphemergencygrants@usaid.gov with any questions or comments relating to an emergency concept paper or application.
- All applications must be sent to fphemergencygrants@usaid.gov.

Note: Pre-award contact with any other USG personnel or FFP’s institutional support contractors by an applicant which involves a proposed or submitted concept paper in response to this APS may result in disqualification of the concept paper.

2. Questions and Answers

Questions regarding this APS should be submitted to fphemergencygrants@usaid.gov. Any information given to a prospective applicant concerning this APS will be furnished promptly to all other prospective applicants as an amendment to this APS if that information is necessary in submitting applications or if the lack of it would be prejudicial to any other prospective applicant.

3. General Content and Form of Application

All documents must be completed in accordance with the format detailed in this APS and must comply with the following:

- Written in English, single spaced and in 12-point Times New Roman font, all text in tables or charts are allowed to use 8 or 10 point Times New Roman font;
- Narratives should be prepared in Microsoft Word or a compatible equivalent, with print areas set to 8.5 x 11 inch, letter-sized paper and one-inch margins, left justification and a footer on each page including page numbers, date of submission, proposed country, and applicant name;
- Spreadsheets (including for budgets) should be prepared in Microsoft Excel or a compatible equivalent, with print areas set to 8.5 x 11 inch, letter-sized paper;
- Official (signed) documents, memoranda and certifications may be submitted as Adobe PDF files; and
- All documents, including budgets, should be presented in a print-ready format.
- Emailed, hard copy, and faxed concept papers and applications are not acceptable.
4. Application Submission Procedures

USAID will follow a multi-phase merit review process:

1. Concept Paper
2. Oral Presentation (If required by USAID)
3. Collaborative Design (Degree of collaboration will be detailed by USAID following phases 1 or 2)
4. Application

The first phase is the submission and review of a concept paper. Following the concept paper review, technically acceptable applicants may be required, as determined by USAID, to provide an oral presentation. USAID will provide notices to all applicants noting whether an oral presentation is required. Finally, the apparently successful applicant(s) will collaboratively develop a Program Description with USAID and submit a written full technical and cost application(s). The applicant will be notified by USAID as to the anticipated level and format of collaborative design prior to that phase of the process. Applicants not selected will be notified after each phase. Please see below for guidance on how to submit a concept paper.

Applicants need only submit one concept paper, even if they are requesting both in-kind and market-based food assistance resources. Applicants should submit concept papers without specifying the funding source for market-based modalities. USAID will decide how any approved market-based modalities will be funded prior to request for full application.

5. Technical Application Format

A. Concept Paper (10 pages Maximum)

The Concept Paper submission package must include:

1. Activity Overview Table (one page)
2. Concept Technical Information (10 pages maximum)
3. Budget Overview
4. Map of the proposed target intervention area(s)

Note: Activity Overview Table, Budget Overview and the Map do not count as part of the 10 pages maximum.

1) Activity Overview Table that may include in-kind food aid resources, market-based modalities, and/or Essential Complementary Activities, as applicable. Applicants must complete and submit the following table in a separate Excel compatible spreadsheet with their concept paper.
### Activity Overview Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Name, Title and E-mail Address of Point of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Title (if applicable)</th>
<th>Total Funding Level Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Operation Funding Level (if Multi-donor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start Date of Award</th>
<th>Start Date of Operation (if Multilateral)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End Date of Award</th>
<th>End Date of Operation (if Multilateral)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Country and Geographic Administrative Unit(s) (in the country):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Category</th>
<th>Activity Detail</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Cash/Voucher Transfer Amount ($ if applicable)</th>
<th>Commodity Transfer Amount (Kg if applicable)</th>
<th>Percentage of kcal needs met by Transfer</th>
<th>Number of Distribution</th>
<th>Frequency of Distribution</th>
<th>Number of Households</th>
<th>Number of Individuals</th>
<th>Total Transfer Value</th>
<th>Total Commodity Transfer (Tonne if applicable)</th>
<th>Other Costs (Freight, Staffing, Overhead)</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For Work (Cash/Food/Vouchers)</td>
<td>Cash for Work</td>
<td>Cash Transfer</td>
<td>Pulaski, Lonokee</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>0.00 kg</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Households</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>0.00 MT</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Distribution (Cash/Food/Vouchers)</td>
<td>General Food Distribution</td>
<td>In-Kind (U.S.)</td>
<td>Pointe</td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>17.0 kg</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>3.06 MT</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene Promotion</td>
<td>Essential Complementary Activity</td>
<td>Pulaski, Lonokee, Pointe</td>
<td>Centra</td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.00 MT</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2) **Concept Technical Information** (must not exceed ten pages)

Concept papers that exceed this limit may be rejected without review.

**a. Emergency Description and Problem Statement** (one to two pages maximum)

Explain the food and nutrition security emergency. Provide details outlining what portion of the population has been affected by the food security emergency (breadth) and the severity of current and projected food insecurity at the household level (depth) as they relate to the conditions in a normal year.

For Disaster Risk Reduction interventions, describe the causes, scale, and frequency of disasters that impact food security, as well as underlying risk factors.

As applicable, include:

- **Specific indicators of acute food and nutrition insecurity in the targeted geographic area**
  Depending on the stage of the emergency, this might include leading indicators (such as rainfall, projected yields, Famine Early Warning Systems Network [FEWS NET] projections, disruption of livelihoods, disruption of markets, price volatility, displacement, etc.) or following indicators (such as food consumption score, household hunger scale, coping strategies index, or seasonally atypical levels of malnutrition) as well as qualitative findings. The level of detail should reflect data available at the time of submission and outline any ongoing or planned assessments that would influence activity design.

- **Scale and Context**
  How many people are affected and how severely in the targeted geographic area? How does that compare to the overall population in the targeted geographic area? How do current and projected conditions (agricultural yields, malnutrition levels, etc.) compare to the seasonal trend historically? Relative to the five-year average? Has the government declared an emergency or asked for international assistance?

- **Social Dynamic**
  Briefly describe the targeted community context with particular regard to ongoing tensions among identity groups and other aspects of social cohesion that need to inform the response, including attention to age and gender factors.

- **Gap Analysis**
  What are the other humanitarian, development, or social protection interventions being implemented in the proposed geographic area that have significant implications for the food security of populations of interest to the proposed project? How are you coordinating this food and nutrition security activity with other humanitarian and government actors to maximize impact and avoid duplication?
What needs are currently being met? To what extent and in what ways is that state of affairs anticipated to change over the life of the proposed project?

- **Appropriateness for Multi-year Emergency Programming**
  Justify the appropriateness of the context for multi-year emergency programming, and describe the advantages of multi-year programming in this context.

- **A map highlighting the proposed geographic target areas (with administrative units shown)**
  (This map does not count as part of the 10 pages maximum.)

  If a published assessment is used (e.g., a UN appeal), cite the source including title, author, and date of publication. If citing assessment findings that are not publicly available, please include a copy of the assessment as an attachment to the concept paper, if possible.

**b. Approach** (Six pages maximum)

Applicants should present their overall vision and strategy in line with any focus areas identified in amendments to this APS for the proposed country. This section should build upon the needs and gaps as described in the previous section of the concept paper (Emergency Description) and outline what interventions are being proposed to respond to which aspects of the affected population’s needs. Describe who among the affected population will be targeted to receive assistance and what changes the proposed activity aspires to help them achieve.

Discuss how the activity is designed to accommodate the needs as defined by the people affected by emergency food insecurity for themselves, especially with regard to the voices of those most vulnerable in the community.

- Which of the most commonly identified food security stressors in the proposed implementation area have been identified as within the manageable interest of this project? How does addressing this stressor help alleviate both the immediate and underlying causes/contributors to food insecurity?

- What are the primary objectives of this response in the short- and medium-term?

- How will the proposed activities support achieving those objectives?

- In what ways will interventions be integrated, layered (provided to the same households but not integrated with other interventions), and/or sequenced to prioritize and focus in a way that enables the sum to be greater than the parts?

**Sustainability**

Describe the desired end-state for participants and affected communities when this project concludes.
● What approaches will be employed to ensure that assets, groups, and/or other potentially enduring structures created or strengthened throughout the project can continue to serve the community's needs after assistance ends?

● What existing local systems--formal or informal--can the proposed interventions reinforce?

● For household/individual level interventions, what capacities will this project support affected people in attaining by project's end?

● To what extent will this project link people to other opportunities, such as long-term social protection programming, development activities, and/or other public or private service providers?

● Describe ways that this programming may contribute to reducing humanitarian caseload, promoting the Journey to Self-Reliance, and/or increasing resilience to future shocks.

c. **Modality Rationale** (Two pages maximum)

Explain the rationale used to select the proposed modality/ies based on the population’s emergency food and nutrition security needs or DRR context presented in the “emergency description” above. Please respond to each of the criteria below.

● **Market Appropriateness**
  Describe what type of assessment or analysis has been conducted to date to identify the most appropriate response modality based on market conditions. This section should be data-driven to the extent possible and based on information available at time of submission. Internal or secondary sources of information are welcome, and all responses should address the risks and benefits of the proposed modality to markets. More information on what questions should be addressed can be found in the Modality Decision Tool for Humanitarian Aid.

● **Feasibility**
  Briefly discuss major operational constraints that factored into the choice of response modality and delivery mechanism. Elements to consider include the delivery mechanism(s) available, security, market accessibility, security, market infrastructure, risk mitigation, operational capacity of the humanitarian organization, and the political environment. More information on what questions should be addressed can be found in the Modality Decision Tool for Humanitarian Aid.

● **Objective**
  Briefly discuss how the proposed modality will meet the food and nutrition security objective(s). Elements to consider include but are not limited to beneficiary preference, protection risks and benefits, impacts of a modality on gender dynamics and social dynamics, concerns and needs of specific vulnerable populations, consumption habits, cultural context, and risks and benefits to conflict dynamics.
More information on what questions should be addressed can be found in the Modality Decision Tool for Humanitarian Aid.

- **Cost Efficiency**
  Among modalities that have been determined to be market appropriate, feasible, and capable of achieving the desired objectives, is the proposed intervention a cost efficient way to meet the humanitarian needs? If the proposed modality or delivery mechanism is not the most efficient (per the calculation below), what considerations or tradeoffs went into the decision? More information on what questions should be addressed can be found in the Modality Decision Tool for Humanitarian Aid.

If there is no evidence on costs to achieve outcomes (cost-effectiveness), FFP requires that applicants proposing a resource transfer provide a comparison of the ration-specific costs (e.g., transfer value or commodity and freight) of the proposed activity relative to an equivalent Title II program. Savings should be indicated as both percentage and absolute value.

- For LRIP programs, use the Title II commodity calculator available on the FFP website to construct a Title II food basket of equivalent nutritional value for the sake of comparison.

- For Cash and Voucher Assistance (CVA) (which includes both unrestricted cash transfers and food vouchers), identify the intended percentage of food needs met and convert that into an equivalent food aid basket (exact commodities do not need to match) to estimate required volume of Title II commodities via the Title II commodity calculator. This conversion may be based on the commodity composition of other in-kind programming taking place in the same country.

If requesting both in-kind and CVA, in addition to the above, please explain how the two will either complement each other or be sequenced appropriately.

FFP acknowledges that this comparison methodology is not inclusive of all cost categories and that last mile delivery costs for cash and voucher programming may be less than in-kind modalities. Additional costs borne by program participants should be factored into decision-making, and may also be quantified in the modality justification.

3) **Budget Overview**
At this concept paper stage, applicants should include estimated commodity and freight costs for LRIP, transfer values for Cash or Vouchers, and/or costs directly related to food security-related activity implementation but do not have to itemize other costs. However, the budget overview should also make the total amount requested clear, and include the proposed indirect cost rate, if applicable.
4) **Map of the proposed target area(s)**
Include a map that clearly identifies the geographic locations to be targeted by the activities, with as much specificity as can reasonably be managed based on the urgency of the response and scaled to an administrative level appropriate for the activity coverage.

For concept paper evaluation see **SECTION E: APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION** of this APS.
B. Application (20 pages maximum)

Based upon the evaluation of the Concept Paper (and if required, the oral presentation), USAID may request a full application for further consideration following the collaborative design discussion. The request for a full application is not a commitment by USAID to fund the proposed activity or portion thereof. The decision to submit a full application is at the applicant’s sole discretion.

Full applications will be evaluated on a rolling basis in accordance with the criteria set forth in the Merit Review Criteria section below, unless otherwise detailed in a country or region-specific amendment to this APS that sets a specific deadline. As described above, the application Technical Documentation/Program Description will be collaboratively developed with USAID.

All applications should include the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Documentation (20 Pages maximum)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Activity Overview Table</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Emergency Description</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Activity Design and Description</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Operations and Logistics</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting Documentation (Annexes)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5) Organizational Risk Assessment</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Protection Risk Assessment</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Inventory Oversight</td>
<td>Required as applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Monitoring and Evaluation (M&amp;E) Plan</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Cost Application /Budget/ Budget Narrative</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) USAID Environmental Regulations (Rapid Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA))</td>
<td>Encouraged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) Signed Certifications, Assurances, and Other Statements of Applicant and/or Recipient</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1) **Activity Overview Table** that may include in-kind food aid resources, market-based modalities, and/or Essential Complementary Activities, as applicable. Applicants must complete the following table in Excel and submit with their Application.
## DRAFT FOR COMMENT

### Activity Overview Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name:</th>
<th>Name, Title and E-mail Address of Point of Contact:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Title (if applicable):</th>
<th>Total Funding Level Requested:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start Date of Award:</th>
<th>Start Date of Operation (if Multilateral):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End Date of Award:</th>
<th>End Date of Operation (if Multilateral):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Country and Geographic Administrative Unit(s) (in the country):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Category</th>
<th>Activity Detail</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Cash/Commodity Transfer Amount ($ if applicable)</th>
<th>Commodity Transfer Amount (Kg if applicable)</th>
<th>Percentage of kcal needs met by Transfer</th>
<th>Unit of Transfer</th>
<th>Frequency of Distribution</th>
<th>Number of Households</th>
<th>Number of Individuals</th>
<th>Total Transfer Value</th>
<th>Total Commodity Transfer (Tonnage if applicable)</th>
<th>Other Costs (Freight, Staffing, Overhead)</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>For Work (Cash/Food/Vouchers)</strong></td>
<td>Cash for Work</td>
<td>Cash Transfer</td>
<td>Pulaski, Lonoke</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>0.00 kg</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Households</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>0.00 MT</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Distribution (Cash/Food/Vouchers)</strong></td>
<td>General Food Distribution</td>
<td>In-Kind (U.S.)</td>
<td>Pointsett</td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>17.00 kg</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>3.06 MT</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hygiene Promotion</strong></td>
<td>Essential Complementary Activity</td>
<td>Pulaski, Lonoke, Pointsett</td>
<td>Central, Delta</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.00 MT</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2) **Emergency Description**

Explain the food security emergency. Provide details outlining what portion of the population has been affected by the food security emergency (breadth) and the severity of current and projected food insecurity at the household level (depth).

For Disaster Risk Reduction interventions, describe the causes, scale, and frequency of disasters that impact food security, as well as underlying risk factors.

As applicable, include:

- **Specific indicators of food insecurity in the targeted geographic area**
  Depending on the stage of the emergency, this might include leading indicators (such as rainfall, projected yields, FEWS NET projections, disruption of livelihoods, disruption of markets, price volatility, displacement, etc.) or following indicators (such as food consumption score, household hunger scale, coping strategies index, or seasonally atypical levels of malnutrition).

- **Scale and Context**
  How many people are affected and how severely in the targeted geographic area? How does that compare to the overall population in the targeted geographic area? How do current and projected conditions compare to the seasonal trend historically as well as to the five year average?

- **Social Dynamic**
  Briefly describe the targeted community context with particular regard to ongoing tensions among identity groups and other aspects of social cohesion that need to inform the response, including attention to age and gender factors.

- **Gap Analysis**
  What are the other humanitarian, development, or social protection interventions being implemented in the proposed geographic area that have significant implications for the food security of populations of interest to the proposed project? How are you coordinating this food security activity with other humanitarian and government actors to maximize impact and avoid duplication? What needs are currently being met? To what extent and in what ways is that state of affairs anticipated to change over the life of the proposed project?

For applicants proposing transfers, how was the transfer value determined—how does it correspond to the food consumption gap of the target groups or a specific expenditure basket? What are the gaps in humanitarian assistance in terms of targeting of people, programming activities, and geographic areas?

For applicants including nutrition interventions, briefly describe the existing healthcare delivery system and its capacity and potential for management of acute malnutrition and other essential nutrition services.
• **Appropriateness for Multi-year emergency programming**
  Justify the appropriateness of the context for multi-year emergency programming, and describe the advantages of multi-year programming in this context.

• **A map** indicating the activity’s targeted implementation areas.

If a published assessment is used (e.g., a UN appeal), cite the source. If citing assessment findings that are not publicly available, please include a copy of the assessment as an attachment to the application if possible.

3) **Activity Design and Description**
   This section should present the overall design of the activity. It should clearly present who will be targeted with what interventions/modalities, when, where, and how the assistance will be provided, and why the activity design is appropriate given the identified needs, and emergency description or DRR context presented above. It is critical that this section clearly articulate how the various components of the activity—modality, food security-related activity/ies—will address the identified needs and assistance gaps and contribute to the activity’s food security and nutrition objectives with an eye towards sustainability built into the design from inception. This section should also include discussion on the specific points below.

a. **Participants**

• **Targeting, selection, and eligibility verification methodology.**
  Describe what targeting methodology will be used and what the targeting criteria will be for activity modalities/interventions. Discuss how the targeting criteria will prioritize assistance based on need (e.g., targeting decisions based on age, nutritional status, length of displacement, loss of household assets, or usage of negative coping mechanisms).

Applicants should clearly state how they intend to understand who their beneficiaries are, particularly stating if the beneficiaries consist of different ethnic or religious groups, or if they will be housed within a host community and what anticipated risks of conflict may be in this setting within the target area. Applicants should also clearly state how they intend to target activities within the vulnerable population, how this will be done equitably, and how the community will be informed about how and who is being targeted. Applicants should examine how gender dynamics influence each element of food security (food availability, access, and utilization) while developing the targeting strategy. Applicants should consider gender equity in decision-making, access to and control of resources, livelihood options, and time burdens.

Discuss how community members will participate in the needs assessment, targeting, and eligibility verification process. Describe how the activity will ensure
that each individual and/or household’s eligibility (as per the approved activity selection criteria) is adequately documented within the activity’s database. Include details on how much time (i.e. number of days) will be allotted to carry out the targeting, selection, and verification process.

Include estimates for the total number of participants (individuals) who fall into the following categories: refugees, internally displaced persons (IDPs), and other. For activities proposing activities in multiple geographic administrative units, please provide a breakdown of the aforementioned participant groups for each.

If multiple modalities or tiers of entitlements are proposed, please include details as to how decisions will be made as to who receives which modality. If the configuration—geographically or among target populations—is expected to change rapidly due to conditions on the ground, clarify if flexibility between modalities is requested to be approved within the scope of a prospective award and to what extent. In order for such flexibility to be considered, the applicant must provide an initial estimated breakout by modality and propose clear parameters as to when, how, and why deviations would be authorized. **Flexibility will not extend to any modality that is not included within the initial scope of the application. Any post-award change to modality(ies) outside of the original scope must be discussed with the Agreement Officer’s Representative first and approved by the Agreement Officer.**

- **Social dynamics**
  Explain how the social dynamics (e.g. related to gender, youth, between different identity groups) in the targeted communities have informed the proposed targeting strategy; how the activity has integrated the principle of “do no harm” into its targeting design and implementation strategy; how the activity proposes to monitor, mitigate and/or address any negative impacts of the activity’s targeting; and how targeting individuals within a household or community will impact (positively or negatively) household and/or community dynamics.

  Be specific about how the activity design accounts for gender roles in the targeted population and any asymmetries that may result in disproportionate vulnerability, access to services, or ability to participate in and benefit fully from the activities proposed within this application. The activity design should adhere to gender best practices in emergency settings—such as those outlined in IFRC’s **Minimum Standard Commitments to Gender and Diversity in Emergency Programming**—tailored to the context in which the activity is being implemented.

  Describe what steps the project will take to communicate with youth to determine the best way to engage them in program activities. Applicants should ensure that if they are proposing food for work or food for assets activities, that the nature of the work be reasonable and not place undue or strenuous physical hardship or burden on participants.
Applicants are encouraged to account for highly vulnerable sub-groups in targeting, such as households with adolescent girls at risk of early marriage and households that have been marginalized, outcaste or stigmatized as a because they are victims of sexual and gender-based violence (SGBV) and as a result are highly food insecure.

b. Local input and feedback
Local knowledge is an essential part of designing and implementing effective programming. Applicants are required to include local populations in all stages of activity design, targeting, implementation, monitoring and evaluation. Applicants should clearly state how they intend to communicate with women about what their preferences are for both food assistance and complementary activities, what they feel the risks are within their households, and what support they feel they may need to be allowed to participate in program activities. Applicants must describe how they plan to incorporate local feedback in each stage of the activity. It is not sufficient simply to say feedback will be incorporated. Be specific in the methods that will be used to encourage feedback, how regularly this feedback will be solicited, and importantly, how the activity will not only “close the feedback loop” by ensuring direct responses are given in a timely manner to all local feedback, but also how activity accomplishments and issues will be shared with the communities to increase ownership of activity results.

c. Conditionalities, restrictions, and community contributions
Explain whether the assistance provided will be conditional or unconditional, restricted or unrestricted. Provide a rationale for how and why these conditions/restrictions are deemed appropriate to meet activity objectives, how they will be applied in implementation, and if the application of the proposed conditionalities and/or restrictions will differ based upon the levels of vulnerability or characteristics of the activity’s various target groups, such as age or gender.

In addition, the applicant should clearly explain how they intend to socialize what interventions they intend to implement, whom from the community they may engage, and what steps they will take to ensure communities and key influencers in the communities support the program design and implementation to mitigate conflict. For example, if the activity intends to engage female community volunteers, what does the applicant intend to do to prevent risk to the volunteers and mitigate conflict both in public and private spaces.

Estimate how much time individuals will be expected to spend participating in activity interventions, where applicable. Explain whether and how community volunteers will be compensated for their contributions to the activity. Address if and how conditional activities will align to seasonal agricultural activities.

d. Essential Complementary Activities
Demonstrate how any proposed Essential Complementary Activities will directly or indirectly contribute to the achievement of the food security and nutrition objective, as well as how they will strengthen the overall impact of the food assistance transfer modality/ies, if proposed. Describe how these enabling activities will address the assessed, unmet and disaster-related needs of the target groups. Provide a detailed description of the proposed technical approach(es) for these Essential Complementary Activities.

For activities targeting nutrition outcomes, applicants are encouraged to propose activities that deepen community and household engagement around social and behavior change of IYCF and other relevant nutrition behaviors. The increased timeline afforded by this APS should permit more intentional efforts to support households and communities in modifying current practices and behaviors related to food, health, nutrition, and care practices.

In addition to articulating how the activity’s nutrition interventions will address the humanitarian caseload, applicants must also articulate what approaches/efforts they will take to contribute to strengthening the system (or laying the groundwork for such) to sustainably address malnutrition after the life of the activity, or link to/align with other activities with this intention.

For food security DRR-related activities, please describe the assessment of vulnerabilities and exposure; account for the causes, scale, and frequency of disasters; and consider the distinct economic and social systems of each community. Also include a brief discussion of underlying risk factors such as environmental and natural resource degradation, local response capacity, rapid and unplanned urban growth, weak DRR governance, and low resilience, among other factors.

See the Eligible Modalities (Section D-4) for more detail on the types of Essential Complementary Activities that are eligible for funding under this APS. Please note that Essential Complementary Activities include those activities that are separate from the implementation of a core transfer modality but that directly or indirectly contribute to achieving the food security and nutrition objectives of the activity (e.g., the tools to implement a Cash for Work activity should be treated as part of the Cash Transfer modality, but if inputs were distributed for use outside of the Cash for Work activity, the input distribution would constitute an food security-related activity).

For activities proposing seed provision, the APS requires either the purchase of certified seed or the confirmation from the purchasing organization that seed quality assurance practices will be undertaken and records will be maintained in the event that USAID wishes to review them. Seed testing should include, but may not be limited to, seed germination testing and visual inspection for damaged/infested seed. Further guidance on this type of intervention can be found in ADS 312.
e. Partnering
Identify planned implementing partners (including sub-awardees) and what the division of labor will be between all of the activity implementers. If partners have not yet been identified, explain the process by which partners will be identified, vetted, and selected. If the applicant intends to partner programmatically with the host government, describe the nature and history of the relationship with the government, as well as the government’s roles and responsibilities within the activity.

Please note that it is the applicant’s responsibility to be aware of any partner vetting requirements for the proposed country.

f. Coordination
Describe what NGOs, UN agencies, host government authorities and other key stakeholders are doing in the proposed target areas of the activity, being sure to clearly articulate how the activity will address the most critical emergency-related food security and nutrition needs not being addressed by other actors and will be implemented in line with international standards and protocols. Describe how the proposed activity is aligned and/or coordinated with other food security and nutrition assistance in the region/country, particularly in regard to other FFP-funded or USAID-funded activities. Describe how the activity will engage with humanitarian coordination mechanisms at the national and sub-national levels. Include information about past experience working in the targeted communities.

g. Exit or Transition Strategy
Though activities proposed cannot exceed certain timeframes per this APS, some activities may be less than the permitted timeframe. Please describe the rationale for the proposed activity duration. Describe the exit strategy or transition strategy (for organizations continuing operations for the same participants) for the activity based on the anticipated food assistance needs, overall approach and the proposed timeline of activities. Present a realistic appraisal of what opportunities are likely to be available to participants at the end of the activity. Is the objective to provide sufficient assistance to enable households to support themselves by the end of the activity? Or, if the need for assistance does not end at the end of this award, what will come next for the participants? Links and transitions to existing or nascent social protection systems or other government-provided services may be described here.

4) Operations and Logistics
This section should articulate the capabilities and capacities of the applicant and its sub-awardees to execute this activity. In addition to describing how the proposed activity management structure, staffing and staff training plans, operational infrastructure, and logistical plans will contribute to activity outcomes, discussion of the following aspects of activity design must be included.

a. Rations
For in-kind distributions—including Title II U.S. in-kind or LRIP—provide details on the food ration composition (food commodity type and quantity) per individual and/or participant group. If in-kind food rations are distributed by more than one agency in the country, discuss whether and how the in-kind food ration compositions are complementary and/or coordinated across the relevant actors.

For activities proposing cash transfer and food voucher modalities, explain how the cash/voucher transfer value was determined and how it relates to the food needs of an individual or household (e.g., the food component of the minimum expenditure basket). Provide an illustrative basket of commodities and respective costs of that basket. If transfers/voucher rations are distributed by more than one agency in the country, discuss whether and how the food assistance transfer values are complementary and/or coordinated across the relevant actors.

For activities proposing a food voucher modality, include a list of all products or goods that will be redeemable with the food voucher and provide a justification for the composition of that list. If there are conditionalities or restrictions on the vouchers, explain how they will be applied and monitored, and why they have been included.

b. Distribution Methods
Describe and justify the distribution method(s) proposed for each food assistance modality and any conditions for participants to receive the food assistance. Describe how the proposed distribution method(s) has/have taken into consideration the needs and protection of men, women, boys, and girls.

For activities proposing Food/Cash/Voucher for Work (or for Asset) activities, describe how many days participants will work per month and the amount of time per day. Identify what types of work activities will be implemented. Describe how compensation will be set, and confirm that the method for setting compensation aligns with humanitarian best practices and is coordinated with other partners implementing similar activities in the country. It is generally advisable to set the compensation for humanitarian activities slightly below the casual labor wage rate but partners should align to any coordinated emergency standards on for-work wage rates established for the specific crisis to which they are responding.

For activities proposing in-kind food distribution (Title II or LRIP), cash transfer and/or food voucher modalities, describe the proposed delivery mechanism to be used (e.g., general distribution, supplementary and therapeutic feeding, food/cash/vouchers for work, food/cash/vouchers for assets), how many and how often distributions will take place the roles and responsibilities of the awardee, sub-partners, or contractors (e.g., financial service providers, participant management systems, local organizations).
For activities proposing a food voucher modality, explain whether the voucher has a fixed monetary value or commodity value, how the activity will adjust if inflation or other factors affect the transfer value, vendor selection and voucher redemption processes and price negotiation (if any), and vendor convenience factors such as denominations of food vouchers, expiration dates (if any).

For all distributions (regardless of modality): 1) provide an estimate on the farthest distance/travel time the activity will allow between participants and vendors/distribution/market sites; and 2) describe the measures the activity will take to minimize the burden on those most likely to experience difficulties accessing transfer distribution points.

Per USAID Procurement Executive Bulletin No. 2014-06, partners must use electronic payments where the operating environment permits. Waivers can be requested if the political, financial, or communications infrastructure in the country cannot support electronic payments or if other exception criteria outlined in the bulletin are met.

c. “Do No Harm”: Protection, Conflict Sensitivity, and Sexual and Gender-based Violence (SGBV) Mitigation
Identify specific “do no harm” concerns related to protection, conflict, and sexual and gender-based violence associated with the proposed activity design and present the mitigation strategies that will be used to safeguard activity participants and staff involved in, or receiving, emergency commodities, cash transfers, food vouchers, and/or Essential Complementary Activities. Include attention to any heightened risk within the local context among specific population groups (e.g., women, girls, ethnic/social groups, disabled). Discuss what strategies will be employed to identify and mitigate the unintended but potential negative impacts of the activity on target communities and populations, both targeted and untargeted, including but not limited to gender based violence. Applicants should clearly state what their SGBV mitigation plan is and how they plan to address risks women may report facing within their households and what they will do to redress this situation.

Applications must articulate how conflict sensitivity and an understanding of conflict dynamics will inform interventions and adaptive management throughout the entire program cycle. Applications must identify how programming will impact existing social dynamics (gender, age, ethnicity, etc.), and how local input will be solicited to mitigate unintended consequences.

Please note the following core protection principles:

● Minimizing any unintended negative effects of your intervention that can increase people’s vulnerability to both physical and psychosocial risks and result in harm, exploitation, and abuse;
• Arranging for people’s meaningful access to impartial assistance and services in proportion to need and without any barriers;
• Setting up mechanisms through which affected populations can measure the adequacy of interventions or address concerns and complaints; and
• Supporting the development of self-protection capacities of individuals and communities

Applications must articulate how the activity will apply conflict-sensitive approaches to all phases of the activity cycle. A conflict sensitive activity must at a minimum “Do No Harm”, or avoid inadvertently contributing to conflict.

Together conflict sensitivity and the “Do No Harm” approach require an:
• Understanding of the conflict context in which it operates, including intergroup tensions, divisive issues, as well as areas of common ground within/among groups can be leveraged to mitigate conflict and strengthen social cohesion;
• Understanding the interaction between an activity, in action or words, and a conflict context; and
• Understanding of this interaction to avoid unintentionally feeding into further division and to maximize the potential contribution to strengthen social cohesion and peace.

d. Market Analysis and Impact
Please provide an assessment of the markets and an analysis of the potential impacts of the proposed modality.

An appropriate emergency market analysis should generally examine the following considerations:

• Stability: Price trend analysis (if available) examining price stability as well as integration for relevant commodities in proposed geographic areas as well as relevant source markets.

• Competition: Issues with competition at different levels of the market system, and whether the proposed activity will positively or negatively impact the market dynamics (e.g., by increasing the power of a few large producers at the expense of smallholders). Note any entry/exit barriers for market actors.

• Availability: Local availability of relevant commodities as well as significant LRIP supply constraints that may affect the proposed activity (e.g., other large procurements or institutional purchases, poor harvests, import tariffs, restrictive trade policies) including scale of programming transfers relative to normal market volumes. Market actors’ ability to respond to changing levels of demand. The analysis should demonstrate that vendors can respond to the increased demand
that a cash/voucher activity will create, without causing a shortage of the commodities in question and/or causing prices to rise for other low-income consumers who are not part of the activity. Applicants must appropriately plan for seasonal fluctuations in agricultural harvests, food supply, labor markets, and food commodity prices. The analysis should demonstrate that the proposed activity is unlikely to do discernible economic harm to key market actors in the market-system, found in the locations of commodity origin and/or activity distribution.

Both quantitative and qualitative justifications are expected and can be based on information available at time of submission (either internally or from secondary sources). FFP understands that immediately following rapid onset disasters, data may be limited and therefore will prioritize the humanitarian imperative above granular market information. To assist in developing an analysis, USAID recommends consulting market assessment tools, including, but not limited to:

- **EMMA**, or Emergency Market Mapping and Analysis
- **RAM**, or Rapid Assessment for Markets
- **MAG**, or Market Analysis Guidance
- **FEWS NET Guidance Documents**

Please see [FFPIB 19-03](#) for additional details on market analysis requirements for emergency awards.

Please see [FFPIB 19-01](#) Eligible Uses of Section 202(e); Internal Transportation, Storage and Handling; Inland Freight, Monetization Proceeds; and Community Development Funds for FFP Awards

Applications that include the provision of seeds and tools should include a seed system security assessment (or evidence from an assessment) to determine the correct distribution methodology. Tools and guidance can be found at [www.seedsystem.org](http://www.seedsystem.org).

Applicants may also refer to the Minimum Requirements for Market Analysis in Emergencies developed by the Cash and Learning Partnership for information on appropriate scope and rigor of emergency market assessment.

e. **Commodity Procurement Table**

For LRIP activities, include a table detailing the intended commodity procurement, including source and origin countries and estimated commodity cost per metric ton (MT). Describe how the commodity will be procured (e.g., open competition, limited competition from pre-approved vendors, commodity exchange, negotiated agreements with cooperatives, forward contracting), the anticipated shipping/transport means, the dates of departure from the country of origin and arrival to country, and the planned start date of commodity distributions to the targeted activity participants as applicable. If the applicant anticipates purchasing
commodities from a foreign government entity, the applicant must include the name of the entity and associated government, and demonstrate that the estimated purchase price is a fair market price. See FFPIB 19-03 for more information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Commodity Cost</th>
<th>Ocean/Inland Transportation Cost</th>
<th>Procurement Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**f. Commodity Safety and Quality Assurance**

In-kind commodities procured in the U.S. are subject to U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) safety and quality assurance inspections and auditing. Locally and regionally procured commodities must also meet similar safety and quality standards, and be approved by USAID.

- **LRIP Procurement:** For local, regional, and international procurement of commodities, a preventive food safety and quality approach is recommended, with the following main components:
  
  o **Commodity Specifications.** Awardee must obtain commodity specifications and ensure compliance of these specifications with the local and recipient country standards, as well as USAID commodity requirements when applicable. The following steps are recommended: a) Obtain commodity specifications from the US Government when possible and in cases where the commodity is part of USAID Title II programs. Commodity specifications can be found under Food Aid Product Descriptions on the USAID website or on the Farm Service Agency’s website; b) In absence of USG commodity specifications, obtain specifications from WFP and or local country bureau of standards provided that such specifications have gone through a validation process; c) Jointly review specifications with suppliers to make sure there is clear understanding and expectations around quality, safety and delivery terms when awards are made.

  o **Food Safety and Quality Assurance at Source.** In order to ensure food safety and quality at source, the following steps are recommended to awardees:
    - Food suppliers must provide Certificates of Analyses (CoAs) to demonstrate compliance at source. A CoA per lot of production being shipped out should be part of the awardee-vendor agreement.
Food vendors must go through annual food safety and quality audits. If several awardees are procuring from the same food supplier, and similar commodities, these awardees are encouraged to coordinate among them to arrange for only one annual audit for common suppliers. Alternatively, in order to minimize cost, and given the fact that there is reciprocity between USAID and WFP in terms of food safety and quality compliance, if an awardee is procuring the same commodities from the same suppliers as WFP, current WFP required valid audits (within a year) can be acceptable. Awardees should discuss this with WFP.

In addition to CoAs and annual audits, awardee should have their own third-party inspector verifying the loading of commodities onto trucks, at source, and should take random samples for testing and cross-checking the level of quality and commodity integrity compliance. Exhaustive sampling and testing is not necessary, if reliable CoAs are produced and satisfactory auditing reports are obtained. The scope of the third-party inspection from recognized service providers will depend on procured volumes and intensity of the procurement activity.

- **Awardees Quality Assurance (QA) Resources.** Even when awardees show good and compliant logistic capacity, the hiring of an in-house food technology, food safety and quality resource is recommended, in order to ensure safe and effective food delivery. Each awardee should consider hiring a staff member with experience in quality assurance (QA) and warehouse management. The awardee should present, for subsequent bids, the profile of a QA staff, as part of their institutional capability statement.

- **Protection of commodity during transportation.** The movement of food from the supplier’s premise, whether to customs-bonded warehouses and/or distribution to end users, are segments of the supply chain that need well defined procedures to ensure integrity of commodities and avoid replacement or subtraction of commodities. The following are recommended steps to be discussed with vendors:
  
  o Use unique, “smart” truck and/or container seals, particularly during the shipment from vendor to awardees warehouse or final distribution sites. If possible, tamper-evident seals that are verified both during loading and unloading should be used.
  o Institutionalization of a Truck Driver Policy, indicating the “dos and don’ts”.
  o A standard operating procedure (SOP) for truck unloading, which includes checking seal numbers to make sure they match Bill of Lading (BOL) information.
  o Establishment of handling procedures for CoAs: a) who receives the CoAs; b) when and by whom will the CoA be compared with specifications; and c) where and for how long are CoAs going to be retained.
For activities proposing a food voucher modality, it is expected that the in-kind commodities available for purchase by voucher participants meet the commodity standards of the recipient country. If proposing a food voucher modality, please identify what procedures will be implemented to ensure that vendors provide food of acceptable quality.

Additional guidance on commodity management can be found in the Commodity Management Toolkit on the TOPS website.

The following documents are recommended commodity-oriented guidance for activities that specifically target infants and young children as participants:

- **Guidelines on Formulated Supplementary Foods for Older Infants and Young Children CAC/GL 08-1991**
- **Codex Standard for Processed Cereal-based Foods for Infants and Young Children CODEX STAN 074-1981, REV. 1-2006**
- **For non-breastfed infants**

### g. Activity Detailed Implementation Plan

Present an overall activity implementation plan for the activity that clearly articulates the sequencing of all activity operations and activities, including activity start up, implementation, activity management, monitoring and evaluation. This timeline must include the proposed activity start/end dates and the timing and duration of activity distributions and activities of any kind—whether Title II commodities, locally and regionally procured commodities, cash transfers, food vouchers, and Essential Complementary Activities. Additionally, core implementation processes, such as the participant selection processes, staff and participant capacity building, stakeholder consultations and/or counseling, and the steps involved in implementing effective and participatory Essential Complementary Activities, should also be articulated in the overall activity implementation plan. Note that more detailed annual workplans may also be required under substantial involvement.

For activities proposing an in-kind commodity modality, include the key steps involved in the procurement, transportation, storage, handling, distribution, and post-distribution monitoring.

For activities proposing cash transfers and food voucher modalities, include the key steps involved in implementing and monitoring and evaluating these modalities,
including but not limited to the drafting and printing of food vouchers, the creation of agreements and/or contracts with participating financial institutions and/or vendors, scale and frequency of cash/voucher distributions, and how/when the distributions are tied-in to activities such as cash for work/vouchers for work, food voucher reimbursement timelines for vendors, etc.

h. **Key Personnel**
The applicant should propose an overall activity director/chief of party. The COP is responsible for the overall management and representation of the activity. For management, the COP must have proven leadership skills managing projects of a similar size and scope in developing countries. The COP must have prior experience effectively managing humanitarian or DRR activities. The COP should have demonstrated experience recruiting, developing, and managing staff, as well as experience managing programmatic and financial reporting. A master’s degree in development studies, international relations, agriculture, nutrition, public health, business administration, or related field combined with a minimum of seven years of progressively increasing management responsibility in international relief/recovery/DRR projects is required. Two years of applicable experience can substitute for a master’s degree.

The applicant should propose an M&E Lead for the activity. The M&E Lead is responsible for developing and implementing an M&E system and plan, including a database to store and aggregate collected data. The M&E lead should have extensive experience in designing and implementing monitoring and evaluation systems as they are practiced in the context of humanitarian response, longer term early recovery and DRR type programs. A master’s degree in statistics or economics; or a degree in relevant field with substantial course work in quantitative methods with a minimum of five years of professional experience in designing and implementing M&E systems in the context of humanitarian response or DRR programs is required. Two years of applicable experience can substitute for a master’s degree.

FFP may require other Key Personnel per amendments to this APS.

i. **Adaptive Management Approach**
In addition to the management structure, applicants must explain how their management approach will ensure holistic, integrated, and adaptive management.

This must include a description of:

- Adaptive management approaches that will enable feedback cycles that foster experiential learning and analysis of data from assessments, surveys, research, and routine monitoring, as well as scenario planning around activity responses to anticipated shocks and/or changes in the political, social, environmental or market context. The applicant should describe the management processes that
will enable the application of analysis and learning in adjustments to the Implementation Plan.

- Performance management strategies that measure the effectiveness of proposed interventions, and how these interventions contribute to achieve the intended outcomes in a sustainable fashion. Performance management strategies should include a description of how the applicant will monitor for, identify, and, through linkages with adaptive management strategies, address implementation quality issues throughout the life of the award.

- Monitoring and adaptive management for unintended consequences of the award/activity.

5) Organizational Risk Assessment (ORA)
All applicants are required to complete an assessment of risk for fraud, waste, and abuse associated with the proposed activities, as well as a description of how the organization proposes to reduce such risks within the proposed program. The application must address risks arising internally within the organization as well as from third parties. Risk mitigation strategies must address sub-awarding (if planned), vendor selection, and activity management. The below are key points to address in the ORA:

- Conflict of interest policy
- Whistleblower hotline
- Ethics training
- Cybersecurity procedures
- Banking protocols
- Procurement policies
- HR policies

The assessment should also consider elements as defined in the GAO Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government, or Green Book, around the control environment, control activities, information and communication, monitoring, as well as how risks will continually be evaluated. In addition, the USAID Office of Inspector General’s reference handbook is found here.

USAID recognizes that certain operating environments present a heightened risk of waste, fraud, abuse, and diversion due to the presence of groups and individuals sanctioned by the U.S. Government (USG) and limited ability of FFP personnel to directly monitor program implementation. Applicants are also required to consult guidance linked below, and for the countries listed submit the required additional information in this assessment annex:

- OFDA Guidelines on Risk Assessment and Mitigation Requirements for High-Risk Environments
6) **Protection Risk Assessment**

All partners are required to undertake a protection risk assessment which will present an analysis of the potential protection risks (including, but not limited to sexual abuse and exploitation (SEA), safety and security) to local communities and activity participants as well as a plan for how to mitigate and address these risks in a timely and effective manner. The analysis of this risk assessment should be integrated into the overall activity design and should take into consideration factors that may affect participants after they have successfully taken possession of resources; however, the specific findings and proposed mitigation measures will be presented as an annex to the application.

The protection risk assessment will include analysis of:

a) Preexisting protection concerns in the proposed activity area (inclusive of concerns to vulnerable groups including women, youth, the elderly, disabled, minority groups);

b) Anticipated and potential risks to local communities, activity participants, and activity staff as a result of their engagement with the activity;

c) How the activity will plan for and implement risk mitigation to minimize protection-related risks;

d) How the activity will engage communities and target populations in monitoring, mitigating and addressing the potential risks.

In terms of protection from sexual exploitation and abuse (PSEA) for participants and activity staff (whether involving activity staff or not, and including activity contractors, and sub-grantees), please describe how the activity will monitor for this, as well as manage potential cases internally to the activity and externally with communities and according to relevant local laws.

This annex should also reference the applicant’s code of conduct (see Section H-2 of this APS), which is required as a condition an award under this APS. The applicant must provide details on how the code of conduct will be implemented, including:

1. How employees are trained or otherwise made aware of the Code of Conduct,
2. How violations of the Code of Conduct against beneficiaries are reported and followed up on in a safe and confidential manner,
3. How beneficiaries are made aware of the Code of Conduct and a mechanism to report any violations, and
4. Whether or not there is a focal point in the country or regional office for the Code of Conduct.

Applicants must demonstrate that due consideration has been given to ensuring the security and protection of all participants and staff while engaged in activity-related
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interventions, especially in regards to: the timing and location of distributions; amount of food or cash transfers or value of food vouchers of which participants will take possession; person(s) responsible for pickup; etc. For more information on this topic, please consult the sample risk matrix available at The Remote Cash Project website.

7) **Inventory Oversight**

The application must include a description of organizational inventory processes and standards used for warehouse operations associated with the proposed program. The application must also include details about any warehouses to be used for the proposed activities, specifically:

- The size of the warehouse;
- Whether the warehouse is shared or leased space;
- Whether the warehouse is climate controlled; and
- The intended use of the warehouse.

If the proposed activity includes procurement of specialized goods for which USAID regulations stipulate specific storage requirements, such as pharmaceuticals, food, and seeds, USAID/FFP must review and approve the inventory processes in advance of approving the procurement of the items. If the proposed activity does not include warehousing but involves utilization of a third party shipper or third-party storage facility, details on the storage facility and shipping processes and policies should be provided within 30 days of the award.

8) **Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Plan**

The purpose of the M&E Plan is to provide a framework for activities to demonstrate accountability and improve the quality of activity implementation and outcomes for participants. Applicants must submit an M&E Plan that describes in narrative the applicant’s approach to program design, evaluation, and monitoring of activity performance and the operational context. The M&E Plan should include an abbreviated ToC in addition to the Logframe, Indicator Performance Tracking Table, Monitoring Plan, Staffing Plan and Evaluation Plan.

a. **Logical Framework (LogFrame)**

Applicants must submit an M&E Plan including a Logical Framework (LogFrame) that shows the causal linkages between activity outputs, outcomes and goals, identifies assumptions and potential risks that are critical to the success of an activity, and includes key indicators with proposed targets to track the activity’s performance with final targets. While not a requirement, FFP encourages applicants to develop and submit an abbreviated ToC in addition to the Logframe to further
demonstrate the Applicant program design. For further information about ToC, see FFP Policy and Guidance for Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting for Development Food Security Activity.

The LogFrame consists of a matrix with four or more columns and many rows, summarizing the key elements of an activity.

- Narrative summary: The activity’s hierarchy of objectives (Goal, Purpose(s), Sub-purpose(s), Intermediate outcome(s), and Outputs) as appropriate.
- Indicators: How the activity’s achievements will be monitored and evaluated. Indicators should be linked to the activities and outcomes. Targets are required for all indicators except those that monitor the operational context, and should be ambitious yet achievable. Whenever applicable, disaggregates by age and sex, should be reported (refer to PIRS). FFP also encourages that all household-level indicators are disaggregated by gendered household type (Adult Female No Adult Male, Adult Male No Adult Female, Male and Female Adults, Child No Adults). NOTE: targets may be revised after baseline data collection with approval from FFP.
- Data Source/Method: This column should provide a short summary of the data source and data collection method that will be used to measure the indicator. For example, FCS may be measured by a participant-based baseline survey at baseline and endline, and through PDM. Be as specific as possible, and avoid generic terms like “project records.” This description should provide enough information so that a reviewer would know where to look in the M&E Plan for a more detailed description of the methods that will be used.
- Assumptions: The contextual environment and key external factors critical to the activity’s success.

All activity’s must include the following required (R) and required if applicable (RiA) baseline/final evaluation and monitoring indicators in the LogFrame. Refer to FFP performance indicator reference sheets for more details about the indicator.

**Required:**
- Number of individuals participating in USG food security programs
- Percentage of households with poor, borderline, and adequate food consumption score (FCS)

**Required if the activity implements applicable nutrition specific activities (any length of time). Please review the indicator summary table below for applicability criteria:**
- Number of children under five (0-59 months) reached with nutrition-specific interventions through USG-supported programs
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- Number of pregnant women reached with nutrition-specific interventions through USG-supported programs
- Number of children under two (0-23 months) reached with community-level nutrition interventions through USG-supported programs
- Number of individuals receiving nutrition-related professional training through USG-supported programs

Applicable output indicators required for reporting (for full list see page 57-59) should also be included in the LogFrame:

**LRIP Commodity:**
- Actual quantity delivered (MT)
- Quantity distributed (MT)
- Planned and actual participants disaggregated by sex

**Cash Transfer and Food Vouchers:**
- Planned and actual number of vouchers distributed
- Number of vouchers redeemed
- Planned and actual number of cash transfers distributed
- Total number of participants receiving transfers
- Quantity distributed (MT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary Table of FFP Multi-Year Emergency Food Security Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indicator Title</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSP 1. Number of individuals participating in USG food security programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSP2. Percentage of households with poor, borderline, and adequate Food Consumption Score (FCS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSP3. Reduced Coping Strategies Index (rCSI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSP4. Prevalence of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSP5. Number of children under five (0-59 months) reached with nutrition-specific interventions through USG-supported programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSP6. Number of pregnant women reached with nutrition-specific interventions through USG-supported programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSP7. Number of children under two (0-23 months) reached with community-level nutrition interventions through USG supported programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSP8. Number of individuals receiving nutrition-related professional training through USG supported programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSP9. Prevalence of global acute malnutrition (GAM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BL21. Percent of producers who have applied targeted improved agricultural management practices or technologies  
RiA  E  Programs that target farmers or producers to promote agricultural management practices and technologies

BL31. Percent of households participating in group-based savings, micro-finance or lending programs  
RiA  E  Programs that implement group-based savings, micro-finance or lending

BL27. Percent of households with access to a basic sanitation service  
RiA  E  Programs that promote improved sanitation services

BL16. Percent of households using basic drinking water services  
RiA  E  Programs that facilitates improved sanitation services

BL17. Percent of households with soap and water at a handwashing station on premises  
RiA  E  Activities promoting social and behavior change related to WASH

BL12. Percent of children 6–23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet  
RiA  E  Activities promoting feeding children minimum acceptable diet

BL13. Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under six months of age  
RiA  E  Activities promoting exclusive breastfeeding

BL23. Ability to recover from shocks and stresses index  
RiA  E  Activities building resilience capacities
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BL38. Index of social capital at the household level</th>
<th>RiA</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Activities building resilience capacities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL24. Percent of households that believe local government will respond effectively to future shocks and stresses</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Activities building resilience capacities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M34. Percent of participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources (assets, credit, income or employment) who are female</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Activities promoting women access to assets, credit, savings, income and/or employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M35. Percent of participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources who are youth (15-29)</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Activities promoting youth access to assets, credit, savings, income and/or employment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All programs must include additional FFP standard monitoring indicators as applicable.

Applicable output indicators required for FFP reporting must also be included in the LogFrame.

For reference materials and supporting information regarding LogFrames, see the [USAID December 2012 Technical Note on Logical Frameworks](#).

### b. Evaluation Plan
USAID/FFP requires that all awards must conduct a baseline study and a mixed-method final evaluation. FFP encourages a mixed-method baseline study when possible. For anthropometric and other nutritional indicators, applicants may use either SMART survey methodology including ENA sample size calculator, or the methods proposed in the abbreviated statements of work.
Baseline Studies
The baseline study must include a quantitative participant or population-based survey. The baseline survey must:

- Include a probability sample at the participant or population level in the activity area, and be designed to produce values that will be compared to results from an endline survey so that change can be measured and tested for difference. Applicants that plan to use SMART survey method for anthropometric and other nutritional indicators, can estimate the sample size using ENA sample size calculator. The abbreviated SoW should include all sampling parameters and reference so reviewers can re-estimate the sample size.

- Complete quantitative data collection within 120 days of the approval of the award, and submit a final copy of the baseline study to the Agreement Officer Representative (AOR) and for NGO/PVO partners, the Development Evaluation Clearinghouse within 150 days of award approval. All survey datasets (baseline and endline) must be submitted by NGO/PVO partners to the Development Data Library (DDL). For dataset submission guidance refer to ADS 579.

When feasible and appropriate applicants can collect participant baseline data at the time of participant registration rather than through a separate survey. It is important to recognize that collecting baseline data from all participants and endline data from a sample of participants cannot be compared. While applicants are encouraged to ensure that data collection occurs prior to the start of in-kind, cash or voucher distributions, activities may commence resource distributions prior to the completion of the baseline survey, in order to meet life-saving needs and objectives.

Activities that have a specific nutrition objective with a dedicated budget to improving nutrition specific outcomes must include prevalence of Global Acute Malnutrition (GAM) for the targeted participants in the baseline and endline surveys. To measure GAM, activities must collect data for weight-for-height using anthropometric measures and edema. FFP recommends SMART survey manual (2017) to check edema.

FFP encourages activities to consider collecting other indicators in the baseline survey that are relevant to the objectives, interventions and context of the activity. “Activities proposing nutrition, agriculture, WASH, livelihoods, DRR/resilience, social cohesion and accountability, gender, youth or other technical sectors or cross-cutting components, can consult FFP Development Food Security Activity, OFDA and/or “The Sphere Handbook: Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Humanitarian Response” (SPHERE) indicator lists.”
• Final Evaluation

The final evaluation must be externally led by an experienced firm or consultants. Final evaluation quantitative endline surveys must include a probability sample at the participant or population level in the activity area that is consistent with the baseline survey, and be designed to produce values that will be compared to results from a baseline survey so that change can be measured and tested for difference. Applicants are encouraged to ensure that data collection for baseline and endline surveys occur at the same point in time (during the year), to the greatest extent possible.

c. Monitoring Plan

Applicants must provide a narrative that describes the activity’s monitoring strategy. The monitoring strategy must describe all the monitoring activities that applicants plan to conduct with a proposed methodology for each monitoring activity and data management.

At a minimum, monitoring activities must include post distribution and operational context monitoring plans. Post distribution monitoring (PDM) must track as relevant activity aspects such as utilization of household food assistance, household food consumption, participants’ satisfaction with food assistance, timeliness of the assistance, participants’ perception about gender and protection considerations, safety and security, vendor feedback, teacher perceptions of school-based activities, access to and effectiveness of participant feedback loops and other factors associated with the transfer of the entitlement. If the activity changes modalities at any point (e.g., introducing cash into what had previously only been in-kind distributions), the implementer should conduct a post modality change evaluation to examine the effect of the shift on household dynamics with special attention to feedback from women and youth. The plan for PDM should include the following components: indicators collected, survey design, sampling frame, sample size calculation, sample selection, and analysis including sample weight application.

The application must also include an operational context monitoring plan that describes the operational context issues that may impact activity and how these issues will be monitored. The operational context must identify the indicators and data collection methods. If reliable secondary data sources are available, activities may utilize these but should indicate the source. At a minimum, the operational context monitoring plan must monitor the price and availability of staple food commodities. Applicants must identify the commodities that will be tracked, the locations, and the frequency of market monitoring.

In addition, partners should consider how to measure programming influence on market actors. The MARKit: Price Monitoring, Analysis and Response Kit developed by members of the LRIP Learning Alliance is an example of one of the community
toolkits available to help interpret and react to market information collected during an activity. If specific thresholds are to be established to signal the possibility of a distortion, please describe the process that will be used to identify those thresholds. FFP also encourages applicants to consider monitoring morbidity, mortality, casual labor rates, terms of trade and other indicators as available and appropriate to the objectives and activities of the activity.

As part of the Monitoring Plan, the applicant must include a data management plan that describes how it intends to manage data at all stages, from collection to reporting to ensure consistent handling, quality standards and the protection of participant data. The plan should include a summary of data quality assurance, data security protocols and data use processes, protocols, and standards.

d. Post award Requirements
Within 30 days of the award, the successful applicants are required to submit an indicator performance tracking table, which should include all indicators, baseline, and base values, data sources, targets, data collection frequency, and data collection methods. The successful applicants must also submit performance indicator reference sheets (PIRS) for each of the indicators that it will collect within 30 days of the award. Note that FFP provides PIRS for all required and required-if-applicable indicators. You can find FFP PIRS here.

The applicant will submit annually a revised M&E plan as needed to update the plan components and related documents as situation/context may change and require a change in the monitoring and evaluation plans. The applicant will submit the revised M&E plan and related documents with the Annual Results Report (ARR) submission.

Within 30 days of award, the successful applicants are required to submit a complete statement of work (SoW) for the baseline study and mixed-method final evaluation. Please review the FFP Guidance for an Abbreviated Statement of Work (SoW) for a Baseline Study and Final Evaluation for Emergency Programs for sample size estimation and example of the required components of a SoW. The SoW guidance provides different scenarios and sample sizes, if the different sampling parameters are comparable to one of the scenarios, an applicant may want to use the proposed sample size and sampling strategy.

USAID may include additional monitoring and evaluation requirements. Please see the Post-Award Reporting section for minimum reporting requirements. The PDM reporting should describe how participants use food assistance transfers (consumption and/or expenditure patterns dependent upon modality), whether that usage is consistent with the activity design, and other sources of household food, issues, and challenges. Applicants are encouraged to collect outcome indicators on a regular basis to track change during the activity (note that baseline/endline sampling approach is different from PDM, and hence their data should not be compared).
9) Cost Application

- All budgeted costs must be in U.S. currency, be presented in Excel or compatible equivalent, and must use calculation formulas.

- The cost application should include a comprehensive budget and detailed budget breakdown by year.

- The comprehensive budget must incorporate all planned costs by object class category, and funding type (funding source) for each year of the activity. Object class categories are logical groupings of costs, such as staff salaries, fringe benefits, travel, capital equipment, supplies, and indirect costs.

- For the cost application, clearly identify the type of emergency food assistance modality proposed and break out all costs associated with each modality into separate columns.

- Every cost (e.g., staffing, administrative, training/capacity building) must be captured in at least one modality column.

- In-kind components do not need to be broken out by intervention (e.g. by nutrition, GFD, food for assets).

- If the applicant is requesting only Title II U.S. in-kind resources or only resources for market-based food assistance, then only one cost application is required.

- If the applicant is requesting both Title II and IDA resources, the applicant should submit two separate cost applications, one for Title II in-kind resources using the commodity calculator and including applicable Internal Transport, Storage and Handling (ITSH) and Section 202(e) funding requests, and one for the other market-based assistance.

**Note:** The cost breakdown by market-based modality (LRIP, cash transfers, food vouchers and Essential Complementary Activities) must, when added together, equal the total funding amount requested. In other words, all line item costs must be associated with one or more modalities. If the applicant is requesting both Title II in-kind and market-based resources, then the sum of the two cost application totals must equal the total funding request.

- Costs should be further broken down by food voucher activities, cash transfer activities, commodity procurement, transport, shipping and handling costs, and activity intervention costs, delineated by resources provided through this APS, non-USG cost-sharing, if any (see 2 CFR 200.307), other USAID funding broken down by operating unit and type, if applicable (e.g., FFP Title II, FFP EFSP, OFDA), and/or other non-USAID USG funding, as applicable. (See the link to the budget template below.)

- For select market-based modalities (specifically LRIP), the applicant must pay market competitive prices for commodity procurements. To assist in this analysis,
applicants may use comparative price calculations. Applicants must explain the approach to be used to ensure competitive pricing.

- A budget narrative must be included justifying all cost items, as well as any appropriate supporting documentation, e.g., Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA).

- For NGO/PVOs, the financial plan should meet the criteria contained in Subpart E-Cost Principles of 2 CFR 200, which will be used to determine whether the components of the cost application are allowable and reasonable.

- With regard to payment of local government taxes, awardees must comply with allowable cost principles outlined in 2 CFR 200. (Note: This does not apply to PIOs.) Applicants should use the following cost categories in their budget and provide a budget summary table. Other categories may also be included.

Please see the NGO/PVO emergency budget template on the FFP section of the USAID website here.

1. Staff Salaries
2. Fringe Benefits
3. Overseas Allowances
4. Supplies and Materials
5. Travel and transport
6. Commodity Procurement, Transportation and Warehousing
7. Equipment at/or above $5,000
8. Consultancy/Technical Assistance/Training
9. Contractual/Sub-awards
10. Other Direct Costs
11. Indirect Costs

PIOs may use budget categories used in appeals or other USAID funding requests.

10) USAID Environmental Regulations
Currently, 22 CFR 216.2(b)(1)(i) exempts international disaster assistance activities from meeting USAID environmental requirements (e.g., Initial Environmental Examination, Environmental Assessment (IEE), Environmental Impact Statement), with the exception of the procurement and/or use of pesticides. Title II emergency programming also does not require IEEs. However, if the applicant has previous experience or a previous food aid activity with the same population, then an IEE may be required. Applicants are encouraged to assess the environmental impacts (e.g., changes in fuel wood/energy usage) of the proposed activity interventions and are also strongly encouraged to submit a Rapid Environmental Impact Assessment (Rapid EIA), as outlined on the FFP website. USAID environmental requirements are subject to change. Recipients will be required to follow rules in place at the time of any award.
Emergency activities should review the Sphere Handbook which include consideration of environmental consequences of humanitarian action. Other applicable guidance on training tools and assessments may be found within the USAID emergency food assistance website.

PIOs follow their own environmental policies and procedures, per ADS 308.3.10.c. For any procurement and or use of fumigation or other pesticides for protection of food commodity, then applicable assessments and procedures apply.

11) Certifications, Assurances, and Other Statements of Applicant and/or Recipient
Non-PIO applicants are required to submit certain certifications with the application. The templates can be found here.

12) System for Award Management (SAM) and Dun and Bradstreet
All applicants (other than individuals, and with some specific exceptions as per 2 CFR 25.110) and any potential sub-recipients (excluding awards of less than $25,000 to foreign recipients to be performed outside the United States), must have Dun & Bradstreet (DUNS) numbers and be registered in SAM.

13) Financial Documents/Indirect Cost Rates
All NGO/PVO applicants must submit a NICRA, support the proposed indirect cost rate with a letter from a cognizant U.S. Government audit agency with sufficient information for USAID to determine the reasonableness of the rates, or, in accordance with 2 CFR 200.414, eligible applicants may choose to apply a 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate. Please note the latter is only for those applicants which have never received a NICRA.

14) Federal Forms.

15) Branding Strategy and Marking Plan
Applicants are required to comply with 2 CFR 700.16 and ADS 320 and any updates thereof, as applicable, and complete a branding strategy and marking plan (BS/MP) with each award. The BS/MP is required for successful applicants only; it is not required upon submission of a concept paper or with the initial full application. However, if a full application is requested, the applicant is encouraged to include their BS/MP in the initial submission of the full application.

An applicant who chooses not to include their BS/MP with their application will not be penalized during the review process, but should be aware that, if the applicant is an
apparently successful applicant, submission of an acceptable BS/MP will be a prerequisite for any resulting award.

Because USAID’s branding and marking requirements have cost implications, such costs should be included in the application budget even if the applicant does not submit its BS/MP with the application. Exceptions and waivers to USAID marking requirements may be approved pursuant to conditions set forth in 2 CFR 700.16(h) and (j), and 22 CFR 211 as applicable.

Agency branding and marking guidance, found in ADS 320, contains instructions on how to prepare the BS/MP. The USAID website contains samples of the USAID logo in various formats. Please visit www.usaid.gov/branding for the Graphic Standards Manual (updated March 2016) and logos. Except under limited circumstances, USAID requires recognition of the contributions of the American people provided under awards, unless otherwise approved. Typically, for Title II, commodity packaging will be completed in the United States.

For market-based modalities, in addition to standard USAID BS/MP requirements, unless otherwise approved in writing by USAID, all bags for commodities purchased under LRIP must bear the USAID Identity (as defined in 2 CFR 700.1), the commodity type and quantity, and the following text: These commodities are provided by USAID, but were produced in [insert country].' Note: This reference to where the commodities were produced equates with the commodities’ country of origin, which may differ from the country of purchase. The cost of labeling of all bags should be included in the budget.

16) Safety and Security Plan
Among USAID’s primary programming concerns is that its implementing partners take all reasonable precautions to minimize risks to all staff and operations funded by USAID. While risk can never be fully eliminated, USAID expects its partners to be adequately prepared to work in any environment for which they submit an application. Applicants must incorporate operational security management systems, appropriate to their organization and operational area(s), into all applications.

USAID requires applicants to submit a location-specific safety and security plan for proposed operational areas, which may be as specific as a village, town, city, or district where activities will occur. Applicants should use discretion in providing a level of detail appropriate to the operating context and variance in conditions across the targeted areas. Submission of global security handbooks and/or policy documents does not satisfy USAID’s requirements for safety and security plans. Safety and security plans must be demonstrably written for and apply directly to the areas where activities are being proposed. All personnel and operations funded under USAID awards, including subawardees or other partners with substantive programmatic contributions, must be covered by a safety and security plan. USAID implementing partners should pay attention to the unique threats and vulnerabilities faced by national staff and directly address these threats and vulnerabilities in safety and security plans.
USAID will not explicitly or implicitly evaluate the merit of the content of any safety and security plan(s) submitted. The safety and security plan will not count toward the total page limit and should be included as an annex with the submission of the full application. PIOs are not required to submit a safety and security plan.

17) Past Performance
Apparently successful applicants only will be asked to provide a list of all contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements involving similar or related work that the applicant has undertaken during the previous three years. This includes any experience with LRIP, cash transfers, food vouchers, commodity management, Essential Complementary Activities, and other food assistance experience including development programming, or, secondarily, experience with emergency programming. This information must include the location and award numbers, if applicable, a brief description of work performed, name of donor entity and points of contact for donor(s) with current phone numbers and/or e-mail addresses. List all information in reverse-chronological order, starting with the most recent.

18) Other Documents
It is recognized that in some activities the identification of specific partners and sub-recipients cannot occur until after award. Therefore, specific delineation of responsibilities and costs of sub-recipients cannot always be provided in the concept paper and/or application. However, in many cases, this information is known at the time the concept paper and/or application is being prepared. In order to reduce the administrative burden of obtaining post-award approval for partners, sub-recipients, etc., and thereby facilitate activity implementation and the achievement of results in the timeframe of the award(s), applicants are strongly encouraged to identify partnership arrangements in the technical/programmatic and cost/budget/management sections of their concept paper and/or application. Letters of Intent, Letters of Agreement, or Memoranda of Understanding should be included in the application to the extent possible.

USAID encourages PIOs and international NGOs/PVOs to support, mentor, partner, and/or collaborate with local organizations.

19) Approval of Subawards
The applicant must submit information for all subawards that it wishes to have approved at the time of award. For each proposed subaward the applicant must provide the following:

- Name of organization
- DUNS Number: Applicants working through local partners must ensure that local organizations have the capacity to carry out the designated components of the proposed activity, and should consider capacity-building which will leave a lasting
impact on local organizations. Please note that all identified sub awardees must have a DUNS number, except sub-awards of less than $25,000 to foreign recipients to be performed outside the United States.

- Confirmation that the subrecipient does not appear on the Treasury Department’s Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) list and Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List.
- Confirmation that the subrecipient does not have active exclusions in the System for Award Management (SAM)
- Confirmation that the subrecipient is not listed in the United Nations Security designation list
- Confirmation that the subrecipient is not suspended or debarred
- Confirmation that the applicant has completed a risk assessment of the subrecipient, in accordance with 2 CFR 200.331(b)
- Any negative findings as a result of the risk assessment and the applicant’s plan for mitigation.
SECTION E: APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

1. Concept Paper – Merit Review Criteria

FFP expects the concept paper to address what emergency food security needs a multi-year emergency program can feasibly address, what approach the applicant believes can most effectively address those needs, and what modalities are preferred to accomplish those ends.

Each concept paper will be evaluated under the following merit review criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Merit Review Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Possible Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Emergency Description and Problem Statement</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Approach</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Modality Rationale</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Possible Points</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Emergency Description and Problem Statement

The Emergency Description and Problem Statement will be evaluated based on the justification provided for the need for recovery, protracted crisis, or DRR programs in accordance with this APS. The Emergency Description and Problem Statement should articulate what the applicant understands to be priority needs of the population, including as applicable the scale and context, social dynamic, gap analysis, and why multi-year programming is appropriate in this context.

2) Approach

The Approach will be evaluated based on the extent to which the activity is conceptually sound, aligns with any FFP priorities for the country set forth by amendment, and provides a clear and realistic vision as to what positive change in food security related to recovery, protracted crisis, and/or DRR can be accomplished with a community over the course of a two to three year activity.

This should include to what extent the approach addresses unmet needs either by targeting under-served populations or through layering, sequencing, and integrating with support provided by other USAID-funded, host government, and/or other donor-funded activities.
Consideration will be given to whether the approach logically flows from the provided Emergency Description and Problem Statement.

Consideration will also be given to whether the approach adequately incorporates human-centered design principles.

The approach will further be evaluated based on the extent to which forward thinking and sustainability elements have been factored into the design. Sustainability will be evaluated as much on realism as on appropriateness to population needs.

3) Modality Rationale
The Modality Rationale section will be evaluated as to the following equal criteria:

- Applicant provides adequate analysis to indicate that the programmatic approach (e.g., assistance modality and delivery mechanism) is sensitive to market conditions in geographic areas of implementation as well as in source markets;

- The programmatic approach is feasible—based on logistical capacity and infrastructure, and ability to deliver assistance within the time constraints as required by the emergency;

- The food security objectives of the activity are clearly stated, and sufficient explanation has been provided to indicate that the proposed approach is likely to be effective at achieving those objectives in meeting the needs of acutely food insecure people affected by a crisis; and

- The proposed approach is cost efficient and will either optimize the number of participants to be reached relative to U.S. in-kind commodities (if proposing market-based food assistance modalities) or the applicant adequately documents other value added in meeting their stated food security objectives.

Review and Selection Process for Concept Papers
Applicants will receive notification if their concept paper is successful, and such notification will include technical feedback, and notice as to whether the oral presentation phase is or is not required. If oral presentations are not required, the feedback will also include additional guidance on the level and format of co-design anticipated by USAID prior to the review of the full technical application.

Applicants will receive a notification if their concept papers are deemed unsuccessful. If an applicant is not invited to submit a full application as a result of submission and review of a concept paper, any re-submission by that applicant of another concept
paper for the same activity (e.g., same shock, same participant population, same geographic area, same emergency needs) will only be considered under exceptional circumstances.

Similarly, if an applicant’s full application is not recommended for funding, re-submission of another concept paper for the same activity (e.g., same shock, same participant population, same geographic area), by the same applicant, will only be considered under exceptional circumstances.

2. Oral Presentation – Merit Review Criteria (if required)
Oral presentations (if required) will be reviewed on the basis of whether they successfully elaborate on the information provided in the concept paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Merit Review Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Possible Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Humanitarian approach and activity considerations</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Proposed Management Structure</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Proposed collaborative design approach</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Possible Points</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) **General approach and activity considerations**
The applicant’s presentation must clearly articulate the organization’s approach to recovery/protracted crisis/DRR, their proposed activity summary, and proposed modalities. The presentation should address any technical issues raised by USAID from the concept paper phase.

2) **Proposed Management Structure**
The presentation must also further detail the proposed management structure, including any proposed key personnel, and the comparative strengths of the proposed applicant.

3) **Proposed collaborative design approach**
Responding to additional co-design guidance provided by USAID (see below), the applicant should describe how they envision the collaborative application design process, specifically coordination and communication with USAID and the role of the applicant’s organization. The response should detail the organization’s experience with and approach to co-design processes.
Review and Selection Process for Oral Presentations
If required, the format and timing of oral presentations will be communicated by USAID, either through an amendment to this APS, or by the selection committee chair following the Concept Paper review. Such communication will also include additional guidance on the level and format of co-design anticipated by USAID.

3. Application – Merit Review Criteria

Following the co-design consultation, USAID will evaluate the resulting technical application of the apparently successful applicant, which would constitute the Program Description of the resulting cooperative agreement, as “Pass/Acceptable” or “Fail/Unacceptable.” In the event negotiations fail to improve the apparently successful applicants Technical Application/Program Description, USAID may determine the application as “fail/unacceptable”.

Review and Selection Process for Applications
The apparently successful applicant will develop a technical application which will be the Program Description of the resulting Cooperative Agreement in collaboration with USAID.

The programmatic and technical aspects of an application will be reviewed separately from the cost application. The cost application will be evaluated for cost effectiveness, cost realism, reasonableness, allowability, and allocability.
SECTION F: FEDERAL AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

1. Federal Award Notices

The notice of award signed by the AO is the authorizing document, which USAID will provide electronically to the point of contact identified in the application of a successful applicant.

Award of the agreement contemplated by this APS cannot be made until funds have been appropriated, apportioned, allocated and committed through internal USAID procedures. While USAID anticipates that these procedures will be successfully completed, potential applicants are hereby notified of these requirements and conditions for the award.

2. Administrative & National Policy Requirements

Unless otherwise noted, all sections of the APS are applicable to all emergency requests, whether supported by the FFP Act or FAA-authorized resources.

Applicants should submit concept papers outlining requested Title II in-kind assistance or market-based modalities, without specifying the funding source for market-based modalities. USAID will decide how any approved market-based modalities will be funded.

USAID assumes no liability for reimbursing applicants for any costs that may be incurred in the preparation and submission of concept papers and/or applications.

USAID reserves the right to issue additional solicitations and/or make awards outside of this APS in order to meet the objectives of the U.S. Government.

Applications under this APS can be for either Title II in-kind resources, market-based modalities, or a combination of the two types of interventions.

This APS does not apply to Title II development (i.e., non-emergency) resources.

This APS does not provide guidance to existing development activities regarding the use of approved non-emergency resources in response to an emergency. For such guidance, current recipients should contact the activity’s Agreement Officer’s Representative (AOR) in FFP. FFP will ensure that there is no duplication between emergency applications under the APS and non-emergency resources used in response to an emergency.

NGOs, PVOs, and cooperatives should use this APS for applications requesting emergency Title II in-kind resources or market-based resources.
Public international organizations (PIOs) may use this APS for applications requesting market-based resources and may opt to use it to request emergency Title II in-kind resources.

Unless otherwise noted, all sections of the APS are applicable to both Title II in-kind resources and market-based modalities.

USAID anticipates issuing amendments or addenda to this APS to request concept papers or applications for specific emergencies. USAID will post amendments or addenda to Grants.gov, through which organizations may sign up to receive notifications of changes. USAID may issue amendments or addenda to this APS for other reasons, such as to establish deadlines or notify interested parties that no further funding is available.

Awards will be made and administered in accordance with applicable laws and regulations, including the FFP Act, the FAA, USAID regulations, policies, procedures, and any actual award provisions, including USAID’s standard provisions (for U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations, Non-U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations, or Public International Organizations). The awards will be administered in accordance with 22 CFR 211, 22 CFR Part 216, 2 CFR Part 200, 2 CFR Part 700, and ADS 303 (NGOs) or ADS 308 (PIOs), and FFP Information Bulletins as applicable.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, or other provisions of this APS, USAID reserves the right to make awards to PIOs on different terms and conditions than to those made to NGOs, PVOs, and cooperatives; and to require different documentation prior to, or as a requirement under an award.

3. Reporting Requirements

ADS 540 requires awardees (except PIOs) to submit documentation created during the course of a USAID award to the USAID Development Experience Clearinghouse (DEC), such as assessments, analyses, studies, articles, baseline surveys, midterm and final evaluations, and appropriate components of the Annual Results Report (ARR). Prior to submitting materials, awardees should contact the AOR to ensure that the final and cleared materials are agreed upon for DEC submission, if required by the terms of the award. Awardees should upload all documents through DEC. Any dataset created or collected with USAID funding must be submitted to the Development Data Library (DDL). For dataset submission guidance refer to ADS 579.

All Programmatic and financial reports must be submitted to the USAID AOR by the due date for AOR’s approval. In addition to the annual and final reporting requirements specified below, USAID will make activity information available to the public as appropriate. Post-award reporting including quarterly program performance reports (QR), ARR’s and final programmatic results report should be submitted to ffpemergencygrants@usaid.gov unless otherwise specified by USAID.
When uploading documents, please use the following file naming convention:

Partner Name - Country - USAID Award Number - Financial Report (SF 425/Final Report)_Q# - Date Submitted (YYYY/MM/DD).doc

Partner Name - Country - USAID Award Number - Program Report _Q# - Date Submitted (YYYY/MM/DD).doc

Example: “ABC Haiti AID-FFP-G-16-00001 SF425_Q1 20160112”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAMMATIC AND FINANCIAL REPORTING TABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAMMATIC REPORTING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Activity Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Success Stories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Annex: Local, Regional, and International Purchase Commodity (LRIP) table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Local, Regional and International Purchase Commodity (LRIP) report in FFP Partner Reporting Tool</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. Annex: Loss Reporting

10. Annex: Loss Reporting

B. Annex: Commodity Quality and Safety

11. Annex: Commodity Quality and Safety


FINANCIAL REPORTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUARTERLY FINANCIAL REPORT</th>
<th>FINAL FINANCIAL REPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF 425 - SF 425a</td>
<td>The final Financial report replaces the quarterly financial report for the final quarter of the award</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROGRAMMATIC REPORTING

A. Quarterly Performance Reports (QRs) (5 pages max-recommended)

QRs are due within 30 days after the end of each fiscal year quarter, unless the reporting period ends before 45 days from the effective date of the award, or less than one month from the estimated completion date of the award and the award will not be extended. The primary objectives of the QR are to discuss progress against all indicators identified in the M&E Plan, to tell the story behind the progress and to identify planned changes in programmatic approaches. USAID specifies additional components of quarterly reporting in the award based on the modality. Note that annexes do not count towards the page limit.

1) Activity Summary

Highlight major changes in access, security, food security and other relevant elements impacting programming. Summary should be specific to changes in the context from the previous quarter, with an outlook at potential changes in the next quarter. Please include reference to any specific issues that have been the subject of informal updates or approval requests to FFP within the last quarter (e.g., “the cost fluctuations”).

2) Activity Outputs

Activity output achievements reflected as planned versus actuals. Include total number of participants targeted and reached overall, disaggregated by sex. If participants are reached by more than one intervention, please provide a separate table for each intervention. Please provide narrative description for any results over or under targets.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants (Male)</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants (Female)</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td>Actual/Planned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) **Programming Performance**

Present results from any outcome and process indicators that have been collected this quarter. This includes data from post-distribution monitoring (PDM), feedback mechanisms and ad-hoc assessments.

4) **Challenges**

Any challenges that the activity has faced during the quarter and how they were resolved and discuss any potential challenges or delays, which may impact the activity’s ability to achieve its objectives.

5) **Market Analysis**

Analyze and present results from market assessments and monitoring (source and participant community markets). Discuss trends and potential programming impacts. In reporting price changes over time, comparisons should be made to any regular seasonal changes of prices. In the absence of robust pre-existing market information systems, awardees should utilize the most accurate, regular, and relevant prices available. Unexpected market impacts should be explained in the QRs and ARR, along with course corrections made. If specific thresholds are being used to identify price fluctuations that could signify an adverse market distortion, please notify USAID in this section if those thresholds have been exceeded during this quarter.

6) **Planned Activities**

Key activities planned for the upcoming quarter.

7) **Protection Concerns**

Should protection issues arise as a direct result of the applicant’s program (e.g. increased tension between couples due to women’s direct access to cash), as well as reporting the unintended effects observed, describe actions taken and planned to mitigate the risk. Address any protection concerns affecting local populations.

8) **Success Stories** (as relevant)
9) Local, Regional and International Purchase Commodity (LRIP) report in FFP Partner Reporting Tool (See FFPIB 19-03 for more info)
Awardees are expected to enter the following information into the appropriate tables annually:

- Procurement Table:
  i. Commodity name
  ii. Procurement type
  iii. Quantity procured in MT
  iv. Procurement value in US$
  v. Transport cost in US$, total cost
  vi. Purchase date
  vii. Source and origin countries
  viii. Prices per MT 2 weeks before and after the purchase date in US$

- Procurement Table:
  i. Commodity name
  ii. Quantity delivered in MT
  iii. Unique participants receiving food
  iv. Number of rations

10) Food transfer modality report in FFP Partner Reporting Tool
Awardees are expected to enter the following information into the appropriate tables annually:

- Cash/Voucher Table:
  i. Conditionality type (including unconditional)
  ii. Modality type
  iii. Total money distributed in US$
  ix. Number of unique HH
  v. Number of unique participants
  vi. Number of ration days
  vii. Transfer cost in US$
  viii. Total redeemed value in US$
  ix. % of HH daily dietary needs met

- In-Kind Table:
  i. Conditionality type (including unconditional)
  ii. Number of unique HH
  iii. Number of unique participants
  iv. Number of ration days
  v. % of HH daily dietary needs met

11) Annex: Loss Reporting
All losses regardless of modality should immediately be reported to FFP as well as be included into quarterly and final reports. When reporting commodity losses include the type, amount and value of commodity including the reason for the loss. For cash and vouchers, losses are defined as any diversion of resource transfers which were intended for participants. When reporting CVA losses include value and reason for the loss.

12) Annex: Commodity Quality and Safety
Commodity safety and quality assurance inspection results compared to local country food safety guidance or Codex Alimentarius, as referenced in the Commodity Safety and Quality Assurance section. Results must contain aflatoxin levels and moisture content certification. Commodity safety and quality inspection certificates will be submitted concurrent with QRs to ffpemergencygrants@usaid.gov.

Note: any commodity quality and safety concerns should be immediately reported to the AOR

The evaluation team leader is responsible for drafting the final evaluation report. It is important to ensure that both the quantitative and qualitative components are well-integrated and are used to support cohesive findings. FFP expects that evaluation reports will be well-written, insightful, and concise. The final evaluation report must be submitted with the Final Report at the end of life of activity for AOR approval.

A. Annual Reporting
In addition, in lieu of a fourth quarter programmatic report, an Annual Results Report (ARR) shall be submitted in accordance with the FFP ARR guidance. The ARR reporting guidance can be found under Annual Results Report section on the FFP website. The ARR should be submitted to ffpemergencygrants@usaid.gov. This report will be instrumental in helping USAID complete reporting on overall program performance.

B. Final Programmatic Report
Reporting requirements will be identified in the award. Information should be reported at the end of the activity life for the entire life of the activity. At a minimum, the final report will include the following.

1) Activity Summary
Highlight overall activity achievements and milestones. Provide general update on food security situation and contextual changes.

2) Activity Outputs
Activity output achievements reflected as planned versus actuals. Data should be presented per month for all months under the active award. Include total number of
participants targeted and reached overall disaggregated by sex. If participants are reached by more than one modality, please note this in your narrative. Please provide narrative description for any and all results over or under targets by more than 10 percent.

3) **Programming Performance**
Present results from outcome and process indicators. This includes data from baselines, PDMs, feedback mechanisms and final assessments. Data should be presented per month for all months that it was collected under the active award.

4) **Lessons Learned**
Describe lessons learned on programmatic adaptations made in response to challenges or opportunities encountered, the appropriateness of selected modalities and activities to the context, and unintended consequences of program activities and how they were addressed. Discuss whether the reasons for choosing the modality at the inception of the program held true during implementation. Was any new information uncovered during implementation that would alter or better inform the choice of modality? Note any general programming lessons learned which could apply more broadly to other programs or contexts.

5) **Market Analysis**
Analyze and present results from market assessments and monitoring (source and last mile markets). Discuss trends and potential programming impacts. In reporting price changes over time, comparisons should be made to any regular seasonal changes of prices. In the absence of robust pre-existing market information systems, awardees should utilize the most accurate, regular, and relevant prices available. Unexpected market impacts or disincentives to local production should be explained in the ARR, along with course corrections made.

6) **Cross-cutting Elements**
Describe how activity has assessed as well as addressed gender, protection and conflict sensitive needs and issues.

7) **Success Stories (as relevant)**

8) **Annex: Local, Regional, and International Purchase Commodity (LRIP) table**
LRIP activities are required to report on the LRIP table. Table must be submitted to ffpemergencygrants@usaid.gov. See table on FFP Website.

9) **Annex: Cash Transfers and Food Vouchers table**
CVA activities are required to report on the CVA table. Tables must be submitted to ffpemergencygrants@usaid.gov. See table on FFP Website.

10) **Annex: Loss Reporting**
All losses regardless of modality should immediately be reported to FFP as well as be included into quarterly and final reports. When reporting commodity losses include the type, amount and value of commodity including the reason for the loss. For cash and vouchers, losses are defined as any diversion of resource transfers which were intended for participants. When reporting CVA losses include value and reason for the loss.

11) Annex: Commodity Quality and Safety
Commodity safety and quality assurance inspection results compared to local country food safety guidance or Codex Alimentarius, as referenced in the Commodity Safety and Quality Assurance section. Results must contain aflatoxin levels and moisture content certification. Commodity safety and quality inspection certificates will be submitted concurrent with QRs to ffpemergencygrants@usaid.gov.

FINANCIAL REPORTING

For NGO/PVOs, financial reporting requirements will be in accordance with 2 CFR 200. Quarterly financial reports are expected to be submitted within 30 calendar days after the end of the quarter and the final financial report not later than 90 days after the end of the award. More information about financial reporting will be included in the award. For PIOs financial reporting requirements will be specified in the award.
SECTION G: FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY CONTACT(S)

- Contact ffpmergencygrants@usaid.gov with any questions or comments relating to an emergency activity application.
SECTION H: OTHER INFORMATION

1. Requirements for all Activities

Unless otherwise approved by USAID, the source and origin of commodities financed by USAID under LRIP activities, and the nationality of the suppliers of such commodities, shall be in countries up to the “Lower Middle Income Countries and Territories” category on the OECD-DAC List of ODA recipients, excluding the list of prohibited source countries in the mandatory reference to ADS 310. The most recent DAC list should be used. “Source” is defined in 22 CFR 228.01. “Nationality” rules are defined in 22 CFR 228.12. For the purposes of this APS, “origin” is defined as “the country where a commodity is mined, grown or produced. A commodity is produced when, through manufacturing, processing, or substantial and major assembling of components, a commercially recognized new commodity results that is significantly different in basic characteristics or in purpose of utility from its components.” Commodity procurements will be subject to all applicable laws and regulations, e.g., Department of Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) regulations.

Under this APS, all applicants (for NGOs, PVOs, and PIOs) proposing USAID-financed ocean shipment of commodities will be required to comply with the USG Cargo Preference Act as described in ADS 315. Compliance with the Cargo Preference Act is carried out at an Agency level and will be coordinated by the Bureau for Management, Office of Acquisition and Assistance, Transportation Division (M/OAA/T).

2. Code of Conduct

As a condition for receiving any award resulting from this APS, including both Title II or EFSP awards, applicants must have adopted a code of conduct that addresses the protection of beneficiaries of assistance from sexual exploitation and abuse in humanitarian relief operations. U.S. law stipulates that no International Disaster Assistance (IDA) funds may be obligated to an organization that fails to adopt a code of conduct providing for the protection of beneficiaries of assistance from sexual exploitation and abuse in humanitarian relief operations. As a matter of policy this requirement has also been extended to Title II emergency awards. The required code of conduct must be consistent with the UN Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC) Task Force on Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse in Humanitarian Crises, which includes the following core principles:

- Sexual exploitation and abuse by humanitarian workers constitute acts of gross misconduct and are therefore grounds for termination of employment;

- Sexual activity with children (persons under the age of 18) is prohibited regardless of the age of majority or age of consent locally. Mistaken belief in the age of a child is not a defense;
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● Exchange of money, employment, goods, or services for sex, including sexual favors or other forms of humiliating, degrading, or exploitative behavior is prohibited. This includes exchange of assistance that is due to participants;

● Sexual relationships between humanitarian workers and participants are strongly discouraged since they are based on inherently unequal power dynamics. Such relationships undermine the credibility and integrity of humanitarian aid work;

● Where a humanitarian worker develops concerns or suspicions regarding sexual abuse or exploitation by a fellow worker, whether in the same humanitarian aid agency or not, s/he must report such concerns via established agency reporting mechanisms;

● Humanitarian workers are obliged to create and maintain an environment that prevents sexual exploitation and abuse and promotes the implementation of their code of conduct. Managers at all levels have particular responsibilities to support and develop systems that maintain this environment.

3. Mandatory Reporting on Allegations of Sexual Abuse and Exploitation
For U.S. NGOs, the awardees must comply with ADS 303 Standard provision M14: Regulations Governing Employees, paragraph E which discusses standards of conduct.

For Non-U.S. NGOs, the awardees must comply with ADS 303 Standard Provision M11: Recipient and Employee Conduct, paragraph E.

4. Mandatory Disclosures
Consistent with 2 CFR §200.113, applicants and recipients must disclose, in a timely manner, in writing to the USAID Office of the Inspector General, with a copy to the cognizant Agreement Officer, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Subrecipients must disclose, in a timely manner, in writing to the USAID Office of the Inspector General and to the prime recipient (pass through entity) all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award.

5. USAID Disability Policy and accessibility Standards
The applicant’s attention is directed to USAID Disability Policies. These policies have implications for both the activity design and activity budget.

6. Voluntary Survey on Faith-Based and Community Organizations
The applicant is encouraged, but is not required, to submit USAID’s Voluntary Survey on Faith-Based and Community Organizations.
7. Ineligible Goods and Services, Ineligible suppliers, and Restricted Goods

The applicant’s attention is directed to ADS 310, ADS 312, and ADS 313. These rules and requirements may affect the activity design, budget, timing of award, and/or timely activity implementation and post-award administration.

8. Conflict of Interest Pre-Award Term (August 2018)

a. Personal Conflict of Interest

1. An actual or appearance of a conflict of interest exists when an applicant organization or an employee of the organization has a relationship with an Agency official involved in the competitive award decision-making process that could affect that Agency official’s impartiality. The term “conflict of interest” includes situations in which financial or other personal considerations may compromise, or have the appearance of compromising, the obligations and duties of a USAID employee or recipient employee.

2. The applicant must provide conflict of interest disclosures when it submits an SF-424. Should the applicant discover a previously undisclosed conflict of interest after submitting the application, the applicant must disclose the conflict of interest to the AO no later than ten (10) calendar days following discovery.

b. Organizational Conflict of Interest

The applicant must notify USAID of any actual or potential conflict of interest that they are aware of that may provide the applicant with an unfair competitive advantage in competing for this financial assistance award. Examples of an unfair competitive advantage include but are not limited to situations in which an applicant or the applicant’s employee gained access to non-public information regarding a federal assistance funding opportunity, or an applicant or applicant’s employee was substantially involved in the preparation of a federal assistance funding opportunity. USAID will promptly take appropriate action upon receiving any such notification from the applicant.

9. Programmatic and Technical References

Please consult the Guidance and Resources sections on USAID’s Food Assistance website for further information pertaining to the multi-year international emergency food assistance activity interventions described in this APS. If you have suggestions for additional resources, please submit them to fmemergencygrants@usaid.gov. USAID does not necessarily endorse the views expressed in the documents listed in the “Online Resources” section of the website.

For gender considerations see the following resources:
USAID’s Policy on Gender Equality and Female Empowerment
More information on gender integration in activity design can be found on the USAID website.

Tips for conducting a gender analysis at the intervention or activity level can be found in ADS 201.

For technical resources on Essential Complementary Activities other than nutrition for all modalities consider the following:

- USAID/Office of Conflict Management and Mitigation
- Sphere Handbook
- OFDA Guidelines for Proposals
- CaLP CVA Glossary

For country-specific FFP food assistance response figures, please refer to USAID FFP’s Country Fact Sheets.