UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT (USAID)

+ + + + +

BOARD FOR INTERNATIONAL FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT (BIFAD)

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING ON "BIFAD AND NEW UNIVERSITY PARTNERSHIPS"

+ + + + +

FRIDAY
APRIL 13, 2012
+ + + + +

The Board met in the Holeman Lounge, National Press Club, 529 14th Street NW, Washington, DC, at 8:30 a.m, Brady Deaton, Chair, presiding.

PRESENT

BRADY DEATON, PhD, Chair
CATHERINE ANN BERTINI, Maxwell School of
Citizenship and Public Affairs
WILLIAM B. DeLAUDER, PhD, Delaware State

University
GEBISA EJETA, PhD, Purdue University
MARTY McVEY, President, McVey & Co.
Investments LLC
ELSA MURANO, PhD, Texas A&M University

ALSO PRESENT

GARY ALEX, USAID

ADRIAN ARES, PhD, Virginia Tech

LARRY BEACH, USAID

ROBERT BERTRAM, BFS Office Director,

Agricultural Research and Policy

JOHN BOWMAN, PhD, USAID

MALCOLM BUTLER, Association of Public Land-

Grant Universities (APLU)

SAHARAH MOON CHAPOTIN, USAID

CLARA COHEN, USAID

JULIE HOWARD, PhD, Chief Scientist, Bureau for Food Security

TICORA JONES, PhD, Senior Advisor, USAID

Policy, Planning and Learning

SUSAN OWENS, USAID

MUNI RANGASWAMY, PhD, Virginia Tech University

PAUL WEISENFELD, Assistant to the

Administrator, Bureau for Food Security

TIM WILLIAMS, PhD, University of Georgia

Page :	3
C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	
Introduction and Opening Remarks	
by Chairperson Brady Deaton, PhD5	
Feed the Future Update	
by Paul Weisenfeld 49	
Feed the Future Update	
by Julie Howard, PhD	
Inception Workshop in Tanzania	
by Chairperson Brady Deaton, PhD141	
Inception Workshop in Ethiopia	
by Elsa Murano, PhD	
IPM/CRSP Meetings	
by Marty McVey	
Research Strategy by Rob Bertram185	
Discussion and Comments	
Higher Education Solutions Network	
by Ticora Jones, PhD	

1 A&M University and food safety, is my area of 2 expertise.

DR. EJETA: Gebisa Ejeta, Purdue
University.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: I'm Brady

Deaton from the University of Missouri and

chair of BIFAD. We also have with us today as

someone we want to add a congratulatory note

to, and that is Bob Easter, former chair of

BIFAD and new president of the University of

Illinois system.

Bob, congratulations.

We have a fast-moving agenda for parts of the day. It will give us ample time, however, to have interaction with you as well.

And we will keep a running summary of where we are up on the board here, I think.

Does someone shift this separately? I have it -- I mashed my button here, but -- oh, there we are.

Well, you know, the paper copies of the agenda are available, and I think

everyone who needs those has them at this point. And let me take a moment.

Susan, thank you, and Malcolm,
Susan Owens and Malcolm Butler, who worked
with us from USAID and APLU, respectively.
And the staff have been so wonderful in
getting everything logistically done.

Paula, thank you, especially, for your great work here.

We have this morning highlights.

Let me just review quickly. We will be
covering old business, new business. We will
have Feed the Future updates, BIFAD board
member outreach reports, and then sessions on
Higher Education Solutions Network. That is
the development RFA that is out there.

And then we will have two periods of opportunity for public comment this morning, and then in the afternoon. So, again, we want to encourage that interaction with you.

A couple of items of old business,

just to bring everyone up to date on the work that BIFAD has been doing. Really, this goes back over more than even the past year.

But we had a human and institutional capacity building working group established in 2011. Gebisa Ejeta has chaired that group. And we are now reviewing a BIFAD future capacity, the future capacity development framework.

And we will be considering other BIFAD working group follow-ups as we look to the future. Gebisa, anything else you want to add on that?

DR. EJETA: No, I think that is fine.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Any other members of the board on any of these points?

Interrupt me if I am moving too fast on them.

I simply want to provide the public at large and our own sense together here of where we were on covering some of the issues we have been dealing with.

We also had, as you will recall -
and this goes back a couple of years to

BIFAD's work on Haiti, and a report was

submitted. Elsa Murano led that effort with

a trip to Haiti and focused on the tertiary

agricultural education in their report to

USAID.

And we now considering, I know, additional follow-up activity in the food for future, Feed the Future context. And Elsa, let me turn to you for any comments you would like to make.

DR. MURANO: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. The activity that we undertook on

Haiti, just a very, very brief background, was

on the heels of the earthquake in January of

2010.

And we put together a report that we provided the administrator on how we think the agency should physically marshall its considerable human and other resources to address some tremendous needs there in that

1 country.

We then received a briefing from the agency with regards to the kinds of activities that they were going to be undertaking.

There was some delay in getting those started for a variety of reasons not in the control of the agency, mainly political in the country of Haiti itself in getting their elections out of the way and new leadership in place and so forth.

So we are very eager, the Haiti task force within BIFAD is very eager to then do a follow-up trip, frankly, to Haiti to -- with agency representation to take us around and show us the kinds of activities that they are undertaking where they are in terms of progress.

Because we see Haiti as an example, a good model, if you will, a country that is in tremendous need. And if we can get things done there well in and efficient and

effective manner with good planning that is very well executed, then you can replicate that in many other parts of the world.

So we are excited about that and planning to see if we can, at least one or two of us from BIFAD and the task force might be able to go out there to Haiti and have the agency host us and show us the progress that they have been making first-hand.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Elsa, thank you very much. Any comments from anyone else on the board?

Thank you for your continued leadership on this effort as well. We are tracking now, thank you. So you have been following this.

Another item of old business has been our Minority Serving Institution working group that Bill DeLauder has led this group and has submitted to the administrator a report on the MSI engagement with USAID with recommendations for specific follow-up and

1 | with steps to implement in a variety of areas.

And consideration of workshops
with stake holders for communication
engagements of a breadth of community
institutions in the United States and various
aspects of USAID work.

Bill, anything beyond that you would like to add?

DR. DELAUDER: Only, as you indicated, that we did -- the administrator did receive the report. He did respond to the report.

It was good to see that he recognized the value that minority serving institutions can bring to the work within USAID. So that was important and I understand that there is a group working within USAID to move forward on some of the recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Thank you.

Another item of old business that we are currently working on is the BIFAD award for scientific excellence and the USAID

1 Collaborative Research Support Program.

2.0

This arose from discussion with the CRSP directors a year ago at the World Food Prize meeting in Des Moines, Iowa. And consideration has been given to an annual award for a CRSP researcher and a graduate student.

And we have been discussing that criteria and we have, I believe, a resolution that Bill DeLauder has been chairing that committee effort.

And, Bill, thank you and let me turn to you.

DR. DeLAUDER: Okay, thank you,
Brady. You have in your document, I think
behind Tab 5, the document that has been
presented for the program for the CRSP award.

And there is a resolution and time line. But let me just say at the outset that BIFAD is delighted that we have reinstituted this CRSP award. We understand the value of the CRSP and we want to be able to recognize

the outstanding work that individuals working within the CRSP have -- the work that they have done.

So if the award I think was last offered back in '99 or somewhere in that vicinity, so we are going to reinstitute it, hopefully with the approval of the board today and to move forward with this award.

We also have added a student award to this. It is not just an individual researcher. But we also want to honor a student either at the graduate level or at the undergraduate level.

The document -- and let me just say that my committee included -- Jo Luck and Bob Easter worked with me on this, plus we got some help from Mark Varner in his support. So I want to thank them for that assistance.

I did circulate the document to the board members to give them an opportunity to offer any suggestions before we formally bring it to the board for action, and so the

document that you have now reflects all the inputs that I have received.

And so, to determine if it is appropriate, what I would like to do is to put the resolution on the table. And then if anyone has any unreadiness they can do it after the resolution is on the table.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Bill, do you want to -- should we read the resolution for everyone at this point?

DR. DeLAUDER: Yes, I'm going to read it.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay.

DR. DeLAUDER: So, Mr. Chairman, I am going to move that we approve the following resolution. It is a resolution to create the Board for International Food and Agricultural Development Awards for Scientific Excellence in the United States Agency for International Development Collaborative Research Support Program.

And the parameter says: Whereas

the United States Agency for International

Development (USAID) Board for International

Food and Agricultural Development (BIFAD) has

the responsibility in its charter to assess

the impact of programs carried out under Title

12 in solving agricultural problems and

natural resources issues in developing

nations.

And whereas one mechanism to assess this impact is provide an opportunity to review in greater detail the contributions made by researchers and students who work in a USAID collaborative research support program, resolution.

Therefore be it resolved that the BIFAD should create an award for scientific excellence in a USAID CRSP, that the award be given to former or active members of a CRSP in a researcher or graduate student category, and that the award be given annually.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay, so that is a formal motion in front of the board.

	Page 10
1	DR. DELAUDER: Right.
2	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Do I hear a
3	second to the motion?
4	DR. EJETA: Second.
5	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Second. And
6	let's open up for discussion. Since this was
7	suggested and evaluated there have been award
8	programs in the past many years ago, we
9	discovered.
10	And it is consistent with it is
11	interpreted as being consistent with the role
12	of BIFAD in recognizing the scientific
13	excellence and the interface between USAID and
14	the higher education community as well.
15	MR. MCVEY: Question. How many
16	awards? You said two, annually?
17	DR. DELAUDER: Yes, there would be
18	one award for a researcher and one award for
19	a student.
20	MR. MCVEY: And can you discuss

some of the mechanisms on how the award is --

the designee is selected?

21

22

1	DR. DELAUDER: Well, it is
2	included in the document that you have. But
3	there will be an awards committee outside of
4	the BIFAD that will be chosen by the chair of
5	BIFAD with input from the members of BIFAD.
6	That committee then will evaluate
7	the applications that are received, both for
8	the researcher award as well as the student
9	award. And then they will submit their
10	recommendations based on the evaluation to the
11	BIFAD Chair, to be reviewed by the BIFAD.
12	BIFAD itself will then made the
13	recommendation for the awardee and officially
14	it will be the Chair who actually will make
15	the based on the input coming from BIFAD.
16	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Bill, thank
17	you. Other comments?
18	(No response).
19	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay, are we
20	ready for all those in favor of approving this
21	resolution say aye.
22	(Chorus of ayes).

DR. DELAUDER: Well, we wanted to

21 do it --

20

22

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: But that's

1 what --

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. DELAUDER: We wanted to do it

3 this year, so --

4 CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Right.

DR. DELAUDER: -- that's what. I

6 think we can do it.

to know about it --

MR. McVEY: I have a question.

Since this is such an aggressive time line,
how do you propose getting that out to folks

DR. DELAUDER: Yeah, well--

MR. McVEY: -- to make

13 application?

DR. DELAUDER: Well, next week, since the board has already approved it and if you approve the time line, we use aplu, who has linkages with all of the folks out there, particularly the anything deans, the international people, and so forth.

And they will assist us in making that communication. I assume also that it will be posted on the website of USAID. So we

parts to expedite this on staffing, staff support.

But it is something that within a university context we are very -- we do these a lot, in a lot of different ways. So it will probably move a little faster, maybe, than -- than some have anticipated.

Okay, thank you very much. And that is an important item on the agenda for action and one that we are pleased to see move on.

The next item of new business -yes, it is attracting there, I believe.

Earlier, I was looking at the portable down
here. It was working fine, but we didn't have
it on this screen. So now I think we have got
it all in sync. So thanks very much for the
work there.

We wanted to move to another item of new business. It is a very important item and I will be introducing some people here associated with it. And that is the study of

the CRSP system, the Collaborative Research
Support Program, that is very familiar to
BIFAD USAID.

And communications with Raj Shah last year at the APLU meeting, he suggested that BIFAD take the ownership of a formative review of the CRSP program.

This has been suggested a number of times, has been even proposed in legislation, I believe, at one point that we simply need to take a comprehensive look in addition to the individual evaluations that occur with each of the CRSP.

Here we wanted to find whether there's new ways of gaining efficiency, of ensuring alignment with the Feed the Future initiatives, and in a sense capturing the best of science and ensuring it is being applied in the field.

And as we talk later this morning about some of us who have been involved in the inception workshops and CRSP reviews, I think

we can give you some really interesting examples of how that linkage is occurring.

CRSPs have been doing this for some time in a variety of ways and as the emphasis has been made to us, there is no single CRSP model. There a lot of different approaches being taken by the Collaborative Research Support Programs.

So let's find a way of looking at those and seeing we can gain administrative power and synergy and leverage to use vague terms that actually do mean a lot in the end, even though they sound like rhetoric at times.

So we took that quite seriously.

BIFAD embraced it immediately as a board and we set about identifying a team of evaluators who could effectively undertake this task in a very short order because Administrator Shah had hoped that we could complete this review by the next fiscal year, July 1 of 2012.

And this began really back in January and February, so it has been on a fast

track. And that was a challenge itself. But we put together a study team that is here with us today.

2.1

And I want to introduce these individuals and give them great thanks from BIFAD for sure. And Robert Jones, executive vice president at the University of Minnesota is leading the team.

Robert, thank you very much for your work on this effort.

With him is David Sammons from the University of Florida, Barbara Stoecker from Oklahoma State University, Derek Byerlee, who is a consultant and has been with the CGIAR system as well for many years.

Derek, thank you.

Carol Kramer-LeBlanc is an independent consultant and Karen Brown, also at the University of Minnesota in international program work.

And each of these individuals brings a tremendous expertise. They are very

well respected in the roles they play and it is a team that has moved very rapidly to pull together, get themselves organized to look into the different dimensions of the CRSP initiative and develop a time line that will enable them in early May to meet at the University of Minnesota.

They have been here this week interviewing all. They met with the CRSP directors and had a number of individual interviews with a variety of stake holders involved with CRSP program development and implementations, and including private sector, international agencies, various governmental agencies and university personnel.

Robert, anything you would like to add to that, please feel free to do so. I just wanted to sort of give an overview.

But if you feel I have covered that adequately, then let me again thank you because we will then -- the report will be completed by the review team and submitted to

1 BIFAD by early June.

Did I get that right? Yes, early

June in time for BIFAD to be able to make a

review and submit it to the administrator.

Dr. Shah has asked for a personal sit-down on this topic, so we will be organizing that later in June as well to ensure that he is well-informed on all aspects of this study.

And of course the CRSP directors were in town earlier this week, as I indicated, and we spent time with them. Many of us did yesterday and had a great deal of interaction.

And we want maximum input from all parties.

And we are excited about the study because it is the kind of initiative that we feel BIFAD can move ahead with, in concert with USAID's interest in making appropriate modifications as needed to ensure the maximum impact of today's science on field world, alignment with USAID missions in countries

around the world, and particularly with the Feed the Future.

It clearly has some implications also for the initiative and development that we will be talking about with the educational work of USAID later on this morning.

So, Robert, again, and the team, thanks very much.

The new business is board recommendations on focus areas for BIFAD engagement. And we have had in a retreat in Little Rock earlier this year, as well as at earlier meetings we have had this past year, extensive discussion among the board about new initiatives that we perhaps should be working with, and with USAID on.

And what -- and looking -- trying to look to the future at focus areas that are critically important, and I know one. We have talked about several.

One that we discussed at some length yesterday in our executive board

meeting yesterday afternoon was the issue of agriculture, nutrition and health concerns.

And let me just open this up to the board for discussion because it is at this point at a very general level but one we want to take a few minutes to address, and perhaps other ideas that you might want to bring forward.

So with that, Elsa?

DR. MURANO: I'll start. Dr.

Ejeta I know has a lot of great thoughts about this topic. But it really comes from just a sense that obviously agriculture is at the root -- pardon the pun -- of what we are wanting to do in development in these countries, these areas of the world that need it so much and all that agriculture means.

But the connection between agriculture and health has always been important and is even more important now. And there has been a recognition of this by the agency and by other entities in terms of

1 injecting human nutrition into the mix.

And we absolutely agree with that and welcome that and are supportive of it.

But health goes even beyond that in terms of what the effects of agriculture and good qualify food and nutrition can have on so many other aspects of health.

So we are wanting to explore how we can better connect the influence of agriculture, food production, food processing, frankly, post-harvest, which is something that we sometimes ignore a little bit, and on how that impacts health in a myriad of ways.

And so we haven't quite identified all the nuts and bolts of what we want to do.

We are thinking about it still, whether BIFAD wants to engage in posting any of kind of a meeting or just engaging with various groups that are thinking about these things as well and kind of gathering what is the consensus of where we are in the state of the science in this area.

So we are not sure exactly what manifestation our activities will take. But this is still in the thought process, but very important to us as we recognize that.

You know, to a lot of people, when they think of agriculture they don't necessarily think health; and they need to.

Dr. Ejeta?

DR. EJETA: Yes, just a little bit. I think several organizations have been thinking about this and some have put up major conferences.

Within the CG system,

International Food and Policy Research

conducted a policy-oriented dimension of this

issue in India about a year ago and held a

major conference there.

And very recently, among others, a totally different dimension in a way that the health units were not involved in much of this discussion in a more significant way.

Just recently about a month ago I

participated in a conference at the Welcome Trust in England in connection with NIH and CDC, put together a small group of people.

About 30 people called together to look specifically about how one would address those research, integrated research in what brings about environmental change, agriculture, food and nutrition and health to addressing specific and watershed problems and livelihood issues in developing countries.

And so we thought maybe this may be an area where the agency could provide leadership in development and the whole of USAID concept, particularly with under the current administrator this may be something that we may get a greater advocacy done right because of his background and his experience in both agriculture and health.

And so we thought maybe we would think about it. As Dr. Murano said, we haven't had time to be very specific about the kind of dimension that we would take up on

1 this.

comments?

As you can see, it is a very broad area. But perhaps if we could find a niche in which USAID could provide that leadership, it may be an important agenda.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Other

Catherine?

MS. BERTINI: Thank you. Yes, and I understand USAID is looking into some of these issues. So perhaps we can have some dialogue with them about it.

And to add to the list, the U.N. had a conference in September, I think, on these broad topics. And Skaggo Council (phonetic) did a review on non-communicable diseases and the connections of agriculture to health.

And I think we see it in our own

Farm Bill Crops Act with that now about to be

reviewed and the issues of whether or not our

agriculture policy domestically has any

relation whatsoever to our health policy, and

1 whether it should.

And then finally, I think in the field there are a lot of connections that USAID and others in the field are already making in order to connect agriculture and health, especially with the generous funding that goes to PEPFAR and other health-related programs from a U.S. perspective and from others through NGOs.

And already I think there's a lot of people making connections in this area. So we might want to learn from some of the things that are already being done, I mean, actually in the field.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Anyone else?
Bill?

DR. DELAUDER: I would just indicate one of the things I, you know, continue to think is extremely important, is HICD. And I know that we have a group that has been working on that.

But I am hoping as we go forward

that we can make a lot more progress in trying to address some of the issues that are important in terms of institutional and human capacity developments.

Because in most of these developing countries, to me that is one of the key to their own further development and particularly in becoming more self sufficient that they build their own capacity to be able to do the things that they need to do to better the people of that country.

So HICD is something that I think
-- I hope that we keep on, on the table and
that we can make some progress in trying to
address that.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Those of us in universities that have medical schools as well as colleges of agriculture or food and natural resources and in life science work generally, the interdisciplinary nature of what we are doing and the need to move out of the -- any academic silos that have been

1 created is there.

And certainly I think it is fair to say that has occurred in the medical profession as well as anywhere, and higher education. And we have been really placing a great deal of emphasis on working together on the research side.

And we need to do a lot more on the educational and outreach components of what we do. So I can see how higher education could make a tremendous important -- could make a tremendous contribution to USAID thinking and implementation.

And it fits, as Catherine said a moment ago, so much of what we are doing with our own domestic programs, the farm bill and the need to encompass a breadth of thinking about what impact all these programs are really having on the quality of life that we are trying to work with in our society and internationally.

And we find that -- I hear from

people from time to time. They are surprised that other countries who may have -- be challenged with lower incomes than the United States nevertheless are very committed to a healthy lifestyle and are making very important choices to integrate what we are doing on the production and nutrition side with a whole health approach.

So there's many dimensions of this. And in fact, USAID 20 or 30 years ago, 40 years ago, were addressing -- has been addressing some of these issues.

And there's segments of the academic community that have been working with them on both the production and the nutrition side that brings in the medical components and health effects in new ways.

And of course clearly epidemiology has made tremendous contributions in these areas, and a number of other health-related research areas.

And we simply need to point to

ways in which we can integrate, pull together, and make relevant the programming, as I see it. So to me, there is almost a "sky is the limit" on the potential contribution that could be made in this area.

But at this time, just to doublecheck with my colleagues here, we have nothing
specific in place at this point but it is an
item that we want to look at, take action on,
and perhaps should be considering a more
refined, strategic approach that we might take
as a group to address this issue.

DR. MURANO: Just to support Dr.

DeLauder, capacity building cannot be, you

know, set aside, if you will. We have got so

much work to do there.

Other comments or observations?

And so many people who work in this area will tell you that that is what makes the biggest difference in these developing countries on the long term.

We tend to do a lot of shorter

term kinds of projects and interventions, but it is on that long term that we are going to really make a big difference. And it is the capacity building that is going to get us there, as difficult and kind of challenging as it can be at times.

But if we don't focus on that, we are never going to make the big, fundamental impacts that we need to make in some of these countries. So I completely agree with him that we have got to not -- we have to keep our eye on this.

And we have to try to come -develop better ways to leverage our resources,
not just in terms of AID's resources but USDA,
who is doing a lot of capacity building in
some other places.

Some other areas that I think we can all work together better to use all these resources to improve the capacity of a lot of these countries, not only people capacities, but obviously institutional.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Is there any thinking about specific action that we should take as a board at this time? Or are we comfortable with -- well, we all -- we have been thinking about this, especially we will be exploring it and discussing it further for sure.

And perhaps that is the next step unless you have some real specific ideas about?

DR. EJETA: Which one? The new initiative on agriculture, food and nutrition and health, or HICD?

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Well, I was thinking specifically of the new initiative on health, but would be open to any integration to other areas if you felt that was related to -- specifically to human institutional capacity building.

DR. EJETA: Well, as the chair of the subcommittee looking at HICD, I opted not to report anything because we didn't have

1 anything definitive to share and publish.

But I think I appreciate the support of the board members in accentuating the importance of HICD both for the principles of development and USAID's mission in that area.

I think the narrative about the importance of HICD has got broad acceptance and appreciation within the agency and outside the agency and I think we are in the middle of having a dialogue with folks in the agency in terms of finding how to go about it.

And I think there would be a presentation from the Bureau of Food Security today and we will have discussions. And I have no doubt we will come into terms on how best to get on in getting it done.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay, thank you. Any other comments on this issue or other issues? We focused on the health and agricultural interface here. Are there other ideas that you want to bring up in terms of

major focus areas that we should be emphasizing?

2.1

I know the issue of water has been a big issue that we have talked about from time to time and it is those kinds of all-encompassing topics that have captured a lot of our attention from time to time, and water being one of those because of the critical nature of water in the world today.

And are we doing all the right things to ensure that it is being addressed scientifically, the critical nature of it with regard to programming in terms of various countries of the world and different ecosystems.

So, other issues? If not, let me

-- we will move on then to -- I think the

other item you see on the board up there is

simply calling your attention as members of

the public that we, as BIFAD, are open to your

ideas.

Any recommendations of topics that

you feel ought to be, that BIFAD should be thinking about considering as appropriate with its charge of giving advice to the administrative USAID under Title XII.

We are open to ideas and you can submit them to the secretary. Susan Owens will take those at any time. And I think most people in the room are aware of Susan and that office and Malcolm Butler and APLU.

A lot of university people particularly work that way. So if there are ideas simmering out there that you think, my God, BIFAD is just missing this whole thing, well, let us know.

We are open to those. This is a group that has really pulled together and worked very hard over the last year to not only communicate clearly with Administrator Shah, but to work with staff and to work with each other to ensure that we are trying to gain the most effective interface possible between higher education, scientific learning

and what is happening in the field as USAID undertakes its mission.

And so, we are open to ideas. We don't want them to simmer. And I know I had at least one suggestion came up earlier before the meeting today. And we want to absorb those and sort through them and then take the action that we feel is necessary.

We are going to move in just a moment to the Feed the Future update. And if Paul Weisenfeld and Julie Howard are here at this time so -- ah, we are. So no need to -- I was going to have another great story I was going to fill in with if we had time.

But if you are here we will go ahead. No, no, I'll do it later. We've got plenty of time. I do want to allow time, ample time for the program that we have and the agenda, discussion with BIFAD board and then open comments from you as well.

So with that, we will turn to the next item on the agenda, which is the Feed the

Future update. We have two individuals who will be -- okay. And I can always tell my story, too.

So I will -- no, I was going to in the spirit of what we are about at BIFAD I was simply going to relate to this group the wonderful visit I had with Paul Findley, who is one of the authors of Title XII, sponsored it in the House along with Senator Hubert Humphrey in the Senate.

And he lives in Jacksonville,

Illinois, so with my good friend from Lincoln

University in Missouri, Iqbal Choudhary, we

jumped in the car and decided it was worth a

day to this icon who has contributed so much

to international policy in the United States.

So many of you are familiar with him and his career, books that he has written. He is still very active, 90 years old, going strong, writing his memoirs, and still a very acute observer of everything USAID is doing as well as our foreign policy generally.

So we had a very exciting session.

And he sends his regards. And we invited him
in fact to be here to say a few words to BIFAD
and the public.

2.0

He could not make it this time, but we have more or less an open invitation. So whenever his time schedule permits we will have time for him on -- with the board. So I think it is well worth our time.

So, Paul, that was my story. But it was such a wonderful personal experience I just had to share that because it was really worthwhile.

Catherine?

MS. BERTINI: If we are waiting for Julie, I want to match your story with a visit to George McGovern recently, who will be 90 in July and whose big mission is: What more can I do to ensure that every child in the world has a meal in school? And he is still working it.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Wonderful.

Anyone else? Well, these kinds of reflections are great. I had just recently seen George McGovern on a film, in fact, on his farm and so it brought back -- did bring back a lot of memories.

Okay, we will go ahead then with the next part of the program. And let me just take a moment to introduce Paul Weisenfeld, and he and Julie Howard will address the issue of the update -- an update on Feed the Future.

Paul is assistant to the administrator of USAID and director of the Bureau for Food Security, which is the agency that leads President Obama's Feed the Future initiative.

And in this capacity he is responsible for overseeing the agency's technical and regional expertise, focusing -- focused on improving food security and reducing persistent rural poverty.

Paul has served for 20 years at USAID and four overseas posts and in

Washington, D.C. and was recently promoted to career minister, the agency's highest rank.

And let me say what I said to our CRSP directors and others yesterday. I had just read an article of Paul's in the Foreign Service Journal that I thought was just very profound and addressed this topic and I have been using it as resource material in all the talks I have been giving around the country.

So I commend it to you for your review as well. Paul, thank you for being with us and we are looking forward to your update.

MR. WEISENFELD: Thanks very much, Brady, and thanks to the BIFAD board. Really would like to welcome them and thank them for inviting us here today.

And the mic works fine, and welcome all of our friends and partners who are here, including the CRSP review team and including the directors and staff of CRSP programs as well.

I should also say I want to thank
the BIFAD board for their advocacy efforts for
their recent travel to countries, and the
advice that they are giving us.

We are really excited about the revitalization of BIFAD and the renewed energy and the interest and detailed advice that they are giving us to help us really think, as Brady said, how to use the best of science and the best of human institutional capacity building to improve what we are doing and achieve our goals.

I want to give just a general update of Feed the Future and the Julie is going to talk more specifically about human and institutional capacity development.

I think people know in general two words on Feed the Future and if there is anyone in the room who doesn't know that much about it, it is the President's global hunger and food security initiative.

It was really launched in 2009 at

the G8 Summit in L'Aquila, Italy. And the issue that it was dealing with was: We know that the United States has a longstanding commitment to provide food aid when we see crises like in the last year the crisis in the Horn of Africa.

And there is a commitment that is a deeply American commitment. The American people want us to do it and the U.S.

Government has this longstanding commitment to feed the hungry so that they don't starve.

But there was a real recognition in that summit in 2009 following the food price hikes of 2007, 2008. There was an enormous missing piece that we were not helping countries grow their agricultural economies so that we could prevent those kinds of crises.

And that, as well at the time there was research out of the World Bank, out of the FAO, that really showed that you can -- the best way to lift people out of hunger and

have an impact on poverty reduction was through agricultural development and stimulating agriculture.

Not only because significant

portions -- I think it is 43 percent, but

don't quote me on that -- of the rural poor,

of the poor in the developing world are

engaged in the agricultural economy.

So there was this increased focus on agricultural development and in a way that improves nutritional outcomes as Elsa and others and Catherine were talking about.

A real focus on not just lifting people out of poverty, but making sure that we are reducing hunger. So that is the background of Feed the Future.

The pledge was \$3.5 billion. So to talk for a couple of minutes about money.

The President pledged \$3.5 billion over three years.

Through our last -- because we do our funding on a quarterly basis, we don't

have the latest figures, through December 2011 the U.S. had committed \$2.68 billion, fully on track to reach the President's pledge.

We expect to meet that pledge completely with our 2012 allocation. We haven't gotten our 2012 budget yet. So in a sense, one could say that the President's pledge is over.

But if you look at the 2013 budget request that was submitted, the President's budget request is \$1.2 billion. Our 2012 budget is \$968 million.

So what we are seeing is an increase. And this, for us, shows that the Administration's commitment to deal with these issues and improve security and nutrition lasts well beyond the L'Aquila Pledge.

And we expect to continue to request significant levels. And in a constrained budget environment to see an increase of about 3 percent from 12 to 13 we think is a remarkable achievement and shows

1 the Administration's commitment to this area.

To talk about what has happened, what we have done with our resources, over the last year there was a lot of effort focused on strategic planning. One of the principal approaches that we have followed is enshrined in the Rome Principles.

The Rome Principles were the principles that were issued in the 2009 G8 summit, and the first principle was about country-owned plans.

So we took that very seriously and spent resources, financial resources and significant time of our staff in helping to develop country-owned plans.

In Africa, the people know CAADP, the Comprehensive African Agricultural Development Program, which really led the way in developing these country investment plans, country-owned plans, and how countries were going to use their resources to stimulate agricultural production and lay out a strategy

1 that donors could buy into.

So we spent significant time doing that. And then we built our own strategies based on those country-owned plans. So that was an effort that took the better part of a year.

And we finished strategy in 24 countries and regional programs, three regional programs: East Africa, West Africa - actually, East Africa, West Africa, Central America, and Asia; so, four regional programs.

The second big accomplishment that happened over the first year was the U.S. is one of the founding partners -- or inaugural donors, I should say -- of the Global Agricultural and Food Security Program, which is an enormous effort to stand up at a global level.

A fund that can continue to provide resources to developing countries, it is managed by the World Bank. Our commitment or the funds we have allocated so far is \$481

million -- no, sorry, I'm reading the wrong
figure.

We have allocated, I think it is

475 out of the total. The GAFSP, as it is

called the Global Agricultural and Fruit

Security Program has allocated \$481 million to

12 countries so far, eight of which are

countries that we have helped.

And the real benefit of that is, there are donors who don't have the bilateral presence that we have and they don't have the ability to go into countries and implement programs.

And they are looking for tools and resources that they can use. They are committed to these areas but they want to have an avenue where they can easily provide funding.

So this global fund has allowed us, the U.S. Government, to show leadership on the global stage and attract resources from a wide range of donors. So we are really

1 thrilled about that.

Another kind of over-arching theme that we are proud of over the last year is our efforts to stimulate partnerships with new partners.

We recognize that the challenges are significant, and as much as the U.S.

Government's resources are significant, we can't solve all of this ourselves. So we are looking for partnerships with NGOs, with universities, U.S. universities, local universities and the private sector.

I think what we have seen over the past years is, it is not just donor resources that do the job, but we have to find a way to crowd in private sector resources in a significant way to bring sustainability and to bring markets for small holder farmers.

So we have spent -- we have spent
-- we have put up an office dedicated to
creating public-private partnerships in the
agricultural sector, and have put together

what we think of as some signature partnerships.

The most recent one is with the World Cocoa Foundation and the Sustainable Trade Initiative to improve cocoa production, quality, quantity in West African countries.

And it is a partnership that includes Cargill, Hershey Company, Kraft Foods, Nestle, Lindt and Mars, among others. So that kind of partnership for us is exciting.

To get the backing and marketing expertise that private sector companies focus on improving small holder farmer quality we think is a great -- a great achievement and something we want to continue to replicate.

Another kind of over-arching result that we are proud of is our focus on monitoring and evaluation. Donors have played around with monitoring and evaluation for a number of years and some of it has resulted in a lot of bean counting.

And bean counting for accounting purposes is always necessary. We can't get away from counting how many people we train, but we have tried to be very rigorous about setting up the monitoring and evaluation system that cascades indicators up, starting with how many people were trained and concluding with what was the impact of the intervention.

So all of our activities cascade up to two high-level indicators: reducing poverty and reducing malnutrition. And that is -- it sounds simple, but it actually is something that is very new and innovative for USAID, to focus all of the efforts in one sector just on two indicators solely.

So when we make decisions on program funding, when we make decisions on assessing the quality of programs, we are just looking at the impact on those two indicators.

And we think that is a nice innovation.

As part of this, as we were

establishing our monitoring results framework and consulted with NGOs, what we heard over and over was the importance of women. And if you do, anyone who looks at the literature also hears about the importance of women worldwide.

In developing countries, women make up about 43 percent of the agricultural labor force. In some African countries it exceeds 50 percent. And donors have had rhetoric about the importance of empowering women for a long time.

So our team tried to think about what does that really mean in agriculture and how do we know that we are doing it? Instead of just talking about it, instead of just trying programs that we think are going to empower women, how do we measure it?

So as part of our monitoring framework we created a tool that we found out after we started to get into it has never existed before in the development community,

created a women's empowerment and agricultural index that I had the pleasure of launching with Administrator Shah at the Committee on the Status of Women at the U.N. last month.

It really has taken the donor community by storm because no one has done this kind of thing before. It separates out into five areas what do we think women's empowerment means?

Looking at how they spend their time, who has control over resources, who has decisions over planting crops? What the parity is -- and this is the really unique part because no one has done this before -- looking at parity of empowerment between women and men in the same household.

And it comes up to a quantifiable index where we can say are women empowered or not and are they moving towards greater empowerment as a result of our intervention?

Again, I think I am saying this for the fourth time, no one has done this

before so we are excited about the data that this is going to generate. And it is a public good.

So we are trying to talk about it as much as we can to see if other donors or institutions will take this index and apply it more broadly than our researchers will allow us to, so that over the coming years we will start to generate a real wealth of information on what does women's empowerment really mean in the agriculture sector.

And then hopefully people can apply it to other sectors as well. Just as a quick reference, we have continued to invest in the Borlaug 21st Century Leadership Program, which we think is an important effort on human and institutional capacity development.

But Julie is going to go into that area in detail, so I won't talk about that.

And I think that is going to be an important focus of ours -- Julie Howard, I should say --

1 in the coming year.

A couple of quick examples at the country level, because all of this doesn't mean anything unless you are having results and impact at the country level to change people's lives.

And we are starting to see that, and even though kind of a conversation we have with Congress all the time is, the President made a pledge in 2009 and Congress first started to appropriate money for this in 2010, which we got at the end of 2010.

And people think: Okay, where are the results? I think everyone knows that you have to spend time figuring out how to invest the resources to make sure that it makes sense.

That requires analyses,
conversations with our partners governments to
make sure that we are in sync with them. But
now we are at the point where we are starting
to generate real results. We are excited.

Ghana is one of our critical focus
countries in Africa and Ghana provides
examples of a couple of successes that are
things that we are highlighting in our
approach.

We know that if you want to have sustainable increases in agricultural production and reducing poverty, you have to connect people to markets. So our mission in Ghana has done a great job looking at market connections between farmers' groups and buyers of produce.

Premium Foods in Ghana is a private Ghanaian company that is the largest purchaser of grains in the country. And they have signed up to a partnership with us where they are buying grains from farmers' groups right now after the year in the numbers of thousands of farmers.

And we are trying to expand that to tens of thousands of farmers with them and replicate that with other buyers of grain. So

we are already seeing increases in income there because of these stable contracts that farmers' groups are having to get their grain out.

I visited Ghana and the head of
Premium Foods told me that their processing
plants have unused capacity and he wasn't
sure, he thought they could triple, quadruple
easily, and not even approach their capacity.

And they are searching to buy grain from outside of Ghana, and of course our focus is: How do we ensure that Ghanaian farmers can supply this market that is already there, and in that way improve their incomes and reduce their hunger?

Ghana also provides a really good example of what we are doing on impact evaluation. I talked about the indicators that we are doing in the women's empowerment index, but as part of our monitoring framework we are setting up a whole series of longer term impact evaluations to generate more in-

depth knowledge and learning about what is going on here.

So we talk about the importance of integrating nutrition and agriculture a lot.

And one of the innovations of Feed the Future is -- I forget if it was Catherine or Elsa -- talked about integrating health and agricultural programming.

So Feed the Future, by design, is intended to integrate ag and nutrition programming. And if you look the strategies we have put up on the Web, you can see how we have thought about that and we have tried to make an effort to do that.

It is also innovative. But we want to study what does that really mean and what is the real impact. So one of the impact evaluations we are going to do will be in Ghana, where we will set up basically a laboratory experiment looking at what are the nutritional impacts in communities where our only intervention is agriculture programs.

And then look at the nutritional impacts of communities where our only intervention is nutrition, not agriculture.

And the third case would be where we are integrating ag and nutrition, and figure out what the differences are and what are better ways to do this integration.

We are doing that study in a couple of countries around the world and hope within about a two-year period to have some real better understanding of what this means.

Ethiopia is another priority country of ours. And you will notice again if you look through the range of strategies that are on the Web, there is a lot of discussion about the importance of land tenure.

And everyone knows that it is taken as a given that if people have tenure security their incentive to invest more in their property goes up. That is a significant challenge in a lot of the developing world, and particularly in Africa. Lots of

challenges about land tenure security.

Ethiopia was a country that was for many years very resistant to giving out land titles. But our mission there has been working on a program for a number of years to change the attitude of the Egyptian (sic) authorities about giving greater land tenure security.

They developed these land certifications. They are not ownership but it is a piece of paper given by the government that demarcates the land very specifically to small holder farmers and farmer immediately feel greater security in the land.

They feel that this is mine. And we have seen investments go up and we have seen productivity in these areas triple in just the last year. So we are really excited about that.

It has already been rolled out to thousands of farmers and we are trying to encourage the Government of Ethiopia to expand

1 this on a broad scale.

I was just in Ethiopia last month and I have read about this program and talked about it and have been really impressed, but nothing prepared me for seeing it on the ground.

And if you talk particularly women farmers, I talked to several women farmers who said they had parcels of land that they couldn't farm and they knew that if they leased them out that they could make more money.

But they were unproductive and they wouldn't lease them out because they were worried that if they leased them out someone would claim that they were theirs; and they had nothing to prove that this was their land.

There was all this unproductive land out there, and as a result of these certification programs, significantly more land has been brought into production in this area. And the women, in particular, are the

ones who feel greater security and have seen increases in their income.

We just think that is a fabulous program. Two more examples. Tanzania is another real focus country of ours. Tanzania is a great example of the public-private partnerships we are trying to push.

The Tanzanian Government, and in particular President Kikwete, and it demonstrates how leadership at the highest level can really move things forward.

President Kikwete has been a tremendous partner in establishing the southern agricultural growth corridor of Tanzania, the southern part of the country, identifying that as an area with -- and I know several of our members from the BIFAD board travelled to Tanzania and saw this.

I was talking to Dr. Ejeta about this last night, establishing that area as an area where they really do want to crowd in private sector investment to overcome

generations of lack of investment and longstanding poverty and hunger.

They are targeting \$2.1 billion in investment over the coming years, private investment. And we have already worked out a relationship with a private rice producer called Kilombero Farms in Tanzania that has a 25,000-hectare farm and they are producing rice for the domestic market.

It is a company that is an international company. It has American and,
I believe, British ownership. But they are interested in taking product and working with the surrounding farmers.

They have got 5,000 farmers in that immediate area and we have made connections between this large commercial farm, the small holder farmers, again with the results that we are seeing increases in the incomes of those farmers.

So that is the kind of thing again like in Ghana that we are really interested in

expanding. And Tanzania has also been a leader in the Grow Africa platform, which is something that we are going to talk about hopefully a lot more in the coming year.

Grow Africa is a partnership of
the African Union and the World Economic
Forum. It was started last year at the World
Economic Forum in Dayos.

And it is a partnership specifically intended to stimulate private investment in agriculture. Seven African countries were self selecting countries, where Africa calls them first wave countries.

Tanzania has really been in the leadership in that effort. And what we are seeing with several Grow Africa meetings that have happened in the last year is it is starting to create a real buzz around Africa and a little bit of competition among countries.

But how do you position yourself in a way to attract investment from your own

domestic private sector and from international companies? And again, it is focused on small holder farmers and how to impact to benefit small holder farmers.

So we are excited about that. I will mention it again in a second when I talk about the G8. The last country example, this is Bangladesh.

Bangladesh, everyone knows, is a country that has significant food security problems, has ongoing imports of U.S. food aid and it is a real priority to help Bangladesh overcome those kinds of challenges.

And the example there I think is one about -- is one about technology. The deep urea replacement technology is something that the Government of Bangladesh and our partners working there have taken to heart.

And there is often a challenge in the uptake of any new technology, whether it is new cell phones or whether it is technology for farmers.

So the thing we are proud of in Bangladesh is, we have seen in just the last year in our program the hectares using this technology of deep urea replacement go from 94,000 to about 300,000.

And we are hoping this expansion and working hard to get this expansion at those levels to continue over the coming years. But again, the increases in productivity and incomes are what we are really excited about.

And we are happy that we have got this monitoring system that can measure those results, cascade the results from the number of people who are trained in this technology to the number of farmers who have actually applied it and carry that through to what that has meant in increases in income.

The Horn of Africa, I'll say two words about the crisis in the last year. A big focus of ours, of our a lot of our staff obviously was on the crisis in the Horn of

1 Africa.

We in Feed the Future do not work on the food aid part of it, so our folks in OFDA, the Office of Foreign Disaster
Assistance and the Office of Food for Peace were heavily engaged in providing food and other humanitarian assistance to save lives there.

But what we have done with them is create what we have called the joint planning cell over the last year to think about how can we do a better job planning and integrating our short-term and long-term resources.

Again, something that has been talked about for a number of years by USAID, but we never really have gotten a good handle on it. And there are a lot of reasons.

Part of the reason is the folks who were delivering food assistance and saving lives are fully engaged in that effort and it is difficult to pull them away to engage in long-term planning.

But we have through this setting up a joint planning cell in Washington and one in the field, we have hammered out a strategy that connects better the immediate relief effort.

And the work that the immediate -that humanitarian assistance does to start to
build resilience to our longer-term planning
efforts and making sure that the ties between
the programs continued.

This culminated in a conference last week in Nairobi that Administrator Shah shared. It was co-sponsored by us and the World Bank and Inter-American Governmental Authority on Development, one of the sub-regional organizations in East Africa with high level participation from the Governments of Kenya and Ethiopia and Uganda.

And I won't remember all the governments, but the benefit of that conference for us was our push to get other donors and the World Bank as well on board

with this concept of integrating the shortand the long-term development.

Because we realized that if we don't do that, we are just going to continue - we are not going to make progress in these repeated crises. And a lot of the specific focus of this conference was on the pastureless populations in the Horn of Africa because those are the most vulnerable populations that we have seen.

For the activities to just highlight that work, we are planning to execute in collaboration with other donors and those governments there, focus a lot on enhancing the efficiency of the livestock value chain.

You see breakdowns when the droughts come and the cattle start to wither and die. And then the pastureless populations have no means of support and their resiliency fades away.

So we know that if you work on

improved water management, you work on
enhancing market linkages so they can get
better value for their cattle, so they can do
offtakes at an earlier stage when droughts
come and work on issues of diversifying
livelihoods.

I won't mention all of them, but you can make real progress on this. And there are definitely isolated cases of progress.

Even in Ethiopia, which is known as, or which is often seen as synonymous with famine, the drought last year -- in the drought last year eight million fewer people were in need of food assistance than in the drought in 2003.

And that is true, despite the fact that Ethiopia's population is significantly larger. It is 80 million people now. I can't remember the 2003 figure. And it is true, despite the fact that the drought last year was the worst drought in 60 years.

What explains that difference is,

under the Ethiopian Government's leadership it has created a safety net program. They understand much better how to do this work on market linkages and the livestock value chain.

So we are trying to build on the lessons learned that they have acquired that we have seen progress on and really expand it and bring it to scale.

The last thing I will mention

before wrapping up is the G8. I think people

know that the U.S. Government holds the

presidency of the G8 this year and there is

historically an Africa session in the G8.

And we anticipate an Africa session this year in the G8. And we are really hopeful, given President Obama's personal engagement on issues of food security at prior G8 summits, we are really hopeful that food security and nutrition will have a principal place in the Africa session of the G8 this year.

And we are doing a lot of work to

try and make sure that that happens. The White House will sometime over the coming weeks announce the agenda and announce invitations.

But for us, it is a phenomenal opportunity to again on the global stage highlight and continue the effort that was begun in 2009 and really make sure that the world -- that complacency doesn't set it and the world continues to hammer away at improving food security and nutrition.

Because I do think what I have seen as I travel around is, we have the tools and technology and at the highest level we have the political will to address these issues. And we just have to keep at it and make sure that we don't fall back into complacency.

So to wrap up some of the principles, I think the examples I have highlighted or what I have tried to do is highlight examples that show a new business

direction for us on food security.

The first part of that business direction is being very deliberate about supporting host country-led strategies. And that is our over-arching theme.

The second principle we are trying to follow is with integrated programming, principally between agriculture and nutrition, but also cross-cutting issues: natural resource management, water, climate change, gender as critical themes and make sure that we are taking a holistic approach in looking at all of those elements that are key to achieving results on food security.

The next principle that we are really trying to follow is forming partnerships to reach significant scale. Our efforts if we help 50,000 farmers in country X is nothing in comparison to the combined of host governments and donors helping 500,000 farmers.

So that is where we want to be.

We want to apply these principles in a way
that reaches scale through partnerships. The
next principle we are applying is just
rigorous monitoring and evaluation.

Having a set of indicators that cascade up to really precisely measure impact, focusing on empowerment of women, and then thinking about how you attach impact evaluations to those that generate real learning about what we are doing.

Technology, the deep urea replacement is one example. But what we are doing is trying to find technology and Rob has talked in past meetings about our research strategy and the component of that, sustainable intensification.

What are the packages of technology that really can be applied and brought to scale to have impact? Capacity development is just two more of these principles.

Capacity development is the second

to the last, and again, Julie is going to cover that in detail. But the last one I would say is the overall research strategy that -- applying research and the best of science.

And so, it is not just applying technologies like deep urea replacement that exist, but focusing on research to develop new technologies.

And really thinking about the best of science and the best that the U.S. scientific community has to offer, bringing that to bear, focusing the attention of the U.S. scientific community on these challenges so that we can have a significant impact.

And in two years from now we can talk about results at a much more significant scale. I hope that is useful. I don't know if we are taking questions or if --

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Paul, yes, and I wanted to thank you very much. That was a really excellent, comprehensive overview and

1 I appreciate the details.

And I did want to take a moment here with -- yes, for the BIFAD board members to give us a chance to interact with you because we have a time schedule and -- Catherine.

MS. BERTINI: Thanks very much,
Paul, for the good presentation. I have a
question about leadership and what it is about
the chief AID representatives in the country,
whatever the right language is, in the country
offices when you decide that you are going to
scale up in Ethiopia?

MR. WEISENFELD: You mean like aid, the aid?

MS. BERTINI: Yeah, the USAID country director.

MR. WEISENFELD: Okay.

MS. BERTINI: When you decide you are going to scale up in any -- any number of countries, what kind of process do you undertake within, in Washington in order to

decide whether or not you have the staff capacity to actually carry that out in the field?

And I ask that in part from my experience at WFP, because sometimes when all of a sudden the situation changed in one way or another we had to quickly assess whether or not whoever was in charge had the capacity to keep doing that, to stay there and to do now what was required of him or her.

Do you have some sort of a process to do that, or does the aid director just come stay there until his/her term is up and they move on? Thank you.

MR. WEISENFELD: Yeah, so that is a really good question. Part of it goes back to, if I can give a slightly -- before I address the specific part.

Our process to select the countries I think gives a little bit of context to this. And that was an innovation, although it was several years ago so I didn't

1 talk about that.

through an allocation process by country based solely on need. It is not quite as simple as whoever is the poorest gets the most money, but need was the most significant factor.

For Feed the Future, levels of poverty and hunger were absolutely taken into account. But we also looked at potential to grow because what we really wanted to do and what the President specifically charged us with doing was to set up a system where we could demonstrate success.

And the concern was that people, not just the American public but people in general, have this view that famines are inevitable, droughts are man-made, there is nothing we can do. People will continue to die from them.

And part of the whole purpose of the program was to show that is just not true. So it's critical for us -- it was critical for

us to pick countries where we can demonstrate real signature success at the country level.

2.1

So we looked at potential as well. We looked at countries' commitment, whether they engaged in CAADP plan. That resulted in a selection of 20 focus countries that didn't overlap with where we had significant staff resources and expertise.

And we dramatically increased the budgets in agriculture in some -- some of the countries we were working in this area. But they were countries where we weren't, and they were countries where their budgets were dramatically increased and they had not agricultural expertise.

So we travelled. We had teams from Washington travel to these countries, do assessments of staffing needs, and we significantly increased staff in a lot of countries.

We have made some staffing changes; in other countries we haven't. I

can't promise that it has been as thorough in all 20 countries as in others, but there are some countries that we're particularly focused on.

If you look at the budget you can see Ghana, Tanzania, Ethiopia, Kenya that come to the top of the list in overall budget. So we have had much more attention on those countries. And we have dramatically increased staff and changed the mix of staff in them.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Yes, Marty?

MR. McVEY: Paul, thank you. I agree with Catherine. Great presentation. We appreciate that.

Can you tell us a little bit what the plans are for disaster response in these developing countries, particularly with the agricultural projects that are going on?

How does the agency have the outlook to prevent or curtail the loss for natural disaster so you don't have to restart the program over?

1 MR. WEISENFELD: So, disaster 2 response, I should -- I'll talk about it but I should say it is not under my 3 responsibility. We have a bureau for DCHA, 4 5 Democracy, Conflict and Humanitarian 6 Assistance, which contains both the office of 7 Food for Peace and the Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance. 8 9 So they are principally responsible. But we have tried to build in 10 disaster response to our programs. And again, 11 12 Ethiopia is a country that has really shown 13 the way.

Our colleagues in Ethiopia -- I can't remember whether it is 5, 7 years ago -- developed a technique in their program, a risk modifier. So countries that are continually at risk for disasters, they have a modified where they can change the programs rapidly to respond to catastrophe.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And as you know, government programming, granting, contracting doesn't

necessarily, because of bureaucratic rules, facilitate easy shifting on a dime to do something differently.

So we are building into programs this risk modifier, drawing on the experience in Ethiopia. I was in Ethiopia a few weeks ago and the other kinds of things that were done I talked a little bit about connecting the short term to the long term.

Some of our short-term programs have looked at, as part of the disaster response -- I can give you an example maybe, instead of just talking about the overall principle, kind of worrying about issues of soil erosion that when you have a climate, a problem you see all over Ethiopia and Kenya, you have a drought and then it is followed by very heavy rain.

And you see lots of soil runoff
that results in floods and killing people, and
that tends to happen over and over again. And
it really can damage the work through Feed the

1 Future and building crops.

So there is a lot of experience in stabilization of soil. So we are building those kinds of things in, kind of thinking about implementing our programs by looking at the entire watershed.

So when I referred to integrating natural resource management activities, it is really about looking at watersheds at the natural resource management level and stabilizing systems so that you don't have those kinds of disasters.

Some disasters, obviously
earthquakes, you can't do anything about. But
the kinds of disasters that we are seeing tend
to be around droughts and floods and there is
a lot of natural resource management work that
can mitigate those risks.

MR. McVEY: Thank you. I am very happy to hear that the agency is taking that up. It is a good investment on this project.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Anyone else

want to ask a question? I had one observation or question I wanted to ask you and it is based on your comments regarding the gender roles and the security women feel regarding land tenure and title, which I have noticed in the literature has been a common concern.

And Marty McVey and I attended the meeting of the ITM CRSP in Memphis. And there was significant discussion given to the gender issues there.

And the one that caught me a bit by surprise was the discussion about how much variation and gender role there is from community to community, even within a common ethnic geographic area, which will tend to then challenge some of the easy generalizations we often make about the gender roles.

And I thought that was very profound in terms of the kind of work that you all are doing throughout the world. I just wondered what -- any sense you have on that or

perspective you can suggest --

2.0

MR. WEISENFELD: Yeah.

MR. McVEY: -- to us for fully understanding that issue better.

MR. WEISENFELD: Yeah, I mean, I have been -- again, get back to that in a second but start in a slightly other place.

One of the things that has really influenced me in the last year in terms of development literature is reading the books that are coming out of the J-PAL group from MIT, the Poverty Action Lab at MIT.

And they have done -- one of the books is called, "More Than Good Intentions."

And a lot of what comes across here is the need to do these randomized control trials to really figure out what works.

But what they reveal is also that what works in one village is not necessarily what works in another village. And I think you are right, the donors often figure out a solution that they think applies regionally --

that globally or continental or regional or even -- and what their research shows is, it doesn't even necessarily apply at a national level.

You have to really disaggregate.

So what we are hoping is our impact evaluations are going to give us more learning on that. But it is complex. The women's empowerment index we piloted in -- I forget if three or four countries: Bangladesh, Uganda -- I forget if it's Guatemala or Honduras.

And we have preliminary results from the pilot They are not specifically relevant so, you know, I would hesitate to extrapolate from that.

But one of the things that at the preliminary results that was surprising is, people have tended to think that women's empowerment is associated with increases in income and increases in education. And from the pilot, that wasn't true.

There was no -- there were women

who were empowered who had lower incomes. And
women who had higher education and incomes
were not empowered. And again, because it is
not statistically relevant, are those
aberrations.

Are they differences at the subnational level or is it some broader learning?
We don't know. But it is interesting that no
one has done that kind of research to figure
that out.

Income and education have just been used as proxies, so I don't have a full answer other than I think this requires a lot more research.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Yes, great.

Any other questions or comments on Paul's presentation?

DR. EJETA: Is there time for that?

20 CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Pardon?

DR. EJETA: Is there time to ask

the questions?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Yes, we'll take a moment and then we'll -- yes.

DR. EJETA: Paul, how can the agency enhance complementarity between programs in would and the mission? Is there anything BIFAD can do to help?

When these kinds of things happen and take place, the synergy that arises is extraordinary. I just happened to be in Ethiopia about the same time that an APLU-led program, a conference was going on.

A small grant initiative that started in Washington had some enhancement out of a USAID mission in support and education and program in a specific area established that resources in the national program there, established a water institute and put in together now a Ph.D. human and master -- human and institutional capacity development program for about over 40 young men and women just in a very short period of time with relatively small contribution from the participants.

But very often what you put out is not always taken up by the mission because it got a sense of priority set there beside it to work on, and so on.

MR. WEISENFELD: Yes.

DR. EJETA: Is there any way once a major initiative like Feed the Future and so on takes up to gradually move the mission to begin to take up these major initiatives coming out of Washington?

MR. WEISENFELD: I think you are highlighting, Dr. Ejeta, a real challenge for donors, not just for us.

USAID is more decentralized than other donors, so if you think about our presence is more significantly overseas. The agency staff overall, most of us are serving overseas more than any other donor.

And our budget also follows that. So the \$968 million we have for fiscal year '12, the vast majority of that is allocated directly to our overseas missions to program.

And we retain -- I won't remember
the precise figure; my guess is somewhere
around \$200 million overall for programming in
Washington. And it is always a challenge for
donors that have a worldwide presence to make
those linkages between what Washington does
and the field does.

There are a couple of concepts that we try to follow. We want impact on the ground in Uganda, for instance, so that is why we allocate money to the Uganda mission, to figure out how to program it.

And we provide oversight and advice and guidance and direction. But the kind of Rob's program is our largest Wachnata (phonetic) only but our largest Washington budget.

And the reason we do those in Washington rather than the field, there are a couple because there are programs that cut across different countries, because there are kind of global public good issues.

So the mission in Uganda is not going to spend their budget on something that benefits the global public good. But you are right. The challenge then is when Rob's program comes up with a great innovation, how do you get Uganda to apply it and to understand it?

So it's those kinds of conversations that have to happen. The change that we have made from the past is structurally to try to deal with this, is that we have a country support office that we haven't had in Washington for the agricultural sector before.

And we have had the research office, we have had the ag office, but we have an office that is solely dedicated from Washington to supporting countries and making sure that we have regular dialogue with them.

And we are hoping that that is going to make these connections better. But - so that is the innovation that we are trying

to do the Washington field connection a little
better.

3 DR. EJETA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Paul, thank you again, very, very much.

6 MR. WEISENFELD: Thank you.

7 (Applause).

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay, we will move to our second part of the agenda here for looking at the Bureau of Food Security CAADP building framework.

And Dr. Julie Howard will make a presentation to us. She is the chief scientist in the USAID Bureau of Food Security, which leads the implementation of Feed the Future, the U.S. Global Hunger and Food Security Initiative.

She also serves as senior advisor to the USAID administrator on agricultural research, extension and education.

And in this role she oversees the implementation of the Feed the Future research

strategy and leads related new programs to advance innovation in global food security efforts, working with both global and national partners.

Julie, good to have you.

DR. HOWARD: Thanks very much. I don't know if the BIFAD members want to change or whether you can see the PowerPoint from where you are. Can you see it?

Okay, great. Okay, thanks. Well good morning everybody. It is great to be here and I want to say a special thanks to Paul for setting up so nicely the topic of my discussion.

Paul's talk that we are feeling a growing sense of excitement that the investments, the planning that we have all made in Feed the Future with our country partners is now beginning to bear fruit, that we are beginning to see things now starting to roll out.

So what I want to talk with you

about this morning is exactly how do we ensure the sustainability of these emerging successes, of these emerging impacts that we are beginning to see?

And how better to ensure the sustainability of Feed the Future's success than to think about capacity development, capacity development of individuals and capacity development of institutions?

So what could be more important than that? So I just wanted to spend a few minutes thinking about that together with you today. I feel like this theme has been a bit of a late-comer to global food security discussions and to our Feed the Future discussions.

I think part of it is that it is just impossible to give the same attention to everything and do it well. I look at how our country partners, particularly our country partners in Africa, how they have addressed capacity building.

And I also think that they have been very much late-comers to this game. So many of the country investment plans in through the CAADP progress were developed 2008, 2009, and really hardly any consideration at that point of capacity development.

It wasn't until a conference of ministers of agriculture and ministers of education took place in 2010 that you began to see people say, well, we have these very ambitious and very important goals for agricultural development nutrition.

But we don't have really a sense of what capacity is going to be required to carry out these programs. And what is our current capacity in terms of individuals and institutions into the future?

So to introduce this topic, I want to say this is a topic of importance to the administrator and one that he has asked BIFAD to take on. And so we are happy to partner

1 with BIFAD as thought leaders.

And the intention of the discussion today is really to share with you some of our initial thinking about how we might begin to better organize our investments in human and institutional capacity development and how to make sure that they are aligned with Feed the Future investments and with other partners, other global food security investments.

So this is very much in the spirit of sharing ideas with you, intended to continue our discussion with BIFAD, but also to begin to engage other stake holders in this discussion.

Okay, so just to tell you, we will talk a little bit about the history of USAID investments, what we know about impact in this area, the changing environment, the sort of changing also challenges that we are sensing in this area, and then these initial ideas that we have come up with for an investment

1 framework particularly for USAID investments.

And then I wanted to throw out to you some key questions for discussion, not just for today but really over the next couple of months and we will use that couple of month period to arrive at a final framework, investment framework.

Okay, well, I think to start off this discussion we really should start out by just clarifying what are the reasons why we want to invest in agricultural sector human and institutional capacity development.

And, I mean, these are not -- this is not rocket science. These will be familiar to all of you. Capacity development certainly is needed for improved performance across the agricultural sector, across this wide range of functions that we see in agriculture research through the agribusiness and at all levels of institutions, from small companies through to ministries and universities.

We are seeing, you know, as we are

seeing development, agricultural development begin to pick up, we are seeing that new capacities are needed.

Developing countries are needing new kinds of professionals and new kinds of vehicles to work with their farmers and entrepreneurs.

And I just wanted to say one of
the great things that I think is happening
over the past, really over the past three or
four years, in my conversations with the
private sector I can remember well before I
came into government I would talk to private
sector companies and say, you know, why aren't
you investing in African agriculture?

And they would nod politely.

Interesting idea. Very risky, Latin America,

Asia. But now they don't say that anymore.

We are seeing really a remarkable pickup in

private sector investment.

So what people say now, you know, when we are talking to investors is: Yes.

Great opportunities, and we are planning to invest. But, you know, we have no one to hire there.

You know, we need everybody from researchers and scientists to warehouse professionals to accountants and we simply can't find them in the countries. And that is a big problem for us.

So I think, I mean, it is a good problem but it is a problem to think about as we tackle capacity development. So lastly, you know, what is the major reason that we invest in this area?

Well, capacity building creates
the conditions where we work ourselves out of
a job, where aid is no longer necessary in the
countries.

Okay, I'm not going to go through all of these. I sense that the history of U.S. investment in HICD is probably familiar to many of you. In the 1950s, particularly in Latin America, North Africa, USAID established

early programs to build ministries, to build extension services, banking services, land tenure agencies, really massive amount of investment.

And this continued through the 1960s and '70s and then really, you know, focusing as well on long-term training, on building agricultural universities, on building the national agricultural research systems.

And, you know, these investments will be familiar to you. I mean, we think of the investments that were made in Brazil and in India, you know. And the impact of those investments is very much visible to all of us today.

And I think it is quite rewarding, you know, for all of us to think about, well, these are the countries that 30 and 40 years ago were the main beneficiaries of our assistance in this area.

And now we are seeing these

countries begin to step up to the plate and become very active in providing assistance on their own, and in many ways, you know, very, very unique effectiveness.

So, I mean, we ourselves are promoting strategic partnerships with Brazil, with Africa, and we are seeing increasing exchanges with Embrapa, the research institution and African research institutions.

And we are hoping the same thing now we'll begin to take off with, with India. So then we saw a decline in these investments overall in HICD, investments for agriculture in the 1990s and through the 2000s as the emphasis really shifted to primary education.

And we saw this deterioration of agricultural capacity in our partner countries, but also here in USAID. And now in the last few years we are beginning to see a renewal of interest, a renewal of the acknowledgement of the importance of this capacity buildings for sustaining the key

investments we are making today.

Just a word on what we know about impact. We talked a little bit about Brazil and India but, I mean, really the numbers are quite staggering. We developed capacity in 63 agricultural universities in 40 countries in this span of time from the '50s to the late '90s.

And, you know, literally, you know, have trained thousands and thousands of people, and you know, we know of these impacts through anecdotes.

You know, I would say now at the beginning of another effort I think of building back our investments in this area we need to be much more diligent about tracking the recipients of our assistance because we know that the recipients of our investments back in the '50s and '60s became vice ministers of agriculture, became university chancellors.

And it is just hard for us to call

up that information to continually make the case anew that these investments matter but we may not see the impacts over the next three to four years. It may take 10 to 20 years for the full potential to unravel -- unravel, to reveal itself, say.

You know, I had this problem before. Okay, let me describe to you what is on this. What should be on this slide is a -- yeah, is a graphic that shows sort of really steep increase in USAID investments in human and institution capacity building through the '60s, '70s and '80s, and then a very, very sharp decline.

So I will try and get a copy of this out to you. I don't know why this goes blank on us every time. But anyway, it is a very stark reminder that we really went to very low levels of investment in this area starting in the late '90s and 2000.

Okay, so I think happily we are now in the beginning of changing environment

for investments. Some of the contributing factors to this: we have launched an agencywide policy on HICD in 2008.

2.1

Also, very significantly, the administrator's commitment and high priority to USAID Forward, you know, which is essentially our stake in the ground basically saying we as an agency wish to move towards more local procurement of services.

And what that implies is, you know, very much strengthening of local investments, and, you know, by deduction strengthening of the individuals who will support those institutions.

So that is a very, very important,

I think, foundation for us to build the

argument for increased investment in this

area. Last year the administrator charged

BIFAD with recommending to him what we could

do, how we could be more effective and

efficient in this area.

And then we have also had very

recent but not new, and certainly very welcome to all of us, a mandate to focus much more on women's equality and empowerment in all of our programs.

So I mentioned before, you know, as we have had this reawakening to HICD, also our partners are also beginning to reflect on the need to put more attention to capacity building.

So we have this 2010 ministerial where CAADP leaders mandated individual countries to go back and look at your programs and begin sort of very purposefully drawing out what are the individual training needs, what are the institution strengthening needs.

And we have had a very interesting emergence of a project that's hosted at the World Bank, but it's actually a donor platform to support tertiary education in Africa.

And this is very innovative. It is putting -- the leadership of this is really -- World Bank is a holding entity but really

led by two very, very good African

organizations, RUFORUM and ANAFE, who had been

leading support for curriculum development,

support for strengthening agriculture

universities, especially in East and Southern

Africa.

So this is meant to be a mechanism where donors can come together and sort of sort out a coordinating umbrella for their investments to strengthen key institutions, higher education institutions including technical colleges as well as universities, and coordinate their investments rather than doing it in a scattered manner.

Okay, quickly then I just want to sort of introduce as we are sort of on this edge of what would new, what would more coordinated, what would more effective investment look like, sort of reflect on some of the things that we have been considering.

And one is just sort of thinking that capacity development is not -- is really

at multiple levels. It is individual, it is organization, it is also capacity building for the enabling environment.

And like we have these multiple layers, we also have multiple challenges to address. And these again will be very familiar to you.

So professional capacities, as the private sector is pointing out to us, demographic challenges, and this, I mean, you see. You are so aware of this when you visit Africa, I mean, this huge demographic bulge that we have.

And partly I think, you know, happily because of the attention to primary and secondary education over the last decade or so now you have, you know, a cadre of young people who really want more education, you know, but are confronted with, well, you know, what next?

There really aren't the quality technical schools to accept them. There

really aren't the quality university programs.

And it is such a huge, huge cadre. It really

3 forces us to think innovatively.

It may not be possible or desirable to think of this in terms of, well, how are we going to build all of the college classrooms or technical college classrooms that are going to fit this great number of folks.

But really, we will need to be creative in terms of distance education, in terms of making materials available electronically, and other kinds of education.

So critical infrastructure and technology, we were just talking about ICT.

You know, we're seeing a great expansion of the use of ICT here in the U.S. and developed countries. It is still sort of in its infancy in most of the countries where we are working.

And so, you know, just the availability of broadband, the availability of functioning computers is a severe constraint

for us. Okay, and then other challenges now, coordination with partners. And these are big problems.

We are not going to be able to address them ourselves in the U.S. Government, and alignment of these interventions with plans, with Feed the Future, with country investment plans.

Okay, so as we think about how in USAID and Feed the Future, you know, we can make our investments. And it is not that we are not investing in this. We are actually doing quite a lot, and I will run through some of that.

It's just, you know, we are asking ourselves at this point in time, you know, how can we coordinate more effectively to get some of these central admission linkages that Gebisa was talking about?

How can we coordinate better to reinforce country priorities and how can we coordinate so that donors are not sort of

duplicating effort, that we are taking advantage of our comparative advantage?

So these are the things that we are talking about. You know, we want to being by saying, you know, what are the principles that we want to operate on as we develop our framework?

We want to respond to country-led and demand-driven plans and we want to align with other donors. We want to look at best practices, analysis. We want to operate from what we know is working.

We want to integrate these different levels: human, organizational and dimensions. And then I bolded coordination and accountability because I think that these are particularly challenges and that we ask for your help in thinking through.

Okay, so this I think, you know, the field of agriculture innovation, I am looking at Derek Byerlee, who has been one of the innovators of this along with Gary Alex

1 sitting in the back.

You know, we have really come a long way over the last couple of decades, you know, in thinking about, you know, what sparks and what sustains agricultural innovation.

And I think of this as kind of a, you know, a framing graphic for us to think about.

So actually I would say we have producers in the middle here. I guess at this point I would put producers and entrepreneurs rather than just producers but, you know, as having -- being affected by and also influencing the research system, the education system, the ag extension and advisory services.

And then over to the left, you know, you see the links, you know, with other actors in the value chain from land tenure agencies, credit agencies, all the way through the exporters and agriprocessors.

So as we think, so now when I begin to shift into, you know, how are we

thinking about framing our USAID investments in support of Feed the Future, and sort of, you know, distilling from this ag innovation system graphic.

You know, this is a -- this is what we are coming out with. We are putting at the center our target of innovation for producers and I am going to add in entrepreneurs.

And then the circles that we have really represent the five strategic areas of central Feed the Future, USAID capacity development investment. So we have got policy and data. We have got research, got extension and advisory services, got education and we have got agribusiness and value chain.

And so these five areas are corresponded, the elements of the agricultural innovation system that we saw in the previous graphic. Okay, so I think this is a central slide for us and something that we want your feedback on and something also that responds

to Gebisa's earlier question.

So our proposed approach is to think in terms of making platform investments. So we already are investing broadly and to respond to and support a range of capacity development needs and missions and to emphasize and suggest to missions the importance of capacity development.

So what we are trying to do now really is focus on several dedicated central investments, central investment platforms that serve as a service to missions but also provide them with a way to coordinate with other donors, to coordinate investments across the U.S. Government, to coordinate with country plans to provide a source of technical assistance, but also, you know, a feedback loop essentially.

Best practices, you know, what results are you having and what -- you know, can similar approaches be implemented in other countries? What can we all learn together

1 from this?

So we have got in the middle USAID Washington, Bureau of Food Security and others, the idea of the platform so missions access capacity development services from central programs. And I will talk about those in a minute.

You've got missions on the left identifying their needs based on their multiyear strategies, which are in turn based on the country development strategies. And then you have got implementation and management mostly in the court of the missions, but again supported by USAID Washington and mission field staff.

So we have a kind of megaplatform, you know, that we already have in
place. And that is the Borlaug 21st Century
Leadership Program, which sort of is going to
be the umbrella platform for almost everything
that we do in capacity development in all of
their troubles that we are looking at.

So this is a program that we announced last year and are representing a \$13.5 million investment, the central commission contributions. It's -- okay, and then so think of that as the overall, the mega-platform.

And then I want to go through, you know, for these individual umbrellas sort of the types of programs that we are currently engaged with and that we wish to bring together more purposefully through this platform approach.

So capacity development in research, we have got -- I won't go through all of these but you can see, you know, a number of programs, the AWARD program focusing on developing capacity of African women.

We have got long-term degrees, training for developing country students as well as long-term degree training for U.S. students. And, you know, I am particularly pleased about that because I think this has

been really an area that we have neglected pretty substantially over the last few years.

And having been a beneficiary
myself of USAID support, you know, for young
folks -- not so young anymore, but at one time
I was young. So I think it is important, you
know, because we need to draw from those folks
for USAID in the future, for other
institutions.

Okay, so just to go through, the Purdue US Borlaug Fellows Program is just one of these. A graduate research fellowship grant program, so this is meant again to expand the pool U.S. through security professionals who have the scientific base necessary to be effective.

The Borlaug Fellowship program, okay. And so I want to spend a few minutes on announcing. One of the programs that we are very, very excited about, so I believe that this RFA is being released today.

I am looking around for

1 confirmation from APLU.

2

4

5

6

7

8

22

MR. BERTRAM: We hope so.

3 DR. HOWARD: Okay. Is it safe for

me to continue talking about it?

MR. BERTRAM: Yes.

DR. HOWARD: Okay, great. Okay.

think the RFA is going to be issued today by

Well, so, I mean we are very pleased that we

9 APLU for a brand new, long-term training

10 program which is called -- this is very

innovative -- the Borlaug Higher Education for

12 Agricultural Research and Development, BHEARD.

And we are really excited about

this partnership between APLU and CIMMYT, you

know, which many of you know was Dr. Borlaug's

16 home institution.

And this is a program that is

going to offer long-term graduate fellowships

and institutional capacity development for

developing country researcher and Feed the

21 Future focus countries.

And many of these researchers will

complete their degrees at U.S. land grant
universities. So APLU is playing a lead role
in this. They are going to lead the selection
of the university or a consortium of
universities is going to manage this effort.

And there will be many opportunities for U.S. universities to host developing country students who are studying for their degrees. And, you know, again I think this is so important.

When I think back on my own training, possibly the most important part of my training was being able to interact in my program with developing country students.

There is no better way to learn about what life and development is really like. So we are going to pilot the program in Zambia in Mozambique, in Ghana, Bangladesh and also in Mali.

But the program is ultimately going to be open to any Feed the Future country interested in long-term training. So

I want to thank APLU. And thanks to you,
especially, Malcolm, for your role in making
this a reality.

MR. BUTLER: If I could just offer one clarification, APLU will sit on the panel, including AID and university representatives.

DR. HOWARD: Great. Okay.

DR. DELAUDER: And how much money do you think is associated with this?

DR. HOWARD: How much money?

MR. BERTRAM: This year it is the essential budget which includes probably about three and a half million dollars.

DR. HOWARD: Okay. All right, well, so let me sort of run quickly through. So these are examples of the programs that we are doing under these different areas and that we are planning to have platforms again to support that critical central mission coordinating learning function.

So we have this in post-secondary education and we have the modernizing

agriculture education training systems that will serve as that platform.

And we have our investments with the donor platform, the Team Africa program I was telling you about.

Okay, again capacity development in extension. The platform here is MEAS,

Modernizing Extension and Advisory Services.

We have other programs, for example, in collaboration with the Gates Foundation and other partners.

Infarmer, to increase use of ICT in extension. Entrepreneurship, you know, a number of different programs. Africa Lead, the leadership training capacity building.

And also I think sometimes a forgotten, but very important part of capacity building is a lot of the work that we are doing to support farm association development.

So this is, you know, in my mind one of the most unsung success stories of U.S. development assistance, the extent to which we

have really been able to help build strong farmer associations and cooperatives and farmer-owned businesses.

Okay, capacity development in policy and data, you know, that multiple element. The enabling environment, very, very, important. Another place where U.S. investments have been quite, quite important in terms of providing analysis, training, developing country researchers and analysts to be able to inform their policy-makers.

What are the options? You know, what should we do? Should we use fertilizer subsidies or not? What is the outlook for production over the next season?

Okay, well, let me pause here.

This is the next-to-last slide, and really sort of drill in a little bit with you. I am hoping that we can discuss a little bit here.

I am hoping that you will engage us by e-mail or phone later. These are some of the critical questions that we think as we

are moving forward with this platform approach.

What are the things that we need to think about? First of about, is the agriculture innovation system framework that we have laid out, is this an effective way, you know, for us to align and integrate our range of investments?

And you can see they are quite far-ranging. Is that effective, you know? Is this the right way? And then secondly, what are the best ways, you know, that we can serve the field, you know, linking central USAID and mission investments?

So I think, you know, really as the platform is conceived right now it is kind of spot on. But, you know, I also think, you know, we have a challenge in going beyond thinking about just USAID investments.

How do we make this framework really whole of government, and able to draw on HICD resources across U.S. Government

agencies?

You know, I have a dream, you know, of us, you know, U.S. missions sitting down in countries and saying, gosh, you know, we've got this -- we've got a plan that has been developed, a capacity development plan that flows from the country investment plan.

And we have got these targets to hi over the next five years in terms of, you know, supported business schools, support to technical colleges, support to ministries.

And, you know, USAID can take this piece. USDA through its programs might be able to take on this piece. Health and Human Services and FDA might be able to take on this piece. And for this other range of investments, this is how we are coordinating with donors.

And, you know, I dream that that might be a conversation that we'll see over the next two or three years, you know, being able to engage not only U.S. missions but

other donors, foundations, and sort of a much more comprehensive framework for investment and a way of tracking how well are we doing, you know, at meeting those targets.

How well are we doing at coordinating our investments? Because having been out in the field, you know, we know sometimes that our investments, although they are important, you know, may not be -- be used well enough against, you know, a well-defined target.

So we may have a number of study trips. But maybe with a, you know, a more focused goals we could say this year we are really, really focusing hard on cooperative development.

So we would like, you know, help from states and USDA when they have opportunities for short-term visits to really help us focus in on that objective; and similarly.

Okay, so how can we make our

framework whole of government? And then the next, how will these objectives, how will what we are doing in our platforms correspond to CAADP, to country objectives and to investment plans?

And how will we -- we set

benchmarks for achievement, you know, for

USAID and also more broadly at country level.

Another question: How can the private sector contribute?

How does the private sector both benefit and contribute to HICD? And then I just think this larger question of how donors, countries and private sector work together, you know, to figure out what the needs are, you know, over a period of time to coordinate, track investments and the impacts of this diverse set of HICD programs.

How do we program against common objectives and hold ourselves accountable for those benchmarks for achievement? Okay, so quickly, I mean, the BIFAD working group has

already begun work and we are hoping to

accelerate our joint efforts in this area and

carry out an informal consultation, stake

holder consultation over the next few weeks.

2.0

And then we are hoping to finalize our HICD framework by July of this year. So key folks working on this with us: Clara Cohen and Gary Alex. Are both of them here today, Clara and Alex? Okay.

And with that, I thank you.

(Applause).

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Julie, thank you. Let's turn to members of the board for any questions or comments at this time.

DR. DELAUDER: Will you send a copy of the PowerPoint?

DR. HOWARD: Yes. Will I send -- it was a softball. Will I send a copy of the PowerPoint? The answer was yes.

DR. MURANO: I have a couple of questions. Why is -- I guess in the whole portfolio of HICD, and I don't mean for the

whole history of the agency but these last

programs over the last, you know, three years

or whatever, what would you say is the total

amount of the portfolio from USAID? Not other

donors, not USDA.

But what would you say is the agency's investment in HICD specifically, HICD programs like those that you mentioned< the Borlaug leadership and all that?

DR. HOWARD: Yeah, I'm turning to Rob, the king of numbers.

Ten? A hundred?

DR. MURANO: Ball park, you know.

DR. HOWARD: Clara? Gary? Total

investment this past year?

the I quess --

Thirty million?

DR. MURANO: Yeah, yeah. About

DR. HOWARD: The level of --

DR. MURANO: -- the last couple --

DR. HOWARD: -- total investment -

21 -

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

17

DR. MURANO: -- of years.

DR. HOWARD: -- which we know is growing and we have been adding programs, you know. I still have the figure of 13.5 for Borlaug over five years.

But that doesn't represent our -you know, the problem is that we're -- it is
difficult for us to sort of corral all the
mission investments in this area.

DR. MURANO: Right.

DR. HOWARD: But Gary?

MR. GARY: To get it exactly is the difficulty of corralling the mission investments and choosing out of them how much is direct delivery of services and how much is capacity building. We plan to do that, but that is going to take a while.

DR. MURANO: Sure. And I didn't mean that, because obviously that is difficult because the CRSP system, for example, they do lots of capacity development.

So I know that is going to be a difficult thing. But just target it for

capacity development such as the Borlaug 21st Century, the Borlaug -- well, I guess Borlaug Fellows is USDA.

But this new one from -- that APLU will be managing. I guess I'm trying to get a sense of -- you know, from the total portfolio. As you said very well, Julie, we all believe very strongly that HICD is key.

But I'm just sensing that maybe our total portfolio is not matching the need. And I may be completely wrong because maybe the bulk of it, as you said, maybe 80 percent of it is being done through these other means that we can't really tease out that easily.

DR. HOWARD: Yes. No, I would agree with you there, I mean, that we need to build the investments. I mean, we are definitely recovering from the debts, you know, of the early 2000s.

But I think, you know, Gary and Clara, perhaps we can work together over the next few days to get a clearer idea of a ball

park, you know, mission and central
investments in this across the board.

3 DR. MURANO: Yes. Only in 4 agriculture.

MR. BERTRAM: Thank you. I just wanted to add a comment, maybe a clarifying comment that I think what we were talking about here this morning is this platform that Julie is talking about, which are sort of very generically-focused pieces of the innovation chain.

A huge amount of our human and institution capacity building is mainstreamed into our programs. And the CRSPs are the best example.

DR. HOWARD: Right.

MR. BERTRAM: I mean, that's their -- right at the core of their business. So it is a little misleading sometimes if we just -- when we are counting up it would be good if we could somehow capture both of those kinds of investments because we do it all over.

I mean, if you are going to have a sustainable program you are going to build in that human capacity building.

DR. HOWARD: So -- but I would say even as we are thinking about these platforms, I would expect that the platforms would also reach out and see, well, how do we coordinate the capacity building that is being done in the CRSP.

That's really -- that's really essential. And it -- I mean, that just sort of epitomizes the problem that we face, you know. A lot of effort is going on, a lot of important things.

But we don't necessarily know if
we are on track to, you know, our goal of
having whatever -- 20 plant breeders, you
know, active and functioning or a functioning
department or policy analysis capacity.

You know, we know that something is going on out there, but no one is sort of keeping track of, okay, you know, this program

1 is taking care of this set of policy analysts.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay. We are, Julie, very -- if any burning questions, otherwise we are close on time here and -- I'm sorry, I'm getting -- okay.

Any other comments? We'll have a chance to visit -- revisit some of this later on in the discussion and particularly as we talk about the inception workshop I think that is going to provide an opportunity for interaction on issues that we have observed in the research plan.

We are going to take a short break right now. And it's right now by my clock 10:42. Let's try to be back as quickly as possible, maybe by 10:50 or so. Eight minutes okay for a break? Thank you.

That's not enough? Or maybe five minutes more than that.

(Whereupon, the foregoing proceeding went off the record at 10:42 a.m. and went back on the record at 11:02 a.m.)

next hour you are going to hear reports from myself on the visit I had with the inception workshop in Tanzania, Elsa Murano, who participated in a similar workshop in Ethiopia, and Marty McVey, who participated in IPM/CRSP meetings in India, Nepal and Bangladesh.

And these members of the board -Jo Luck, who could not be here, and I have not
explained this actually. Another member of
our board who is absent today, Jo Luck, had a
medical situation that arose as a result of
too much dust from a wind blower or a leaf
blower.

it had to be remedied. And so, unfortunately, she was not here. And she had participated in a CRSP, peanut CRSP meeting in Malta and would have been making a presentation.

And then Rob Bertram will be responding and talking about the research

strategy and a summary fashion response to what you are hearing from the three of us.

And let me say that we undertook this effort to participate in the inception workshops and with the CRSP meetings in response to Administrator Shah's admonition for us to be fully engaged with the programs of USAID in the field.

And so, we took that seriously and set about organizing ourselves to be full participants and really just want to, for the next few minutes, share some of that experience with you.

Because I think each of us had a great deal of excitement about our role and what we were doing with the workshops. And we will give you a sense of those. And each of the individuals that I have just mentioned will be making a brief presentation.

I have actually already said most of what you are seeing up here. I didn't coordinate this fully. As I said, we were

1 trying to respond.

The purpose of these inception workshops as some of the work with CRSP as well that is taking place is an attempt to ensure that the research strategy of USAID in the Feed the Future area is aligned with country missions, is identifying partners and bringing the parties that are involved in the entire research and implementation process together to engage in strategic planning for implementing the entire strategy from the research right down to the field applications and adoption of new processes.

And Rob will respond and clarify
that if I have missed any part of that. And
I think what was very exciting to us as we
looked at the -- and each of the visits was
set up so that those of us participating would
visit the USAID mission as well, participate
in the discussion with the actors in the
working, meeting either then with the country
ambassador or the mission director and have

additional site visits out in the field with partner projects or Feed the Future implement of partners.

And I participated in one of those in Tanzania and I will say this again in a moment, but one of the really exciting things was to see the strategy developing with all the players and then to see it carried into USAID planning sessions in a very specific and concrete way.

USAID has a solid history in Tanzania and these are some examples of project work that has taken place in the country.

And I was able to visit Sokoine
University after sitting in the workshops and
actually seeing the fruition of what was
actually several years of involvement with
USAID with Sokoine University.

For example, Robert Cunnane, the mission director here, presented a million-dollar check to Sokoine.

I will call attention to the university linkages here a bit because that was something I did out in the field as we completed the inception workshop.

We had a kickoff session. Julie
Howard, whom you heard earlier, was also here
to kick off and provide the overall purpose
and scope of what we were going to be engaging
in in the workshop.

And we also had the minister of agriculture of Tanzania who met with us. We had a very invigorating and stimulating workshop. And this provided background for what went on in the workshop and continued dialogue with the mission and with leaders of the country.

The workshops were very operational. And, Larry, I believe you were there in Tanzania with us as well. So I'm presuming you tasked with the CRSP.

And we had participants from a variety of in-country organizations. The

CRSPs that were active in the country, the NGO, CDOs, the various private sector institutions involved, as well as educational institutions.

And I think one thing that we all did a checklist at some point was: Did we have the right group of people here? Did we miss anyone important? And that may come out as we engage in discussions here.

I think one observation we made in Tanzania was that perhaps my memory is that we could have perhaps had more representation from some of the universities and educational institutions, including Sokoine, in the workshop.

We had then follow-up discussions with them in the field about that. Each component of the entire implementation process took place in small groups where various groups could address specific issues, then bring it back together and report back to the large group with an attempt made to develop

1 very clear strategies for implementation.

And the push towards actual implementation with each partner involved being -- identifying the responsibility they would undertake and how that would add up to successful Feed the Future objectives in the country every dimension of it was focused on.

The partnership -- I have
mentioned several of the institutions involved
with Tanzanian institutions, Sokoine being the
SUA and the National Agricultural Research
System and the various components of field
stations that are involved in the various
parts of the USAID programming in the country
were brought into this.

And I made a specific visit to

Morogoro with the Ohio State-led consortium

that involves Michigan State and a variety of
other institutions in the United States.

And currently, there is a Gaps
analysis being conducted where research things
are being done on the key priority research

1 issues within Tanzania.

At Sokoine it was particularly interesting to see the very rapid advancement that had been made on identifying postgraduate training opportunities for 20 doctoral students and 100 master's-level students.

And these students are -- were selected with the idea of filling some of the research gaps that were being undertaken. And we visited a number of field sites where research from the laboratories of the country as well as where previous participants who had been educated in the United States.

I'm thinking of a specific example at Penn State University where there is research being undertaken on viruses affecting tomatoes and various kinds of mulching processes that were being used to prevent fungus development and disease development was being monitored by the instructor who had just completed her doctorate at Penn State.

And she was in touch with the

research labs at Penn State at the same time that she was engaged in the field trials.

And also they worked with farmers' associations throughout the country. They have a select group of farmers that they test out these strategies with and then use a broader farmer organization and farmers' association to implement and carry out the dissemination of the research results.

I think it is interesting to recognize in each of the countries as this kind of work is going on that you are actually involved in creating specific institutional arrangements that may emerge into a new kind of cooperative form for the purchasing of inputs or the sale of outputs and tapping a very important entrepreneurial element in the country.

And we saw evidence of this with a number of the commodities being produced:
rice, vegetable crops, field crops, within
Tanzania. And in every one of those there

had been both private sector entities who were involved in the workshop in Dar es Salaam as they carried those out.

The workshops were met with tremendous enthusiasms by the participants.

It was clear that it was something they were excited about participating in.

And it was also clear that they were ready to look at concrete strategies for the implementation of the research results.

So from my standpoint, it was a very, very successful effort.

And we were able to talk about certainly what BIFAD is all about in our role and what we were learning as we participated in the workshop with them and the messages that then we could take back here.

And there were a number of major initiatives that were identified within the country that really I think impacted my thinking a great deal. And let me just share a couple of observations with you on those.

And one was the very simple observation that if you are going to successfully implement this entire framework of research and implementation you have got to have all parties involved in the process in order to be successful.

And that was obvious from the -almost a micro look that you have to take in
various regions of the country to develop the
strategies and then to pull them together in
a way that they themselves could see how the
pieces added up to the bigger whole in the
research process.

So I am saying nothing more than those affected and involved need to be there for the planning process for it to be successful.

And I think the workshop

demonstrated that and put it within the

context of not only USAID's mission but the

agricultural system of the country itself

being very, very critical.

And aligning those strategies with the various private sector, public sector, multinational entities involved, including the variety of organizations within South and Eastern Africa who are involved in this process; so that participation is vital.

The workshop played a very critical role in calling attention to the breadth of involvement.

Another very important observation that was made during the workshop by a variety of individuals was how critical it is for those donor agencies to maintain long-term involvement with participants.

And there was a lot of examples given about the success that can be garnered in a country when you have a U.S. university that maintains ties with someone who has been a CRSP participant, for example, goes back to the country.

The need for start-up funds and the need for continued professional mentoring

and involvement, and that point was made again and again when I visited the university.

And I visited, had a special session with those faculty members at the university who had been trained in U.S. institutions. And hearing them talk about that need was very touching, really, because you saw the professional development occurring.

And here was a country which had had tremendous gaps in the amount of funding available for postgraduate training. And therefore, you had a generation -- you had a generational gap.

You had an elder group ready to retire with no young group right below them.

And then you have new initiatives under way.

So you have -- you do not have a continuity at a time when in fact you need that continuity at all levels to be able to develop the kind of human capital that is needed within the country.

So I think that notion is something that I feel USAID needs to address and its human and institutional capacity building is ensuring longer-term continuity and support for those individuals that we are working with.

So I know that is not going to be news to many of you, but it was something that left me very excited about that potential for adding to what we are doing.

So we saw some wonderful examples of human resource development. We saw a lot of needs identified in some very obvious ways, seed development and distribution, some very technical areas.

And we saw good examples of the way in which cutting edge research is implemented at the field level. And in my field visits, I got good examples of that.

We also saw the need for continued consultation with the educational institutions within that country, within Tanzania, or any

other country that we are working with so that
we gain that partnership at all levels and
have educational institutions that are aligned
also in providing continuing support with the
private sector entities as well as with the
USAID mission longer term.

So with that, let me move on to our next presenter and we will look forward to continuing discussions. We have Dr. Elsa Murano, who will be talking about her visit to Ethiopia for the inception workshop.

DR. MURANO: Well, my trip to
Ethiopia was similar in many ways to Dr.

Deaton's, but also somewhat different. And I
will illustrate in what ways.

I wanted to first say that we had really great accommodations at ILRI and Addis. And it was really a great way to see the kinds of facilities that one can have when one engages in these kinds of projects and in collaboration with a CG center like ILRI.

Ethiopia, as a lot of you know who

have been there, is a wonderful country, and wonderful people. Very friendly, very polite people, but people who are very determined to try to do better for themselves.

And as I like to think about it, and as all of us know here, it is a country that is surrounded by trouble. Maybe that's a good way to look at it.

And so it has a lot of challenges not of their own making a lot of times. And I commend these people for just being so resilient over so many years after so many struggles.

You have got to love a country that has a ceremony for drinking coffee, don't you think? I think.

Agricultural production there, of course, is quite varied but the main crops are the Feed the Future: maize, sorghum, wheat, barley.

And as you may know if you have been to Ethiopia, teff is a grain that is used

by Ethiopians constantly because it is the main ingredient, if you will, for this fermented bread injers that they use in their typical meals. And so, a very important commodity for them.

And also production, of course, as well, cattle, sheep and goats, and so forth.

And, of course, as I said before, coffee. I think on this map picture I am showing you coffee production areas in green throughout the country, so fairly diverse agriculture.

The workshop that was held there was in a very, very similar way as the Tanzania inception workshop that Dr. Deaton attended. It was populated by a diverse group of people, by scientists, by educators, by policy-makers.

And you can see there a list of
the various organizations that were
represented. From the Ethiopian Government,
obviously the minister of agriculture, but
also the Ethiopian Institute for Agricultural

Research, a very important player there.

CG center representatives were there, not of everyone, but of the ones that were germane to the discussion. The U.S. academic community I was very pleased to see, some of the core center directors present.

And then they had NGOs. Various foundation representatives were there as well, and certainly USAID not only from Washington headquarters but also the mission there locally.

So it was a really good group of people from various perspectives and points of view and backgrounds. And yet they were all there, convened to try to develop a plan.

And so I was very impressed, to be quite honest with you, because I didn't think that this kind of activity went on. And it was of great benefit I think to me to see as a member of BIFAD the real implementation of this idea to coordinate at the planning stages very much manifested at this workshop.

So as I understood it, our purpose was to enhance collaboration, and that's why all these people were invited, and minimize duplication of effort among all these stake holders.

Obviously, agricultural production in Ethiopia was the main focus, but not only.

There was lots of other things in keeping with the Feed the Future mission.

So the aim was to develop a plan that would help improve field and production efficiency over mixed crop and livestock system through sustainable intensification, the time that we have all come to adapt and adopt, I should say, as a way to go.

Rob Bertram was there, as well as other people from Washington. And so, he stayed the whole and participated. And again, that is impressive to me, because having been at a government agency myself a lot of times, you know, the big shots show up and leave.

And you didn't do that, as big of

a shot as you are. So I'm just saying this because Paul is here, so you know he actually was there the whole time and worked very hard.

And of course the increased market opportunities through improvements and the value chain, and our hosts were everywhere extremely good to us, I have to say, provided all the things that one needs to engage in an activity like this successfully.

So in health and nutrition and health of women and children, economic prosperity, conservation, natural resources, taking into account climate change, gender issues, all of the Feed the Future themes were certainly there.

There were breakout sessions to try to develop this plan. And I will tell you that the discussions were pretty spirited, at least at the table where I sat, and then later on as they made us move around.

But very collegial, very much people wanting to get at not what their

individual agendas were from all their various institutions, but really what needs to be done in Ethiopia.

And so I found it to be very refreshing and fairly devoid of those, you know, individual agenda pressures that sometimes we see. So there you see a group of folks we, as happens at these kinds of workshops, you paste a lot of papers on the wall and do all kinds of crazy things like that.

And so at coffee break time we all try to read them and make some sense out of them. So, very much a working workshop from the very first in the morning till the end of the day.

One of the main, I think, aims of this was to try to connect with similar projects and similar efforts. So the minister of agriculture plan and then the center projects for the CG Centers that were represented.

There's CYMMT and ICARDA, ICRISAT,

IFRPI, IWMI and ILRI, as well as the CRSP

projects that were represented there. Other

4 USAID-funded projects, and certainly the

5 research projects of Ethiopia itself.

So there was a real effort, like I said, to try to integrate this as much as possible which, you know, may have been something that reflects what is done all the time.

I wasn't familiar with it so I was certainly impressed by it and thought it was a great way to do this. It takes time, takes effort. The more people you involve in an activity like this, you know, the longer it takes to arrive at the end.

But the end product is going to not only have the buy-in of people, but it is going to have the thoughtful input of all these people that will make it a better work product.

So in addition to participating in

the workshop, I did not get a chance, unlike

Dr. Deaton, to go in the field, unfortunately,

in Ethiopia. But I did get to pay some

peripheral visits, is what I am calling it,

visits to other entities or to specific people

there in Addis.

One of them was a visit to the U.S. embassy. And, you know, you are not supposed to take pictures of the embassy, but, you know, I was able to snap that real quickly in the car as we were coming in. So that was it.

And then they confiscated my cell phone. So, we needed to -- I needed to talk to mission folks there at AID and I found them to be very open, very willing to share with me their opinions and their thoughts, which was definitely what I needed to do.

And so, after some very interesting conversations with some AID folks, you know, they came up with what I would say is two over-arching needs. And this is

frankly true of any government agency, you know, that is large and that extends itself into various places.

The need to be included in decisions early in the process, you know, you will find that field personnel always have that as a concern. And then the need to ensure that headquarters understands what they live with, their realities on the ground.

And, you know, these are not new.

These are the kinds of things that they are
concerned with all the time. Sometimes those
concerns are more than other times.

The good thing is that they had someone who had been at the mission who is now at headquarters. And I can't remember her name but those kinds of, you know, interactions where you have people who have been at the mission for a number of years and then they get to go to D.C., or vice versa, is what will help bridge these gaps more and more.

And then we visited with the ambassador, a very interesting person. I basically told about who BIFAD, what BIFAD is. He wasn't all that familiar with it, as most people are not, Dr. Deaton, so I need to continually talk about us and what we are there to do to help the agency.

And certainly he did not need to be convinced about the importance of agriculture and development. He understands it, knows it very well, and was very -- had his finger I would say pretty well on the pulse, you know, for -- on agriculture as very, very important for Ethiopia.

So I found that visit to be, you know, very useful. And then I was able to visit with the State Minister of Agriculture, Wondirad Mandefro. And he gave me a good insight as to the educational needs, talking about capacity building.

One of the things he told me, he says, you know, in Ethiopia, as I think

happens in most other countries except the
United States, for the most part, is that you
have extension folks are the ones that do the
training of the farmers and industry folks and
what have you.

And they are not located at universities. They are usually under the Ministry of Agriculture. But people who come from universities are the ones that train those folks, train the extensionists.

And his point to me was, is that the extensionists need to have very hands-on information to help farmers and others. And they don't often get that hands-on from the university-educated people.

University-educated people have all the theory in the world, but they don't have the practical knowledge. And so he was telling me, if you guys are going to do anything at universities here, you need to help them get educated and trained, you know, for whether it is a master's degree or

whatever it is in a way that is very practical education. It is not just pie in the sky education.

So I thought that was certainly useful for BIFAD to know. And then, of course, the importance of the livestock industry in Ethiopia, he impressed me as really thinking that that was one of the big keys for Ethiopia to do well.

And so we talked a little bit about some projects that had been done already and that are being done in livestock industry so that they can export meat products, for example, to outside of Ethiopia and in that way really enhance their economic development.

I visited also with a representative from the Ethiopian Institute of Water Resources which is, as it says here, collaboration between several Ethiopian universities and University of Connecticut and some other American universities to educate at the master's and Ph.D. level Ethiopian

1 students doing research in water.

So that certainly is one of those projects where we will -- we should keep an eye on to see if maybe it can serve as a model for other similar efforts.

It is funded by USAID and Higher Education for Development. This is a picture of the minister. But then down here is the entry to the Ministry of Agriculture.

And he is very personable, one of these folks that, as soon as I sat down even though I had just come from lunch and had a nice lunch and coffee and all that, he insisted I taste coffee from his special reserve.

And I thought, well, I don't need any more coffee. But I ended up drinking it, Dr. Gebisa Ejeta, because it is just the best coffee there is. I will tell you that. You can't say no to a cup of Ethiopian coffee.

So in conclusion, I would say that the efforts of the agency to try to integrate

a multitude of projects and partners and so forth obviously is a very sound approach and is one that they are not just, at least in this example it is not lip service.

It was being done. It was happening. The emphasis on sustainable intensification and combining crops and livestock certainly is what needs to be done there in Ethiopia.

And Ethiopia itself is a great

place to work because there is somewhat of the

infrastructure that they need. Not

completely, but certainly a commitment, I

would say, from the government entities as

well as their universities.

We again need to ensure that the mission is included in planning as well as execution and that long-term planning, as difficult as that is because we all live in the short term, give-me-the-results kind of world, we need to include those as much as possible in order to really eventually make a

1 big difference.

With that I am going to end, because I know that my colleague, Marty McVey, he went to lots of places so he has got a lot more to say than I did. So thank you very much.

(Applause).

MR. McVEY: Thank you. I am going to stay seated because I have got all my notes all around here, so if folks don't mind that. Thank you.

And in an effort for the CRSP study that is currently going under way right now, I won't go into great detail on opinions and that like, but I also want to recognize John Bowman, who was on the trip from AID, and what a great resource it was to have him accompany me and the team as well as Muni is here as well from Virginia Tech.

So it's a great resource to me to be able to have these folks on the trip as well. And I share with the other members how

1 important these trips are.

The BIFAD members are able to get into the field and see the work that is being done and make recommendations for the future and be able to participate in the success of the CRSP or any mission that USAID is funding.

So we'll start, I think -- you're going to start with some slides here. These are basically just some photos. And we started the trip in India and we visited the trade and intellectual property department and spent the day reviewing the socioeconomic research on impact assessment and gender equality.

Discussions covered primary data collected on full and partial adopters of IPM/CRSP technologies on onion, eggplant, okra, tomatoes and cauliflower.

Considerable discussions focused on the papaya mealybug, which we renamed the "Munibug," which has a huge impact on not only papaya but also mulberry and other fruit

1 crops.

With additional funds we will be able to qualify the impacts of the mealybug on other horticultural crops and environmental impact. During this discussion it was discovered that there was limited work done on the gender equality group.

And a plan is in place for year two, for 2010-2011 gender difference in the level of IPM knowledge on how to control crop pests and access to assets.

The scientists at this workshop prepared a book and packages for several vegetable crops and distributed to the farmers during the meeting.

The meeting was attended by over 700 farmers and was covered by all Indian radio and local newspapers. So a great deal of media coverage was experienced with this. We also were welcomed by the mission.

And William Hammink is the mission director for USAID and very knowledgeable,

too. Also, I briefed on BIFAD and what our role is and the charge by the administrator, and very receptive in any of these countries that I visited.

And I have already heard it said by the other members today that the missions are really responsive to our travel there and I think it is good dialogue. So the IPM/CRSP was about to give the mission director a good briefing on what they had been working on and how to work together for the future.

Mr. Hammink gave us an updated on, you know, what the priorities of the mission is right now. And it is public-private sector partnerships and development innovations, partnership innovations, food security, clean energy and global health.

We also discussed U.S.-IndiaAfrica trilateral program. So India is, you know, already into clean energy, obviously, and global health. So they are advancing at a very rapid pace.

We also had the opportunity to meet with the Indian Council on Agricultural Research and got the update on biological controls of papaya mealybug. So that was very helpful.

We travelled to Nepal and met with the mission director, David Atteberry, who is a very experienced and well-versed director there. And he had mentioned to us about the RFP and prefers the project to facilitate the mission focus programs.

So I think that the RFP on IPM, the new RFP is already out by now, I'm sure. We travelled to Pohkara, which is in the north, and a very beautiful place and we visited fields that are using biofertilizer and biopesticides for production of cauliflower and other vegetables.

Very beautiful scenery up there as well. We visited farmers who graft tomatoes from tomato root stock to overcome bacterial wilt disease and nematodes as well as the

1 longer longevity.

So it is very interesting and consistent, you know. Paul mentioned in his presentation about the role of women in these programs. And that truly is working. I think it is something that should be expanded on.

Because all of the women that we talked to and what do they do with their profits or their excess capacity is that they reinvest into education for their children.

And out of 10 probably that I have talked to, nine were reinvesting in education for their children. One is reinvesting in better seeds, you know, but putting it back into the farms.

In Bangladesh we attended a meeting for IPM/CRSP activities in Bangladesh Agriculture Research Institute, BARI, which is a very impressive setup there. And we discussed successes of IPM/CRSP over the last 13 years.

We had BARI scientists that

presented their research and extension activities in developing IPM packages for different vegetables and disseminating the information through field days, farmer school days and mass media.

I was briefed about by the ABSPII project in Bangladesh and the quarantine fields that are there in the BARI project.

Also is the BARI Soci (phonetic) economic group. It will host a student for the next seven months.

Altogether they will implement another survey to be used in the impact assessment work. I travelled to Bogra and visited pumpkin fields where pheromone traps were set up for the control of melon fly.

I think it might be -- that's

Bogra there, and visited a village where women

were producing trichodermic compost in fields

where trichodermic compost was applied.

Many of the farmers expressed their appreciation for introduction of the

trichodermic compost production and use in the field. And you can really see some good results from that increase in their yield.

We travelled to meet with the ambassador, Don Mozena, who is also -- who grew up on a farm in Iowa, so he has a lot to say about the agriculture, and of course briefed him on what BIFAD is and what a good group of folk resources that the missions could take advantage of. And he was very receptive to that.

We had attended the international training workshop in Dhaka. It was conducted on diagnosis and management of insect pests and disease in horticultural crops under the IPM/ CRSP international plant diagnostic network at BARI.

That is a mouthful, which is really fantastic. The program provided theoretical background for identification of bacterial and viral disease in vegetable crops, identification of biocontrol agents,

and use of modern molecular and serological diagnostic methods.

The hands-on laboratory that they have there and the subsequent training provided three major case studies: identification of the papaya mealybug, identification of causative in bacterial wilt and identification of tomato leaf curl viruses and whiteflies.

A manual will be developed on IPDM by the IPM/CRSP as a result. Here's some other farmers.

So I think that some of the observations, again without skewing the CRSP study that is under way right now, is that certainly there is an opportunity to have more collaboration between the CRSP and the mission.

The CRSP, at least in the three countries that I visited certainly could benefit by having more access to the mission and the staff there.

Another opportunity is to identify the true impact of the capacity building that is going on, what are the results, and how do you measure those.

I think that more documentation, and we talked about this at the Memphis meeting with the group there, more documentation of what the successes really are.

We need to be able to demonstrate the use of the funds that are going into these projects and provide those to the administrator for additional projects that may come up. And how do we learn from those?

I think as the study goes on and as the mission gets more involved with the CRSP it is: How do we get from farm to market? I think that is lacking in how we are putting these projects into application.

So that is the development piece of the research that needs to go hand in hand.

And I think if we can focus on that, then that

ties back to the engagement with the private sector.

You know, involving them in the -at an earlier stage, not necessarily
developing or doing the research and here it
is. What are the needs so, you know, the
benefits can be shared by the masses.

And I think that's certainly -these trips, again, are very important. I
encourage other members to travel on these
trips and see the projects that are under way.

I look forward to going back, especially to Bangladesh. I see a great deal of opportunity there to grow their -- have 160 million people in the country.

Ten percent of that is within the capital so they have a great deal of labor that is around to do these projects. I would also recommend that the farms that are being used for the studies be expanded so you can see more, you know, more study impact.

Thank you. If there is any

1 questions?

2 CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Marty, thank
3 you very much.

(Applause).

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Well, those are the three perspectives from those of us who were travelling. And any questions among the board here?

We are going to move to Rob

Bertram in just a moment for a reaction to the entire panel. But it is clear that we saw different things in different places.

We have not had -- we have had a limited opportunity for comparing notes ourselves and the observations of getting to market, for example, problems that you saw.

For example, in Tanzania, my sense was that was being pretty well addressed, you know, by the private sector and they were involved in the workshops anyway.

But the transportation workshops are different from this -- the visit for the

trip, too. But those are the kinds of issues that we'll refine and look at as we go forward.

Any reactions from anyone else?

Otherwise I'll --

DR. EJETA: I don't have a question, but with your permission if you may give me a couple of minutes to embarrass Rob Bertram.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Rob, let's go ahead with your -- did you want to do that after?

DR. EJETA: I would like to do it right now while I have the chance.

You know, the whole concept of this Feed the Future research strategy development process has been really and excellent process that has been put together.

I'm sure his staff had contributed greatly, but I have had -- I have been perhaps one of the very few people that have been involved in the process since the early days

when he and Josette Lewis started thinking about it.

And it's really a very impressive process in terms of how thoughtful it has been and in terms of building on the experiences of agricultural research in developing countries, agricultural research in the CGIR system.

And then how consumptively it has been a process in terms of thinking about what has worked, what has not worked in the past, defining them and concentrating them to these three or four areas that they came back to; and engaging people at various levels to bring in lessons from the past in projecting this research agenda.

I really thought this inception workshop concept was a very brilliant concept in terms of bringing it to the areas where these researches are being conducted.

And also, many of you may not have picked on this, but this is something that I have been enrolled in in the dialogue within

the CG system that there was an attempt to bring in all of the research dimensions into ecological areas.

And in a process that we have really not succeeded in moving the agenda within the CG system to get that done, and then now you will see ID approves Mark's leadership, is really beginning to get that done.

Not only is that going to bring in the research into the areas that are strategically selected in both in India and these African regions, bringing in ecological based agricultural research systems, but in doing so it is beginning to influence decision-making within the CGIR that now they are thinking that with a very small investment from the USAID there is an opportunity to influence a research agenda that the entire CG system may begin to adopt and do that.

And doing all of this, I know just personally I worked with Rob for years and it

is just leading from the back with a lot of humility, deference to people and it just has been very, very impressive to me, Rob.

And I just -- I give you my applause. I respect all of what you said in there.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Gebisa, thank you. And, Rob, we will turn to you. And let me, while you are moving up here, just say Rob was thoroughly involved in the Tanzanian workshop.

I believe you were each of the three inception workshops, as was Julie Howard, and clearly demonstrated a lot of leadership, and obviously have a lot of respect among the communities involved in that, with those discussions.

MR. BERTRAM: Thank you, Brady.

Frankly, I was afraid it was going to be a different kind of embarrassment, so thank you very much, Gebisa.

And, you know, it is totally a

team effort. I want to single out one person in particular. That's Jerry Glover.

And for those of you who were at the workshop, you know how Jerry's vision and leadership and his incredible background in systems work and the literature, and as Gebisa said, what has worked, what hasn't worked, why, is making a huge difference.

And then I also want to -- there's a lot of CRSP people here who also were key participants and key drivers. So like Elsa and Gebisa said, we were so fortunate to bring together such a great group of people from the national side, from the international, and from our U.S. community.

Well, thank you. I wanted to start this morning to just remind us how we got here a little bit. This is the cover of what came out of the consultation that we did with APLU and with the blessing of BIFAD this started out at the Purdue workshop in January of last year.

Bob was there and it has continued through the research forum where many of you participated last June. We had 1,000 -- more than 1,000 participants in the online conversation.

It was worldwide in terms of scope and participation. It was very, very gratifying. And I wanted to say that last fall when we met in Des Moines, speaking of the BIFAD, we -- I laid out how we were implementing the strategy of USAID.

So I think what I would like to do today is just kind of hit some highlights.

And you have already captured some of those in your comments. But in just a few months I think we are making significant process (sic).

I want to try to cover some of those areas this morning and I also want to flag a few areas where I think maybe there is more progress to be made or we are not doing enough.

And I have to say your first hour

this morning when you were talking about your areas of concern that many of you raised was really music to my ears because it was -- I think there is a real connection between the board's thinking and our thinking inside AID.

So a few things just to say sort of generically. We are building bridges across our staff and programs. I'm really excited about the participation we just talked about with the CRSPs and the CGIR and the NARS.

But we also have our lead staff, our AOTRs, our AORs, the agreement officers, people like John Bowman and others who are managing both CRSPs and the relevant CGIR programs or international programs.

So Vern Long manages INTSORMIL and the peanut CRSP. She also is the lead for the CGIR programs in dryland grains and the things to do with peanuts.

Lots of other examples: Larry
Beach with dry gain pulses and the legumes

program. We also, I think we are really excited, for example, last summer the grain legumes. CRSP had a global research strategy meeting at Penn State that involved people from USDA, from the CGIR, from the universities, private sector.

Similarly, in Malta the peanut
CRSP brought together a global research
community to talk about our work in peanuts.

And I am very pleased that later this month and early next month we are sending U.S. researchers -- not just from the CRSP; there's several from the CRSP but also some from outside the CRSP -- to the CGIR planning workshops on the legumes program and on the dry grain cereals program, and some of our staff as well.

So we are really getting this global partnership and I think it is really encouraging. Another thing I wanted to flag and Paul and Julie have already I think flagged this this morning, is how -- what a

different environment we are in in terms of the fact that we have these 19 or 20 focus missions now who have major investments in food security and agriculture.

And it is such an important opportunity for those of us on the R&D side to try to connect with those. It has already come out several times today.

But I also think it has some bearing about what we do in R&D. And how do we pass off? How do we make that jump from the innovation in terms of the research side to pass off to the implementation in the field?

And of course part of what those sustainable intensification programs are about is just that. So I won't spend much time on this. I think you have all seen this.

This is the areas that we are working in. In a nutshell, our sustainable intensification is about climate resilience.

It is about reducing risk. It is about

increased efficiency in use of water and other resources, as Paul mentioned this morning.

It is about productivity gains, but through efficiencies as well as other ways, and it is about market efficiencies, too. It is not technology separate from the context, the human and the institutional context.

Livestock, key issue for
nutrition, for income. Biomass, another key
issue both for livestock feed, but also for
soil fertility and great resilience. Drought,
the whole issue of soil and water
conservation.

So these are all the things that the workshops that Brady and Elsa and others have discussed are -- that is what we were really trying to grapple with.

Now, I want to just -- we are calling our program in Africa, Africa Rising.

And I wanted to put the definition up here just so you can see that we have the hunger

and poverty, which Paul talked about, and we also have the women and children and the nutrition piece that is very important, and of course the natural resource base.

We tried to capture that all. I think that is actually still a high bar, and we will talk a little bit more about that.

Now, this is just a screen shot.

You can go to africa-rising.wikispaces.com and
we can send that to everyone so that you know
where to go.

But again, as I said, Jerry

Glover, Elizabeth Skewgar and others have just

done -- Eric Witte has done incredible work on

this. And of course the other thing that came

out, I think in Elsa's comments, we had Gates

involved.

But we also have strong interest from DFID, the U.K., and also from Australia, who are also interested in the concept of sustainable intensification. Now, this is Jerry's creation and it is a little bit much

1 to look at.

But what I wanted -- what it does show you -- the main focus of our program is here on production. We are connected through extension, equipment, fertilizer, market, seeds and breeds.

But our research in these programs won't fund those for sale. And then this is past the production end to the post-harvest, milling and packaging and marketing.

So our main thrust is here but we have connections up and down. And I think those connections, of course, are critical to the success of the program for a longer term.

Now, as I think came out in the comments, one of things that we did at these workshops is talk about how do we get this community engaged? How do we show something in a short term? We are not starting from scratch.

And we came up with the quick start approach. And both the Ethiopia and the

East Africa workshop have done -- are doing that.

And so here, the point here is to really energize some of the partners -- many partners, frankly -- and to generate results by September to tap into the knowledge and engage people in the program going forward.

And we have six projects in the Ethiopian highlands. I am going to show a few examples. And we have 10 in Eastern and Southern Africa.

And then in West Africa, it was a little different but there are still a lot of programs that have gotten started. Here are some examples.

In Ethiopia we have the regionalizing fertilizer rate. That involves IFPRI, the SANREM CRSP, University of Tennessee, the ATA, that is the Agricultural Transformation Agency in Ethiopia -- it is hugely important -- and the Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research.

We have value chain analyses, again spanning CRSPs, local universities, mission-funded projects, a couple international centers. And then in West Africa you can see another effort that involves ICRISAT, but also the peanut CRSP, INTSORMIL, SANREM CRSP, IITA and the national program in Mali and Ghana.

So lots of real partnerships here.

We also have groups like World Vision

involved. We have local private sector. We

have seed companies, small, local seed

companies.

And I am happy to share the list if anybody -- Jerry and Elizabeth have compiled a list of those grants. So if you would like to see them, just kind of get a deeper flavor of what they are about we'll be happy to do that.

Then I want to also mention CSISA and Saharah Moon Chapotin, who leads the research team that's here. And this is really

her creation along with partners in the Gates
Foundation and the national programs of India,
Bangladesh, Nepal, Pakistan, and the related
international centers.

This has been going for a while.

We are funding it with the Gates Foundation in four countries. It is all about climate resilience. And again, it is about this issue of system productivity.

We have an example here where we are talking about -- what do I do there? Postpone, right?

So, for example, if we don't use flooded rice, if we use irrigated rice we can get the wheat in earlier. We can plant wheat into cotton stubble.

That can give us an extra ton of wheat by missing the drought. If you don't have a flooded field, you don't have to wait two or three weeks for it to dry out in order to plant.

The other thing we have a lot of

emphasis on is on livestock and grain legumes.

And, you know, grain legumes have become
relatively expensive and declined as a portion
of the diet, to the detriment of poor people

5 in particular.

So we are looking for ways to remedy that. A little bit more here on some impact data. The partnership, a lot of households adopting these conservation technologies. These result also in savings in energy and water.

We have policy demonstrations. We actually have our influencing policy in the Indian State of Bihar, so the government actually subsidized the development of this locally-produced conservation tillage equipment to try to encourage their uptake.

So that is quite a feat, actually, for an agricultural research program. There is also -- Saharah Moon has been very involved in the development of transition strategies to really hand this over to the local public and

1 private sector; both are involved.

And we are now scaling up in

Nepal. There are new hubs in Bangladesh. The

MYAPs, M-Y-A-P-S, those are our NGO Title II

programs, another incredibly important

collection -- connection in terms of moving

technology from research into the hands of

small holder farmers, very important partners

to us.

And then finally Pakistan is coming on line in a bigger way, we think. And the point here is that even though that is not a Feed the Future country, it is a country where the R&D that we do is extremely relevant.

So we are making those connections to the mission in Pakistan and to the Pakistani organizations and also connecting them to their regional partners, the four countries that are involved.

Now, I said I wanted to say a bit more about stuff we are not doing enough of,

perhaps. And before I do that though I want to just say that the programs we fund have incredible leverage.

We were talking yesterday with some of the CRSPs. They are leveraging researchers who have USDA grants from NIFA, CAP grants, AFSI grants. They have NIH grants. They have NSF grants. They have a lot of grants from industry here in the United States.

So USAID in this program, and I am mentioning this now because as we think about this very consciously as we go into new areas of effort, can actually integrate all these other streams of funding and resources around a focused agenda for food security-related R&D.

The other thing I want to say, and I checked this is on the Web, Paul. So our budget is significantly improved this year.

Our R&D budget, Dr. Shah has pushed very hard for this and he has pushed hard for it on the

1 basis of climate resilience: crops,

livestock, and some of the kinds of systems work, too, that they're talking about here.

So our budget is actually up about \$60 million over FY2012. We have no knowledge of what the future will be yet. But, you know, the best defense is a good offense and that is to have really incredibly smart, strong, well-thought-out programs.

And so that is why I wanted to flag here for BIFAD this morning a few of the areas where I think you have already done it in your earlier comments.

So, for example -- oh, I first want to come back to this slide. This was the first slide. If you take a look there you see a child and a mother.

You see a handful of grain

legumes. I think those are cowpeas. You see

fish, cereals, animal source. You have

livestock. You don't see vegetables but

where's Bess? They should be there. And

Muni. The horse folks will want them to be there, and we believe that, too.

But, you know, it just -- this is to remind us of what Feed the Future is about. And as we look, for example, at sustainable intensification what do we really need to do to build nutrition in?

I mean, we know we want it.

Everybody agrees. We had some nutritionists
- not enough, but some, at the workshop. But

I think we have got a real opportunity and I

was glad to hear the board mention it this

morning.

I would really like to see if we couldn't convene with the Global Health Bureau at AID an effort to really think through how we can engage the two sectors together to see if we can drive the nutritional gains that we are on the hook for.

As Paul said, I mean, we are on the hook for something, reductions, and if we are serious about that we are just going to

have to think more clearly about that.

So I would like to really invite BIFAD to work with us and help us reach out to our partners across the aisle, as we might say in AID, in terms of another bureau to take that forward.

Another area for -- I think that I wanted to flag for you is this new -- is the climate-resilient cereals. This is actually something we started from last year's budget.

The call on this just closed but we are very excited that it is going to lead to a series of new partnerships, some with universities, no doubt some with the private sector.

It is procurement-sensitive. I can't really say much more than that. But we know we are going to be leveraging again a huge amount of other resources from both public and private sources here.

And I also want to flag -- wish

Max was here because I have to say this. It

is not just about private resilience crops.

This one was, but we are also interested in climate resilience and livestock.

And we have some thoughts about that being another area that we would like to develop going forward. Wheat research, another area where we actually do a fair amount.

We are -- our programs like FIFA, but also our CGIR program in wheat are screening heat and drought tolerance and disease resistance, germ plasma.

You are familiar with our ref work with the CGIR, Bill and Melinda Gates and USDA. We are building a new greenhouse at USDA's cereal disease lab in St. Paul to help them play more of a global role.

And we are -- we have the hope of some more drought or heat-tolerant work coming out. Issues of drought and heat tolerance in wheat are huge and this is probably one of the most sensitive crops in some of the areas that

are most sensitive, say South and Central Asia, Ethiopia.

We really want to be ahead of this. The other thing I want to flag on something like wheat is, not a lot of our missions have this as a value chain but we know it is incredibly important to food security.

So it was a question of, even if it is not necessarily a total overlay, we know that for a lot of our countries the performance of the wheat crop is incredibly important for their food security. Places like Nepal, Bangladesh, but also Afghanistan, Pakistan and many others.

And then finally -- I think this is my last slide, I wanted to -- I think this was already mentioned again, the issue of water. And it is an area that we flagged before, Brady, in conversations.

But AID had gotten out of the irrigation business about 20 years ago. And

of course our work used to be very much around large-scale systems in places like South Asia.

But now when we talk about sustainable intensification for small holders in places like Africa, we want to think about water because there is nothing more important in terms of reducing risk.

Irrigation, also water use efficiency, and I know SANREM and some of the other CRSPs are working on this and some of the centers, but I think this is an area where we could again do some convening and really think it through.

You have big investments being made in U.S. universities. The Daugherty Center in Nebraska is one example but there are many others.

And again, it is an issue where we can -- we have a lot of experience to bear.

There's a lot of U.S. universities that have worked globally, so I think maybe the time is ripe to bring -- reconvene on that.

And then the last point you don't have a slide on but I want us to let everyone know we are not forgetting policy. And we have a new policy activity under design now. It is still in developmental stages.

So we have a policy team in the Agricultural Research and Policy Office headed by Jeff Hill. We have people like Jim Oehmke from Michigan State and Dave Atwood and others, a strong group.

And we -- that's another area where again we are going to be looking to the U.S. university community and others to help us ensure that those -- both those upward and downward linkages that I showed in that slide slanting the production focus get the attention they deserve.

So thank you very much.

(Applause).

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Rob, thank

you very much. Let me turn to the board

itself for any comments or further discussion

regarding any of the presentations we heard,
Rob's particularly.

Catherine?

MS. BERTINI: I have a question,
Rob. I'm not sure if it's for you or one of
your colleagues. I just read today that
Canadian CEDAW was considering some
significant cuts in their AID package and it
would impact on some of the Feed the Future
countries.

Notwithstanding whatever they do,

I am wondering how USAID interacts with -- you
mentioned DFID with others and how you support
each other. And we go up and down ourselves
in an effort to try to sustain support.

MR. BERTRAM: I think Julie could speak to this as well because it is very much in our minds right now with the G8 planning and G20 planning coming up.

But I would say that there are ups and downs, and hopefully not all donors or development agencies move the same direction

at once. So we do get some smoothing out.

We certainly believe that the processes like the L'Aquila process that President Obama and other world leaders launched on in 2009 has paid off tremendously.

And I think one of the challenges we all have is: How do we maintain that central focus for the issues? The price rises are not transient. They haven't gone away.

And the driving forces, which are the shift in diet and the demand for more food for a richer diet by emerging middle classes on the one hand plus, frankly, a declining rate of productivity growth in agriculture on the other, those two things are still there.

So -- but let me ask Julie. It is a concern, but having sharp priorities and focus and being able to articulate always helps. But, Julie?

DR. HOWARD: Well, so it's a great, great comment and question. I think both through our G8 and the G20 discussions

where we are getting to a better place, it is not without pain.

But, say, you know, for the G8 discussions on around technology and innovation, really, you know, the things that we are talking about with our G8 partners is how we do specific things to better coordinate in especially technologies already developed and making sure they get commercialized rather wide-scaled and let farmers know about them.

So it's, you know, I think that is the bridge that we haven't been able to cross yet, you know. And it is a very important bridge to go over, you know, as we continue to develop from L'Aquila, you know, into actual what does this need for donor coordination and corrective recording of impacts against a common target?

On the G20, you know, I think that is also -- we are beginning to have some interesting conversations there, you know, about how we get better even at reporting what

1 we are spending.

I mean, we really have a very, very loose idea of what we are spending on agricultural research and development. It is kind of -- it's very shocking.

Until we get better at knowing what we are spending, you know, we also can't get better at knowing where are the gaps.

What do we really need to focus on, and all the things that you need really to go into ex/ante impact analysis.

And so that is critical to the smoothing function that you are talking about. So even if a donor drops down, you know, that we can highlight it early enough to say, look, you know, we really need a surgeon in rust research or something like that.

MR. WEISENFELD: Just a couple of quick points to add, Catherine. Canada has been a critical partner of ours, not just in funding but as a critical thought partnership on the formation of the global agricultural

food security program, on really being a leader in thinking about the Ag Pull Mechanism, which you may or may not know about.

But in the current economic -worldwide economic environment, this is
obviously a big concern of ours. Nonetheless,
I do think that in our discussions with Canada
we are extremely hopeful that as their budgets
are constrained they will still prioritize
food security.

And I am -- just to repeat, I think we are very hopeful on that score. The G8 I think is a real forum coming up that can help people prioritize food security and nutrition issues in the constrained global economic environment.

The other thing I would add is,
the European Union has already approached us
about their design of programs over the coming
-- I forget if it is a five- or seven-year
transfer. Seven.

But they are about to re-think

where they are focusing and they are very

interested in focusing on food security. And

they have a big envelope of research. So as

Rob says, not everyone goes up and down at the

same time.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Other members of the board, comments? Questions?

Rob, let me just say you really outlined a series of very rich initiatives, areas where additional research and planning and strategy would pay off, from dropped tolerance issues to the global nutrition, the water issues.

And in every scientific conference

I sit in, I see some new insight comes

forward, that I'm sitting there thinking,

gosh, I wonder how fully incorporated that is

in the various missions around the world.

And in a sense, we need almost a querying system to determine that. Or in fact, as you know, I send you Bill Davies,

about these very innovative ways that plants are using water with root systems and the kind of molecular biology work or pathology work that they were engaged in.

And it appeared new to many in the conference I was in. And so that is just an example. But it's just to make the point that some very, very powerful findings are potentially there that could really change the nature of the way we are looking right now.

DR. MURANO: Can I just ask one question? It just occurred to me. If you could comment a little bit, as you said, the agency works pretty much in that middle part of production. Not only, but from a -- okay.

Speak a little bit to the postharvest area, because not only obviously is that important for markets and so forth, but also when we think about health.

And we were talking about that earlier this morning. Nutrition obviously is

an important player, but other things such as food safety and things of that nature.

MR. BERTRAM: Right. Thank you,
Elsa. The slide there was really clearly just
about the sustainable intensification
programs. And even there, there's some real
challenges.

And what I would like to propose is, the next time you meet that we get Dr.

Glover, maybe some of the partners, to talk about where they are coming out on the research design.

You will remember, Brady and Elsa, that we were going to have this intense period of designing a longer term program even while we got these quick start activities up.

So there is going to be -- because going from the household level to the landscape level, for example, if you want to deal with natural resource issues is no simple thing, as Dr. Ejeta knows.

So we would like to come back to

you on that. On a couple of the points, water and also post-harvest, we have been thinking hard about what's the real R&D entry point there?

2.0

There's a lot of technology that we know can help. And some of our programs are dealing with technology applications. The hort CRSP is a good example to really see how our technology can be adapted and utilized and then hopefully transformative in a small holder production system.

So what I would like to do, I am going to pass the mic to Saharah Moon for a minute because she has been -- is that okay? She has been thinking about this issue of post-harvest and research in some of the areas.

And I want to just ask her -- well, I'll give her one second to think about that.

Oh, okay. You're right, you're right. John is our lead on that.

But the other thing I wanted to flag I left out. The reason I mentioned the budget, the fact that we have this positive scenario, I wanted -- I don't want to say that we are talking about cutting one thing in order to do something else necessarily.

I mean, there may be reasons to do some that but we have the scope here of actually, I think, thinking a bit more boldly.

And another one that I forgot to mention -- I'm always challenged if I have to operate the PowerPoint and look at my notes -- is there is another crop that we don't talk about much and yet it's incredibly important; and that's soy.

And it has been sort of a third rail for us in terms of development, and yet we know that the U.S. soy community could benefit from international research collaborations.

And it's so important we are prioritizing grain legumes. It's a small

holder crop. It is being used -- it's being used as human food in a lot of countries.

So I recently had the opportunity at the World Initiative on Soy and Human

Health to talk to the audience about that.

And I think people are a lot more open to thinking about this.

But again, that is another case in point where maybe it's time for a re-think, a re-look. And let me pass it now to John or Saharah Moon.

DR. BOWMAN: Well, I have only been on board for about a year but I have kind of been asked to look to caretake two areas that are extremely important to ask that the food security, which are post-harvest losses and then the general area of food safety.

Because for whatever reason, you know, for whatever, you know, we don't have any high profile signature kind of centrally funded project out of our research portfolio right now in these areas.

But there's not to say we are not doing them throughout Feed the Future. We are addressing these problems fairly aggressively through the mission-led projects.

Post-harvest is seen as one of the key components you have to address in the value chain, whether it is the value of either grain or horticulture or fish or legume, whatever.

And so, the difficulty is finding, making linkages between, you know, centralized research programs that are trying to find things especially that are researchable.

And where our research community, the academic community and the CG systems, for example, have particular leverage on the situation that can look at longer term, post-harvest food safety issues that could essentially complement the mission-led value chain project approach to post-harvest which is, you know, you've got extreme losses.

You have to have -- you've got

storage, infrastructure problems. You've got transport problems. You've got, you know, loss getting -- so much loss in just getting the crops to market.

And we are losing a lot of our, you know, our front-end investment on productivity. So everybody wants us to work on this. There's been -- there's been and there will continue to be conferences and symposia on this.

And there will be a constant kind of demand on AID to do more. I mean, I can see that coming. So we are working on it and we are -- we've got some funding set aside.

So I think, you know, to think about, you know, how we can catalyze, you know, some new AID research interests in this area. But, you know, one of them -- one of the most visible ways that we are working in kind of -- in a microcosm in this area is the area of, you know, our work on aflatoxins and mycotoxins, which again we don't have, you

know, one particularly centralized activity.

But many of the CRSPs are working on aflatoxin, in particular the peanut CRSP doing, you know, great, you know, academic work as well as practical work.

We have -- we are building a relationship with USDA and we'll be working with them. They have already -- they have got a scope of work in front of us which will be a combination of work in aflatoxin in terms of their breeding and biocontrol.

Those are the two main areas. And our, for example, our sister office, the Office of Markets, Partnership and Innovation, they have got several GBAs going now with Gates and Meridian to look at appropriate storage structures.

And they have already got

efficient -- there's many efficient storage

technologies, some of which have been devised

CYMMT, for example. CYMMT has been funded to

look at galvanized steel, farm level storage,

1 this kind of thing.

2.0

But the Gates project will look at other types of storage like plastic bin containers at farm level. And we will be getting some results out of those aspects.

But these are -- yeah, so you can kind of target me in terms of, you know, what's going on in this area in the future.

We are trying, we're thinking.

These are areas that are spread over health, you know, as well as agriculture.

And we are planning a handle on them. That gives you some insight.

MS. CHAPOTIN: Thanks for that.

If I could just add a couple sentences,
thinking about this as John said, we don't
have a huge signature program on this issue at
the level of the research team here in
Washington.

But much as Rob said on water, I think we are going to be doing some thinking over the summer.

And my challenge to John and others on the team has been to -- are there some really kind of out-of-the-box thinking or research activities that we can do as a research team that, given the wide range of investments in areas like post-harvest and mycotoxins that are happening across the agency and other donors, what are some of the things that none of them can do that we can do?

And, you know, he mentioned breeding for aflatoxin as one thing. But that has been going on a long time and with mixed efforts or mixed results.

But perhaps there are new tools out there. And I can say pretty confidently that no one else in the agency is probably going to support a topic like that. But perhaps it is one that needs more thought.

So, looking for other -- welcome BIFAD's input on this but we are looking for areas where we can -- where we have a unique,

1 I guess, advantage in the types of resources 2 we have to invest in some research that really could have -- have wide-ranging impacts even 3 though it may be a little bit more long-term. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Let's turn to -- do any other members of the board have 6 7 comments? I didn't see anyone raising their hand. 8 9 So I do want to open up here for 10 any other public comments. Many of you in the audience, we do have a few moments, and would 11 12 be open to any comments you would like to make. Okay. 13 14 DR. ARES: Rob, can you please 15 comment more about the concept of new hubs? MS. CHAPOTIN: You mean hubs? 16 17 DR. ARES: Yeah, hubs, H-U-B. 18 how are they funded? 19 MS. CHAPOTIN: You mean when he 20 mentioned the CSISA program in South Asia? 21 The new hubs?

Yes.

I think Rob

DR. ARES:

22

1 mentioned several.

MS. CHAPOTIN: In Bangladesh and -

3 | -

DR. ARES: In Bangladesh and

5 Africa.

MS. CHAPOTIN: Yes. So this is -the CSISA program, as he explained, is a
regional program across four countries that
was initially funded by USAID Washington and
the Gates Foundation.

And our investments were primarily out of our research project. However, the program, due to the success it has demonstrated on the ground and the relevance for the USAID missions, two of missions so far, and likely to be a third.

So Bangladesh and India are ready and Nepal likely coming on board, have chosen to scale up that project by funding the establishment of new hubs, in addition to the five hubs that we established at the beginning of the project. Five, I think.

So as the Bangladesh mission provided additional funds to CSISA to establish hubs in Bangladesh, similarly there is going to be a new hub coming on, on board in Orissa in India.

And those hubs are -- they vary from hub to hub. They do happen to have a physical office but that is not really what the plans -- they are areas where CSISA is working with a range of partners on the ground from state and national government partners to private and local partners, universities.

And much as has happened with the Africa Rising project in Africa, I am trying to now start to build connections between the CSISA efforts and the other university -- U.S. university CRSP efforts on the ground to build those linkages.

For example, I know that there is a CRSP project in Orissa under the SANREM

CRSP. As the CSISA hub in Orissa gets going, they need to link up and see where there are

1 opportunities for collaboration.

MR. BERTRAM: If I could add one point, coming back on the nutrition side, in Nepal the nutrition CRSP is working, led by Tufts University.

And there is also CSISA working there. And I think as a result of Saharah Moon's recent trip there and work with our other staff, those two programs are coming together.

And it's an example of the kind of coming together that I think maybe we need more, you know, sort of a formal think-through in terms of the convening and such that I mentioned that Catherine and I were talking about last night at dinner.

DR. EJETA: I'll go back to the post-harvest research issues that have been discussed. In view of the fact that the CG system generally have gone away from food processing post-harvest technology research, what kind of vision do you have in terms of

leveraging for greater impact in terms of very small investments in post-harvest research, you know, whether it is with the U.S.

university community or the private sector?

to -- ?

What path may you have in terms of getting more for the bank, whether in fact you may even capitalize the re-engagement of the CG system into this very, very important agenda, particularly at this particular time?

John or Robert or anyone who wants

MR. BERTRAM: Well, the CRSPs actually, some of them have some real strengths here. And it does strike me that the value chain approach that some of our missions are taking, many of our focus country missions are taking, offers an opportunity to plug in our food technology work into those value chains.

And some of the things we have been talking about is, well, how could we facilitate that kind of connection? That was

what I meant when I was referring to some of the handoff where you go out beyond the research into a delivery and to the uptake in the private sector.

In terms of approaching it in a way that would be useful for others, I guess the key is really through value addition and somehow, having that value addition, Gebisa, feed back to the ability of small holders to access the market and somehow do better because of it.

And I think, frankly, in the missions there is probably a lot of thinking going on in this way around the value chains.

I should flag that on the nutrition and agriculture side, I think Paul referred to this this morning, there is a lot going on around value chains where it is not as clear as in research and R&D how to make those connections.

But it's as Saharah Moon suggests, maybe it needs some more thinking. And your

point is a good one that it is an area that a lot of places have pulled back on, and so we do have some opportunities.

John, do you want to add?

DR. BOWMAN: I'll just add a little bit. A reminder also that when we are thinking about post-harvest losses it is not just grains and horticulture.

We have also got to think about losses in dairy and livestock and in fish.

And I think, I mean, there's a lot of, you know, research we could be doing essentially, you know, in terms of the physiological aspects of this.

When you look at the work, the center of expertise at U.C. Davis on post-harvest technologies and horticulture, for example, it's just one example of research that can essentially, you know, postpone the degradation of products to, you know, fairly sophisticated, you know, controlled atmosphere adding certain types, you know, mainly

1 ethylene, this type of thing.

A lot of this is being pursued aggressively in the U.S. but small holder context in Africa, Asia, it's not getting out there as much.

We are entering into a -- we have made a small pledge. A World Bank Food Safety Trust Fund has just been set up similar to the Global Food Safety Fund. This is a new World Bank-led trust fund on -- I'm sorry, it's the global one on food security at World Bank, which is quite large.

But we now have a smaller one dedicated to food safety. AID is contributing to that, as is the private sector. And it's another way we can start to -- start the work on these issues.

But part of the problem is, part of the thinking is that, you know, look at the U.S. or Canada or Europe. Despite all of our sophistication, you know, we have these huge problems in food safety ourselves and a huge

amount of food post-harvest losses and food wastage.

And despite all of our efforts,
you know, we have these problems. You know,
what can we do on small budget levels in large
African countries, for example? It's
daunting, you know, how we can make an impact.

So we have got a lot -- a lot to think about and often we think of the private sector as the solution, and not us. So we have to work together with private sector and together with the value chain privates to find our niche.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: And with that

DR. BOWMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: -- thank you very much, John. And with that, it has been a very rich discussion and we want to break now for lunch. And at 1:45 we will have a presentation by Ticora Jones on update on the Higher Education Solutions Network.

1	Page 232 And I know everyone is looking
2	forward to that, so we will start sharply at
3	1:45 back here. Thank you all very much.
4	(Whereupon, the meeting was in
5	lunch recess from 12:35 p.m. to 1:58 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A-F-T-E-R-N-0-0-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N

2 (1:57 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Thank you for being here. And after this presentation and board discussion with our next presenter,

Ticora Jones, we will then have time for open discussion with you on subjects that we have covered today and any issues you want to bring up.

So again, thanks for being here.

Our speaker at this next session for an update on the Higher Education Solutions Network is Ticora Jones, who earned her Ph.D. in polymer science and engineering from the University of Massachusetts at Amherst and received her B.S. in materials science and engineering from MIT in 2000.

She is an NIH Fellowship recipient, a Ford Foundation pre-doctoral fellow during her graduate career, and a Materials Science Society's Congressional Fellowship.

She served as a AAAS science and technology policy fellow at USAID, where she helped establish the new Office of Science and Technology.

She now serves as a senior advisor in the Science and Technology Office and is leading the latest agency university engagement effort: Higher Education Solutions Network. And, Dr. Jones, we are very happy to have you with us.

DR. JONES: All right. Well, good afternoon everybody. I know it is after lunch so we will keep it short and to the point.

And I would really like to get to the point where we could actually have some dialogue on what I am going to talk about.

But thank you for that introduction, and I am happy to be here. So, just a show of hands. How many of you all have heard about the Higher Education Solutions Network?

I am not going to ask how many of

you applied because then I can't talk to you afterwards because of our procurement rules, so I don't want to know.

But it has been an exciting last nine or so months at USAID in our office as we have been putting forward the Higher Education Solutions Network.

And so today, I am just going to give you a kind of brief overview of what has been going on in terms of the objective, the status of this particular effort, and the timeline as it relates to what has happened.

As you would imagine, there has been a great deal of enthusiasm for this particular effort on many campuses around the world, and we are really excited about that.

But with that excitement comes

lack of sleep. I'll put it that way. So, you

know, one of the challenges is that in terms

of the academic landscape, it continues to

evolve and we know that there is just so much

enthusiasm

for development and for people applying their knowledge to problems where they really feel like they can make a difference.

And this is happening by and large on many campuses. And one of those examples that Alex always uses is that when he went to Duke University to give a talk, they talked about how the global health program had gone from, you know, maybe 30 or so students.

They were getting 400 students and completely oversubscribed classes. And this is happening on many different campuses.

As a student at MIT, there wasn't anything in particular related to international development that Amy Smith -- three years after I graduated I always tell her that I am a little upset that this happened after I graduated -- started the D Lab

And they have grown from what was, you know, about 12 students in one class to 400 students, 12 classes, completely

oversubscribed. There is just so much enthusiasm from students and from faculty to apply themselves to development challenges that we, as an agency, really wanted to find a way to harness this.

The administrator's interest in leveraging the activity on campuses really is rooted in that enthusiasm. And the way that USAID currently works with universities, it is difficult to actually be able to capture that.

And so, one of the objectives of this particular effort is really to be able to create new relationships that allow us to leverage the resources, intellectual power and energy of universities around the world and other institutions to address global development problems in a very multidisciplinary fashion.

One of other objectives, as I am sure most of you if you were interested in it and you may have applied for it, you probably read the RFA already, is to really get at

multidisciplinary approach to data and analytics for development.

The relationship that you all have to your CRSPs and the relationships that other people have through a variety of other entities are very focused sectorally.

But we wanted to think about ways that we could use this particular network to create relationships that span the sectors of development because it is a systems -- a systems discipline.

One of the other things was to think about how we approach solutions in development. We know that cook stoves are something that have their controversy, but cook stoves in many different contexts require a whole lot of different data around them and an understanding of the context of that situation before you could make recommendations.

But we don't have people thinking about development problems in that particular

way. And so we wanted to encourage that kind of thinking as well.

In addition, as I said before, really engaging students in creating new approaches for development, it is not just the students. It is also the faculty and NGOs and private sector and all these other different actors in this space to try to find creative ways to do this.

And one of the challenges was that, you know, the RFA as it was written was very open. We didn't require any regional focus. We didn't require any sector focus.

We did point people to the strategic framework that USAID put out so that people would actually be in development. But we did not specify because we didn't want to limit the creativity of the applicants because we knew that there were things out there that we didn't know about.

And so essentially opening it up to see what we got is kind of where we are.

And we did get a lot. I'll talk to you about the logistics of what we got on the back end shortly.

Now, how does this issue from our existing relationships with the university community? USAID's relationships with the university community are very diverse, but they are also very diffuse.

One would think that they are only focused in the sector areas, but there is more than that. You know, there is the human and institutional capacity development that we do. And some of that could be the scholarship work that is done.

But some of that is also the work that is done through the CG centers for agricultural research. There is also a very specific relationship that we have with countries and the relationships that they have with U.S. universities. And so there's kind of scholarship programs.

Then sectorally there are also

some very specific programs that go on as well. And each of these has their value. We know that the peanut CRSP and the horticulture CRSP and all these other different activities are very important to agriculture and development.

And they have their place and should remain. But then, how do you connect those things? And so that is one of the questions that I would actually like to get some feedback from this audience on, how this new network will work with our existing relationships.

Because on the back end, you know, we may only award five to seven of these, but we have a number of applicants. We have a number of existing relationships, and we want to find ways to actually leverage all the knowledge that is generated by all these other actors and actually start to put it together.

So the way that I think about that is, you know, very simplistically is in three

different spaces. There is the information piece, because if we think about people who are very interested in development but don't necessarily know what to do about it or people who have connections to agriculture but not as much of a connection to health, or a connection to environment but not as much with agriculture.

How do you create information so that it is disseminated both through this network and throughout the rest of the university partners that we have, throughout other academic partners that we have?

How do you find ways to connect those two people? One of the interesting things that happened at the development exchange for the Saving Lives at Birth Grand Challenge was, you had 77 nominees that came together in July of last year.

And as they were all there, there were a number of them that were actually from academic institutions. But as they were all

there, there were some people who were looking at each other from across the aisle because they had setups where it was kind of like a science fair.

And they were like, "Oh, wait, that's what you work on? Oh, I work on the same thing." So just the conversations that need to happen.

And USAID, as a convening force to bring some of those people together to actually connect them in ways that they hadn't necessarily thought about because they didn't always know what else, what other people were doing.

I think that one of our responsibilities to this network is to help promote those kinds of connections. The other piece is action.

Because there are so many people acting in development through their NGOs, through other partners, through the private sector, through all these different spaces,

how can we use the network to help inform them so that the actions that they take are the ones that actually do promote development most effectively?

So those are those three spaces.

There's lots of things under that. And one of the other things that is happening, supposedly from May 5th to 6th is that the Geospatial

Center, in partnership with the Development

Credit Authority, is actually opening up a crowd sourcing activity.

And this is one of the things that they would actually like to involve students in. So they register in data.gov and basically scrub data sets to help understand what the lending power is for the Development Credit Authority is for USAID.

And so this is a pilot that one could imagine in terms of information and action using the network to find other data sets that are relevant to development and other things and essentially involve people in

very short-term things that inform them but also allow them to add, even if it is not necessarily connecting them.

So that is just another thing to think about In terms of the application process, it has been two stages. We asked for five-page concept notes that were due March 22nd. We got over 450.

So as I said, back to sleep. And we are currently in the process of winnowing those down. We have about 80 reviewers from inside and outside the agency that are in the process of helping make the decision on whether to invite or not invite.

Because essentially, the next stage of the process will be to invite a select number of concept notes to the final, to the full application process.

At this point, we haven't determined what that number will be. It could be around 40-ish. We'll see. But that kind of depends on what we come out with on the

1 back end.

In terms of what will be awarded, we are looking at potentially five to seven awards. But the composition of those awards we haven't made that determination.

Some of them are \$1-2 million.

The single institution awards are \$1-2 million for 5 years and the consortium awards are \$4-5 million for 5 years. And in terms of the application pool, we are putting together a press release on this but we haven't released it yet.

But in terms of the pool, there is a good mix of those two separate award levels. So timeline-wise, we will essentially requesting full applications within the next couple of weeks and the full applications will be due July 17th.

In theory, awards will be made in September. So you can see that this is going to be a rapid process. But we were very heartened by the enthusiasm that we received

as it related to just the webinar that we did on the draft that came out.

So we did release the draft in January, January 13th. And basically, we are doing it as a webinar, did a webinar to essentially kind of answer people's questions and, you know, just be able to socialize it.

We released it on Thursday. By
Monday we had 500 registrants for the webinar
and I realized that I didn't know if the
agency capabilities could hold any more than
that. So we set up another one and capped it
at 750 for the first and then 750 people for
the second.

So like I said, the enthusiasm is there in terms of the response that we got.

We also did the analysis on the pool that we had and we had about 49 or 50 states represented in terms of the concept note pool, about 20 percent from outside the U.S., and I think a little more than 300 -- more than 350 institutions, separate institutions.

So as I said, we are very excited about what we have seen and I really would like to get your feedback on how you think this network could connect to USAID's existing relationships.

This is a conversation that we will continue to have because there's a lot of different audiences. And so, I welcome your questions, your comments, and thank you very much for your time.

(Applause).

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Ticora, thank you very much. And yes, we will first turn to our board here and see if there are any specific questions or follow-ups that they would like to, and then we will open it up to others who may want.

DR. JONES: Okay, thank you.

DR. MURANO: If I may, Mr.

Chairman. You talked about the 80 reviewers.

Can you speak a little bit about, you know,

what walks of life, if you will.

1 We've got a little bit DR. JONES: 2 of everything. 3 DR. MURANO: The composition. DR. JONES: The composition of the 4 5 reviewers is a little bit of everything. 6 We've got some youthful folks reviewing. 7 We've got some seasoned people reviewing. 8 We have some professors. We have 9 some people who are private sector. We have 10 a little bit of everything in terms of the review panel. And so we are really --11 12 DR. MURANO: Agency, too. DR. JONES: Agency inside and 13 14 outside. So, no, we've got a little bit of 15 everything. 16 DR. DeLAUDER: Again, how many 17 applications did you receive? DR. JONES: We don't have a final-18 19 final number, but over 450. 20 MS. BERTINI: Hi. Were a lot of 21 them, like, consortium -- consortia people ? 22 DR. JONES: Almost half and half,

but a few more individual versus consortia.

DR. EJETA: Anything you might do to encourage linkages with existing programs or new initiatives in the USAID by way of encouraging the finals with their preparations?

DR. JONES: State your question again?

DR. EJETA: In terms of the connections, the thing that you have been worrying about. Would you be more deliberate in your request for proposals in encouraging that any more in the levels?

DR. JONES: I'm not sure that we need to be that deliberate on this side of it. But as we think about the convening of the network in out years, you know, we don't want the convening of the network to only be the network partners.

We want it to be people who do sit in other relationships that we have. And so, ideally, the network as it is convened would

be, yes, the network partners and their associated kind of individuals within it.

We actually also want to -- we are really thinking about how we have the student track that is convened alongside the rest of the network. And so that is also of interest.

But then we also want to make sure we reach out to the existing partnerships that we have to bring them to the convening as well. And so how that is structured we are not sure, but it is definitely of interest to have it be something than broader than just the network winners.

DR. MURANO: One more question.

Regarding the funding I am assuming that a certain amount was carved out of the agency as a whole.

So if you receive, for example, applications that have to do specifically with agriculture, is that something that then is expected that the Bureau of Food Security would fund? Or how -- can you speak a little

DR. JONES: I can and I can't.

DR. MURANO: Okay.

DR. JONES: Just speaking that there is funding; what and where and how is all still kind of coming together.

DR. MURANO: Okay.

DR. JONES: Anybody else have any other questions?

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay, and you are open to questions from the public here --

Yes.

DR. JONES:

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: -- on this particular project? Because there is a lot of national interest on this. So if anyone wants to ask any questions on this specific topic at this time, feel free to ask. Larry?

MR. BEACH: Having not specified the topics and throwing it wide open, you have a privileged point of view to see what has come in from a large number of people, a not large number of institutions.

And you have a huge review task.

With over 450 applications, it is hard to put

out any feedback. But that will be an

extremely valuable thing to be able to do.

Do you have in plan to review the concept note, provide feedback for the concept notes or provide maybe a higher level of feedback that you invite, those 40 or so that you invite?

DR. JONES: Yes, we are working to make sure that the reviewers do provide at least some feedback that can help. That is one of the challenges with this, that because it is new and because we don't have solid examples that we got a lot of different things.

In terms of thematic areas, we asked people to self-select into thematic areas, but they feel their application addressed. And we included basically everything that USAID does.

And we got everything. On the low

end we got fewer that associated with

democracy, governance, gender, and those kinds

of areas. In the higher end there was more

global health and agriculture.

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But we do hope that we are able to provide at least minimal feedback to each of the concept notes that we received, yes.

Anybody else? Oh, come on. I didn't cover everything, did I? Oh, we've got one.

11 CHAIRPERSON DEATON: The question
12 was --

DR. JONES: You just want to see it again. Yes, these are the things we know.

After that, we'll see what happens.

DR. MURANO: I do have one. I was just curious. You talked about if I got this right that 20 percent of the consultators were outside the U.S.

DR. JONES: Uh-huh.

DR. MURANO: Can you speak about that? I mean, was it Europe? Was it -- just

1 | -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

DR. JONES: It was a little bit of everything. We -- I don't have all the numbers in my head right now exactly where.

But we did get a couple from Europe but we did get Sub-Saharan African, Middle East and Asia and Latin America. So we did get something from everywhere, yes.

MS. OWENS: Hello. How are you doing. Thanks for your presentation. I was wondering about the projects that will be funded. You said five to seven projects.

But is AID making a commitment for five years for each of these five to seven projects?

DR. JONES: Pending availability -

MS. OWENS: Pending availability
of funds.

DR. JONES: -- of appropriations.

MS. OWENS: And then do you plan

to do a similar competition each year?

DR. JONES: We haven't made that determination yet. But one of the things that we wanted to model this on is sort of the National Science Foundation Engineering Research Centers.

And so, you know, the solicitation that goes out from them goes out a couple years after we have to see what we get and see what is funded and see how that works.

But it is possible that if another solicitation were to go out, pending the availability of funds, that it might be tailored a little more differently because we will have had partners that have been established already.

MS. OWENS: And then also, how do you anticipate -- do you think these institutions are going to feed into a Higher Education Solutions Network that will be established and that will, you know, engender an ongoing dialogue among the institutions and

22 | -

DR. JONES: Yes.

MS. OWENS: -- among more

3 institutions? Is that --

DR. JONES: Yes.

MS. OWENS: -- what your concept

6 is?

4

5

9

22

7 DR. JONES: That is definitely our

8 intention --

MS. OWENS: Okay.

10 DR. JONES: -- that each of these

11 -- each of these winners, as it were, or

12 apparently successful applicants when we get

to that stage would not just be an entity unto

14 themselves.

They would be a member of this

network and they would be asked to share,

disseminate, convene, do other things that are

associated with the network, yes.

MR. BUTLER: The math on the back

of the envelope tells me you probably got \$2

21 billion worth of ideas.

DR. JONES: You need to add it up

we want to definitely have a dialogue on

22

because, you know, we expect that whoever will be invited, you know, we know that only maybe 10 to 15 percent of those would funded, possibly.

We are not sure exactly. But that is another question that is open because in one sense you have a whole bunch of people that have put together concept notes that are potentially able to shop them around to other organizations.

But we also want to connect with other organizations who do fund these type of things. So we are in dialogue about a lot of things right now.

So that's another -- that's another open question for us because we definitely want the network to be able to reach out to the people who didn't win but find ways to involve them in ongoing convenings and activities.

Nobody?

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Well, this is

a topic, of course, that higher education community obviously, advisedly understood them and they demonstrated that, I think.

And so I appreciate Malcolm's question because I think for all of us who have a faculty investing time in these things we hope that we will one way or the other find time -- find a way to continue to stimulate creative thinking and then take advantage and build it into future forums that occur, dialogue that occurs, or even potential linkages.

So in the ideal world it seems to me, and I know we can't operate that way, but all these ideas and connections would be on a map and then you award seven.

But those seven would know exactly where to go to get three other key partners in doing what they are doing, or to simulate what they are doing, or to create the next scientific forum.

Or if you are looking at a

scientific breakthrough, they will know where to draw. And so it is that lost opportunity that, let me say, we are not looking to use specifically.

But I think all of us share that responsibility to think about, gosh, how can we take advantage of all this now and move in a new direction. I know on campus when we do these things we try to find a way then to enable all to do something later on.

By formulating it, by -- sometimes they don't win out in competition but then we have someone else pick up something that looks very valuable. It didn't fit exactly what we wanted, but we actually shopped it ourselves internally.

Or I have turned to our research office on occasion. I have said, "Look, this was not funded. It was weak in these areas for what we wanted. But this is an idea that could be taken to NIH and get funding."

So those kinds of things can

happen a lot of if we can find a way to creatively form a team, you know, that looks at them and is engaged in the continued development of them.

DR. JONES: Definitely, just because there are so many that people put together so much --

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Right.

DR. JONES: -- to get to this

point. And they are finding a way to even,

for the people who aren't invited for the next

round, finding a way to keep them involved,

keep them engaged, keep them reaching out to

their students, keep them reaching out to

their fellow faculty members.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Right.

DR. JONES: And we are definitely interested in doing that as well.

Okay, anybody else?

DR. WILLIAMS: It seems to me that one of the great sources of data for analysis that exists is in actual fact all of the

activity that USAID has done historically.

I think some of the challenge to that is actually accessing that data. And do you envisage that whoever does get awarded would have access to the historic data that USAID has acquired in various ways through its partners and that kind of stuff?

DR. JONES: I think that is a question that we should definitely consider, especially given the example that I gave of what is going forward with the Development Credit Authority.

And so they are scrubbing a bunch of the historical data and current data as well but had to go through a number of clearance processes to get to the point where they can do that.

But if that is something that not just the network has access to, but a whole lot of people have access to, it is least works to our transparency objectives. I think that that is something that would definitely

promote the kinds of thought and analysis that we are talking about.

DR. WILLIAMS: Okay. And for the -- and this relates to perhaps broader issues than this. But for the proposals that don't, you know, come through that might be perfectly worthy but -- you know?

Is there some mechanism that could be promoted through the whole of government approach that those things could actually be promoted into NIH and NSA or what have you?

DR. JONES: That's actually something I don't know the answer to. Does anybody else from USAID know the answer to that?

Because for me procurement lines would have to talk with our procurement officers to see if that was possible. But I don't know the answer to that. Anybody else? But definitely something for us to think about.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay, Ticora.

Page 265 Thanks so much. Appreciate it. 1 2 (Applause). This has been 3 CHAIRPERSON DEATON: 4 a series of great discussions and I want to 5 take just a few minutes to allow our own board to have any discussion about any points of the 6 7 day that they want to bring up. 8 And then we will take a few 9 minutes on that and then turn to you for 10 additional comments on any other issues 11 related to BIFAD or the program of the day. 12 So in that order we will proceed 13 for the next few minutes. So, Catherine? 14 MS. BERTINI: We certainly have a consensus on this idea about working on 15 16 nutrition, health, agriculture. So maybe we should just decide what our next step forward 17 18 should be, either among ourselves or with 19 USAID. 20 CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Sure. Okay.

human health-nutrition-agriculture interface

Thoughts on next steps? On the

21

22

and how we are establishing it as a priority 1 2 for continued emphasis and discussion? Any thoughts that any members of the board have on 3 what -- maybe what is -- what is an 4 5 appropriate next step for that? And one could think, I guess, in 6 7 terms of should we have a symposium, should we 8 have a work group to address something very 9 specifically? Or are there other issues or are there other events going on? 10 And, Catherine, you may have 11 12 something specific in mind also. But I 13 appreciate your bringing it up. 14 MS. BERTINI: No, I don't, but 15 perhaps Rob does, too. I don't. 16 CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Let me just 17 check. Gebisa, were you wanting to comment on 18 this right now?

> DR. EJETA: Any time you find it's appropriate I can make some comments.

19

20

21

22

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Why don't you go ahead, and then we will turn to Rob.

1 DR. EJETA: Just following on what 2 I had seen in the past, recognizing that finding a way to get some of these things 3 done, particularly at the research level not 4 5 being easy, requiring a lot of contemplative 6 thoughts, probably what I would think would be 7 forming a small committee to put together a 8 program for a workshop of some kind and then 9 selecting carefully the kind of people you 10 would call upon to develop those thoughts further would be a good way to go. 11 12 And then naturally we cover the

And then naturally we cover the mix of skill sets that are going to be required, engaging people in health and nutrition and agriculture and I don't know what.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Maybe talk to the agencies where the environmental change ought to be as part of that.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Right.

DR. EJETA: And then getting a good mix of people would be -- would be

important. And it gets to be very enriching when that happens.

Not necessarily leading to specific things that need to be done at that time but really coalescing these thoughts into something informative that one can take and develop it further.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Right, okay.

Rob?

MR. BERTRAM: Thank you. Thank you, Brady.

Well, I am glad also that

Catherine raised the topic. It is something
- there's a couple things that come to mind.

One is the point we made earlier about

reaching out to the health side of the agency.

And I think BIFAD's sponsorship and oversight of a process would enable that happening. And I expect the administrator would welcome that.

The second thing that Saharah Moon has just reminded me of is that we were

planning to sponsor a workshop at next year's

AAAS meetings in Boston where of course Tufts,

one of our main partners is, on this topic.

And not that that would replace that, but it might be a goal at which we could maybe jointly sponsor it with BIFAD if that is something that would be of interest to the board.

But then think of it in terms of some convening or workshop, as Dr. Ejeta said before then, but then with the idea of trying to, you know, go global in a sense with the larger community.

And we think this one of those topics that does really resonate outside of the Justar (phonetic) community to a much wider set. So that is --

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Has the AAAS program been set at this point in terms of resources or people?

MS. CHAPOTIN: The deadline is approaching for submitting proposals for

sessions. Perhaps we should say we are hoping that we will be able to have a symposium at next year's AAAS meeting, assuming they accept our proposal.

So we haven't yet thought through who would be on. We are going to have to submit a list of names and proposed topics and we have not done that yet. But the deadline is the 26th of April.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay.

MR. BERTRAM: So, I mean, we could follow up just in the next week or two through some e-mail contact in terms of your ideas on it. And if it sounds like something, then we could even then perhaps have it formally be BIFAD's sponsorship. Sounds interesting.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: So you are suggesting the possibility of us sending ideas in to Susan and --

MR. BERTRAM: Right. Well, why wouldn't -- we could do that, but we could also start with what we have, send you that.

And then I know -- I know you're going to have ideas. We have some. And then come together.

3 But either way is fine.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: We've got -do we have anything written?

MR. BERTRAM: We just have some brainstorming, basically. But we know this deadline is fast approaching. This has been very much on our mind, of course, this week. So we're --

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: So we could iterate -- we could iterate by e-mail.

MR. BERTRAM: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: And then get to the point where we would have maybe a board representative meeting with you or, say, or Helen.

MR. BERTRAM: Right. And then I think the other thing would be, I mean, in parallel we would want to think about the process between now and then in terms of some convening and that sort of thing.

Right.

Yes.

DR. DeLAUDER:

to the AAAS meeting.

21

22

	Page 273
1	MR. BERTRAM: Exactly. They are
2	not that far apart. And then we can also
3	but we could plan something good for Des
4	Moines. I assume we will be in Des Moines.
5	DR. DeLAUDER: Right.
6	MR. BERTRAM: And then we can
7	think about maybe something in the preparatory
8	activity of some kind this summer.
9	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay. Is
10	that specific enough at this point, do you
11	think? Any other thoughts on that?
12	Thank you, Rob.
13	MR. BERTRAM: The ball is in our
14	court, at least for a few days.
15	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Okay. And
16	then we will reiterate and we will discuss it
17	further also today. Catherine?
18	MS. BERTINI: If you are open for
19	another topic, another thing we talked about
20	was BIFAD Hill visits. And is there anything
21	that we wanted? I don't have a proposal.
22	I just wanted to put it back on

the table for what we want to do or building some sort of strategy for how we -- what the messages would be and then maybe taking advantage of members' times when they are already in D.C. to make it --

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Right, right.

I like that and Administrator Shah has been

very positive on that idea, as I think we said
earlier.

And we can gain from the secretariat sort of key legislative strategies that are there. And then I like the idea of certainly when we are visiting we can be in touch with Susan and then add on individuals and then coordinate so that we aren't over -- accidentally overpowering.

I don't think that will happen. I think most of the issue is trying to free up the time to be able to do this.

But just for the couple of visits, one can sometimes have some impact and I think it is important to those members of our

delegation -- those of us who visit a

delegation for a certain purpose, like in my

case Missouri, or food or science-related

issues to press these issues and bring up

something.

They aren't expecting it, but to know that your university or your program area is vitally concerned about food security and in the role that USAID plays, the role that our university plays vis a vis that, and your particular on BIFAD certainly has some very important impact, I think.

And it helps educate for the longer run. And we often forget that while we are educating the elected representatives we also are very much educating the staff people.

And they may be there longer than some of the elected representatives, so they have long-term impact, as you know. I like that idea.

So then they do something that -- Susan, would it make sense for you to prepare

just a brief statement about what the key priorities are, points to emphasize?

Just as a skeleton so we certainly don't undertake any -- we don't do any damage but we are able to add that to whatever our message might be otherwise or maybe be cognizant of it. And maybe some of the key committees are that we are interfacing with also.

MS. OWENS: We can give you some data, too.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Excellent, yes.

MS. BERTINI: Well, I would think the talking points are one thing. But the other thing is, I think if any of us said, okay, we are going to be in town on such and such a day, then I think it has still got to go back to AID to your multistoried office to say, well, we suggest that you might see so-and-so and so-and-so, unless we are already going to see somebody on our own because --

MS. OWENS: We have that 1 2 communication open though. Yes. 3 MR. McVEY: Just a point, Susan. 4 I'll take you up on that. I'll be back in 5 Washington on Monday. 6 MS. OWENS: Okay. 7 MR. McVEY: And my other board 8 that I serve on will have meetings with about 9 five members differently, and also at the 10 White House. So if you have got some extra time this weekend, maybe you can send me 11 12 something on that. I will be glad to carry the torch next week. 13 14 MS. OWENS: Yes. 15 MR. McVEY: If it's possible and 16 on short notice. 17 MS. OWENS: Well, we'll dig it up. 18 CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Bill? 19 DR. DeLAUDER: I have been 20 somewhat encouraged by the discussion of HICD. 21 We are not doing nearly what we need to do, 22 but at least we are moving in the right -- in

1 the right direction.

But I wanted -- and this is probably a question for Rob. When we met with the mission directors -- and I have forgotten when that was. Was it in the fall or --

MR. BERTRAM: In November.

DR. DeLAUDER: It was in November?

We met with the mission directors. That was one of the issues that came up about the importance of long-term training and every one of them recognized that this was important.

But when you asked them why
weren't they more engaged, they pointed out
that they are being expected to show results
for the work that they do and it is too risky
for them to invest money in something that has
a long-term outcome expected, as opposed to
something short-term.

Has there been a change in the policy as it relates to the mission to make them more comfortable with addressing some of things that they think were important but

don't give short-term results?

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Yes, Rob?

MR. BERTRAM: Has there been a change? Well, I don't know that a significant change other than I think because the dialogue is active again that people are starting to recognize how strategic an investment this is for the United States.

And we didn't see the history. We couldn't see the graph this morning from

Julie. But it really does go down. And that decline was exactly parallel to the decline in our bilateral mission funding.

So as I said in my comments, one of the changes in our landscape is that that funding is back. And so I think a couple of things.

One, it is up to us to advocate for this and there are several missions.

Brady has the first-hand experience actually because of his visit to Sokoine, where the Tanzania mission is really investing in a

1 substantial way.

And I am going to be very frank
here. One of the things that worries me a
little bit in USAID Forward is, you know, the
idea there is to shift resources to the local
partners. Very, very, noble idea.

We ought to all ought to be working to that in everything we do to empower them and to build their capacities. That doesn't mean that we don't need the long-term relationships with our U.S. universities.

The comments came out this morning and showed how valuable those are. And I do think there's a lot of innovations going on that can maybe pick up the pace at which the local partners strengthen their abilities to manage funds and to be accountable.

The things that often come up, as you know, Bill, when we are making a federal grant.

So there's -- that's an area where

I think we need more discussion about the

special role of higher education with respect to sustainability of the overall enterprise, the overall Feed the Future enterprise, not just in things like ag research and such.

Let me think if there's anything else I would add to that. The other point is two things that -- it is mainstream, you know, other investments.

But what you saw this morning in

Julie's presentation were some platform

approaches that we hope will be a springboard

for the missions to actually bring out some of

the best practices, get some good analysis and

then move to that next step of a longer term,

more substantial institutionally-oriented

investment.

So we are certainly trying to put in place the elements of that. And as BIFAD considers this whole area of human and institution capacity building, we will continue to welcome exchange and advice and thinking on that to help engender those kinds

1 -- the actual long-term investment.

At the end of the day we have to be working with the missions. That's where the resources are. That's -- you are not going to get your numbers of trainees and graduate students and faculty exchanges just from central investments.

I think we can open things up generally for any public comment. If you have comments on any subject that you feel you want to add your voice to the concerns of the day, we would appreciate hearing that. Yes?

DR. RANGAWMAY: On behalf of the IPM/CRSP, personally I want to thank also Marty McVey for taking time and visiting with the IPM/CRSP in Nepal, Bangladesh and India.

And going through the field trials and all, we really thank you for that. And also for attending the -- taking the committee meeting in Memphis. We greatly benefitted from your association with the IPM/CRSP.

	Page 283
1	MR. McVEY: Thank you very much,
2	Muni.
3	DR. RANGAWMAY: And also I want to
4	thank Chairman Brady Deaton for attending the
5	IPM technical committee meeting in Memphis and
6	participating in the meeting. And your input
7	was very valuable. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Thank you
9	very much.
10	DR. RANGAWMAY: And also I want to
11	thank Dr. John Bowman for attending the
12	technical committee meeting as well as the
13	South Asia planning meeting. Thank you, John.
14	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Thanks, Muni,
15	very much. It was inspiring for Marty and I
16	both on that.
17	MR. McVEY: Wonderful.
18	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Are there
19	other thoughts from the floor?
20	(No response).
21	CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Well, if not,
22	let me thank you. Any comments from anyone

else here at the head table, so to speak, board table?

MR. McVEY: I would just like to add a comment how much I appreciate the work that USAID staff is doing, and Susan and all the folks at APLU, and John and others.

We really do appreciate it. And we like to be updated and briefed, and I think that something that is very needed and it helps us in our volunteer work that we do.

So, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DEATON: Let me just say in closing that there were -- I noted this very top-of-the-head here -- five key things, ky initiatives that were -- I feel like we have accomplished during this meeting and moved them forward.

And one of those, of course, is just what we have been discussing with regard to the agriculture-nutrition-health focus points. We are going to be pushing hard there.

And with the support, with staff, Rob, and the Food Security Bureau we want to really try to make an impact there because it is something I know that some of us have had a long-term felt commitment and need to be engaged in.

Second, the Higher Education

Solutions report we heard and the potential

linkage of that with a lot of the other

things, particularly the human and

institution-building components and the

research components of CRSPs is something that

we will be looking to see how that evolves.

That is going to be something very important. The CRSP evaluation, we really appreciate Robert Jones and other members and other members of the team being here to participate with us.

And we are looking forward to that evaluation process and to the potential that it holds for really doing something innovative to move ahead and capture the best of what we

have done and make it even sharper than we have in the past.

Fourth, I would just like to commend Bill DeLauder again for bringing the awards program to action and implementation.

Bill, thank you, and we will be moving ahead immediately on that.

And then the thoughts on legislative affairs that we just talked about I think could potentially be a very important step for us to be taking as BIFAD.

Beyond that, we will continue to be concerned about -- and I may have missed something else that came up that I should have noted, let me say. And if so, we will pick that up later.

But I just saw the news in the headlines this morning about drought conditions affecting most of North America.

And you can't help but if you have worked with food aid issues, as I know some of us have.

And Catherine particularly, you

have to be concerned about what effect on the national and international dialogue food -potential food shortages may bring to U.S. and global food aid programs and that interface with development assistance and USAID work generally.

And frankly, as you are also aware, it changes the nature of science, even, the nature of what is explored in science. So these are just vital issues of today, coming at a particular time.

And the drought issue is one that, you know, it has been there in Africa and addressed in one sense. When it hits a major food-producing country like the United States it has different effects, of course.

So we will be looking to see what kind of impact that and other kind of global matters have on the way we do our business and the kind of dialogue that we generate. I want to thank all the members of the board for their cooperative work.

We send our best to Jo Luck and we 1 2 will miss her throughout the remainder of this 3 session and look forward to having her back with us next time around. 4 5 Thanks to all of you for being 6 here, and a particular thanks -- I join Marty 7 in thanking Susan and Malcolm, the work that you have done and the work that is so evident 8 9 from USAID in so many, many ways. 10 And seeing so many of you at the 11 inception workshop in Tanzania and then having 12 you here is particularly enriching. So thanks 13 so much for everything you have done. (Whereupon, at 2:46 p.m., the 14 15 meeting was concluded.) 16 17 18 19

2122

20

	55:12	335 .0 7.12 11.0	170.12 269.10	172.10 101.20 20
<u>A</u>		add 5:8 7:13 11:8 27:17 34:12 120:8	179:13 268:19 274:7	173:19 191:20,20
AAAS 234:1 269:2	account 86:9			194:1,11,12 195:5
269:18 270:3	160:13	138:6 147:5	administrator's	205:5 224:5
272:11,21	accountability	210:19 211:18	112:5 237:6	225:14,14 230:4
aberrations 95:5	118:16	221:15 226:2	admission 117:18	287:13
abilities 280:16	accountable 133:20	229:4,5 245:2	admonition 142:6	African 54:17 58:6
ability 56:12 228:9	280:17	257:22 272:13	adopt 159:15	60:9 72:6,11
able 10:7 12:22	accountants 107:6	274:14 276:5	184:20	106:15 109:9
18:12 28:3 36:9	accounting 59:1	281:6 282:11	adopters 171:16	114:1 123:17
117:4 126:13	achieve 50:12	284:4	adopting 197:9	184:13 231:6
129:1,11 130:21	achievement 53:22	added 13:9 151:12	adoption 143:13	255:6
131:13,15,22	58:15 133:7,21	adding 136:2	ADRIAN 2:2	africa-rising.wik
144:15 150:13	achieving 81:14	154:10 229:22	advance 101:2	192:9
153:20 163:10	acknowledgement	Addis 155:17 163:6	advancement	AFSI 199:7
165:16 170:21	109:21	addition 24:12	148:3	afternoon 6:19
171:2,5 172:3	acquired 79:6	162:22 224:20	advancing 173:21	30:1 234:12
179:10 208:18	263:6	228:7,8 239:3	advantage 118:2,2	ag 66:10 67:5 99:16
209:12 237:10,12	Act 34:19	additional 8:9	177:10 223:1	119:14 120:3
247:7 253:4 254:5	acting 243:20	144:1 172:2	258:17 260:9	211:2 281:4
259:9,17 270:2	action 13:22 18:10	179:13 212:11	261:7 274:4	agencies 27:14,15
274:19 276:5	23:10 39:9 41:2	225:2 265:10	advice 44:3 50:4,7	108:3 119:19,19
absent 141:12	45:8 93:12 243:18	address 8:22 30:6	98:14 281:21	131:1 152:13
absolutely 21:19	244:20 286:5	33:5 36:2,15	advisedly 260:2	207:22 267:17
31:2 86:8	actions 244:2	39:12 48:9 80:15	advisor 2:15	agency 1:1 8:20 9:3
absorb 45:6	active 15:18 46:19	85:18 115:6 117:5	100:18 234:5	9:8,15 10:8 14:19
ABSPII 176:6	109:2 139:18	146:20 154:2	advisory 119:14	15:1 30:22 33:12
academic 36:22	146:1 279:6	218:6 237:16	120:15 128:8	42:9,10,11 48:13
38:14 158:5	activities 9:4,16	266:8	advocacy 33:16	86:2 88:19 91:20
218:15 220:4	32:2 59:10 77:11	addressed 43:11	50:2	96:4 97:17 112:2
235:20 242:13,22	91:8 175:17 176:2	49:7 102:21	advocate 279:18	112:8 135:1
accelerate 134:2	214:16 222:4	181:18 253:20	affairs 1:19 286:9	159:20 164:1
accentuating 42:3	241:4 259:20	287:14	Afghanistan	165:7 168:22
accept 115:22	activity 8:9,14	addressing 33:9	204:14	194:20 213:15
270:3	158:18 160:9	38:11,12 218:3	aflatoxin 220:3,10	222:8,17 234:7
acceptance 42:8	162:15 206:4	278:21	222:12	237:4 245:12
access 122:5	220:1 237:7	adequately 27:20	aflatoxins 219:21	247:11 249:12,13
172:11 178:21	244:11 263:1	Administration's	afraid 185:19	251:16 268:16
228:10 263:5,19	273:8	53:15 54:1	Africa 51:6 54:16	agency's 48:17
263:20	actors 119:18	administrative	55:9,9,10,10 64:2	49:2 135:7
accessing 263:3	143:20 239:8	25:10 44:4	67:22 72:2,5,13	agenda 5:13,22
accidentally 274:16	241:20	administrator 2:21	72:16,18 74:19	23:9 34:5 45:19
accommodations	actual 147:2	8:19 10:20 11:10	75:1 76:16 77:8	45:22 80:3 100:9
155:17	209:15 262:22	25:18 28:4 33:15	79:13,14,20	161:6 183:15
accompany 170:18	282:1	44:18 48:12 61:3	102:21 107:22	184:5,19 199:16
accomplished	acute 46:21	76:12 100:19	109:7 113:19	227:9 272:5
284:16	adapt 159:14	103:21 112:18	114:6 115:12	agendas 161:1
accomplishment	adapted 215:9	142:6 173:2	128:4,14 152:5	agents 177:22
				<u> </u>

aggressive 20.9	161:20 165:10,13	265:5	134:19 247:6	84:1 88:14 260:4
aggressive 20:8 aggressively 218:3	165:17 166:8	allowed 56:19	264:13,14,19	265:1 266:13
230:3	168:9 175:18	alongside 251:5	anticipate 79:14	282:13 284:4,7
ago 12:3 16:8 32:16	177:7 190:4	Altogether 176:12	256:17	285:16
32:22 37:15 38:10	208:14 221:11	ambassador	anticipated 23:7	appreciation 42:9
38:11 85:22 89:15	228:16 241:5	143:22 165:2	anucipated 23.7 anybody 195:15	176:22
90:7 108:20	242:5,8 251:20	177:5	252:8 254:8	approach 38:8
204:22	254:4 265:16	ambitious 103:12	262:19 264:14,19	39:11 64:5 65:9
agree 31:2 40:10	267:15	America 55:11	· /	81:12 121:2
88:13 137:16		106:17 107:22	anymore 106:18 124:5	123:12 130:2
	agriculture-nutri 284:20	255:7 286:19		169:2 193:22
agreement 188:13			anyway 111:17 181:20	218:20 227:15
agrees 201:9 agribusiness	agriprocessors 119:20	American 51:8,8 71:11 86:15	AORs 188:13	238:1,13 264:10
105:19 120:16	ah 45:12	167:21	AOTRs 188:13	· ·
agricultural 1:4	ah 43.12 ahead 28:18 45:16	Amherst 233:15	apart 273:2	approached 211:19
2:6 4:6 8:6 14:17	48:6 182:11 204:3	amount 108:3	_	approaches 25:7 54:6 121:21 239:5
15:3,6 42:21	266:22 285:22	135:4 138:12	aplu 2:9 6:5 20:16 24:5 44:9 125:1,9	281:11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	286:6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
51:16 52:2,8,10	aid 51:4 73:11 75:3	153:11 202:19	125:14 126:2	approaching 228:5 269:22 271:8
54:17,22 55:16		203:8 231:1	127:1,5 137:4	
56:5 57:22 60:8 61:1 64:7 66:8	84:10,15,15 85:12 107:16 127:6	251:16	186:20 284:6	appropriate 14:4
		ample 5:14 45:18	APLU-led 96:10	28:19 44:2 63:11
70:14 87:15 88:18	163:15,20 170:16	Amy 236:15	apparently 257:12	220:16 266:5,20
99:13 100:19	188:5 201:16	ANAFE 114:2	appeared 213:6	272:7
103:13 105:11,17	202:5 204:21	analyses 63:18	applause 100:7	appropriations
106:1 108:8,9	207:8 219:12,17	195:1	134:11 170:7	255:20
109:17 110:6	230:14 255:13	analysis 118:11	181:4 185:5	approval 13:7
119:5 120:18	276:19 286:21	129:9 139:19	206:19 248:11	18:10
125:12 147:11	287:4	147:21 210:11	265:2	approve 14:15 18:8
151:21 156:17	AID's 40:15	247:17 262:21	applicants 239:18	19:11 20:16 22:5
157:22 159:6	aim 159:10	264:1 281:13	241:16 257:12	approved 20:15
174:2 183:6,7	aims 161:17	analysts 129:10	application 20:13	approves 184:7
184:14 194:19,22	aisle 202:4 243:2	140:1	179:19 245:5,18	approving 17:20
197:19 206:7	Alex 2:2 118:22	analytics 238:2	246:10 253:19	April 1:10 270:9
210:4,22 240:17	134:8,9 236:6	and-so 276:21	applications 17:7	area 5:1 31:22
agriculture 30:2,13	align 118:9 130:7	anecdotes 110:12	143:12 215:7	33:12 34:3 35:11
30:17,19 31:5,10	aligned 104:8	anew 111:2	246:16,17 249:17	39:5,19 42:6 54:1
32:6 33:8,18	143:6 155:3	animal 200:20	251:19 253:2	62:20 69:22 70:16
34:16,21 35:5	aligning 152:1	ANN 1:18	applied 24:18	70:20,21 71:16
36:18 41:12 52:3	alignment 24:16	announce 18:17	74:17 82:18	87:11 92:15 96:15
60:14 62:11 66:4	28:22 117:6	80:3,3	176:20 235:1	104:19,21 107:13
66:22 67:3 72:11	allocate 98:11	announced 19:15	237:21	108:21 110:15
81:8 87:10 103:9	allocated 55:22	123:2	applies 93:22	111:19 112:18,21
105:18 106:15	56:3,6 97:21	announcing 124:19	apply 62:6,13 82:1	124:1 134:2 136:8
109:13 110:20	allocation 53:5	annual 12:5	94:3 99:6 237:3	143:6 202:7 203:5
114:4 118:20	86:3	annually 15:20	applying 82:3 83:4	203:7 204:19
128:1 130:5 138:4	allow 45:17 62:7	16:16	83:6 236:1	205:11 206:11
145:11 157:11,21	237:13 245:2	answer 95:13	appreciate 42:2	213:18 217:17

210.10.20.21		4141	214-22 226-2 17	252.10
219:18,20,21	assessments 87:18	authorities 68:7	214:22 226:3,17	252:18
221:8 229:1 275:7	assets 172:11	Authority 76:15	228:9 229:2 232:3	bean 58:22 59:1
280:21 281:19	assist 20:20	244:10,17 263:12	240:2 241:14	bear 83:13 101:20
areas 11:1 29:10,18	assistance 13:18	authors 46:8	245:9 246:1	205:19
30:16 38:20,21	75:5,7,19 76:7	availability 116:21	257:19 273:22	bearing 190:10
40:18 41:17 43:1	78:14 89:6,8	116:21 255:16,18	276:19 277:4	beautiful 174:15,19
56:16 61:8 68:17	108:21 109:2	256:12	279:16 288:3	becoming 36:8
120:11,17 127:17	110:17 121:17	available 5:22	background 8:15	began 25:21 103:10
154:15 157:10	128:22 287:5	116:12 153:12	33:17 52:16	beginning 18:10
183:12,18 184:3	assistant 2:19	avenue 56:17	145:13 177:20	101:20,20 102:4
184:11 187:18,19	48:11	award 11:21 12:6	186:5	109:19 110:14
188:2 190:19	associated 23:22	12:17,21 13:4,8,9	backgrounds	111:22 113:7
199:13 200:12	94:19 127:9 251:2	15:16,17,20 16:7	158:14	184:8,15 209:20
203:22 212:11	254:1 257:18	16:18,18,21 17:8	backing 58:12	224:21
215:17 217:14,22	association 2:8	17:9 18:13,18	bacterial 174:21	begun 80:8 134:1
220:12 221:10	128:19 149:8	19:8 123:16	177:21 178:7	behalf 282:14
222:6,22 225:9	282:22	241:15 246:14	ball 135:12 137:22 273:13	believe 12:9 22:2 23:13 24:10 71:12
240:10 253:17,19	associations 129:2 149:4	258:14 260:16		
254:3 261:19	assume 20:21	awarded 246:2 263:4	Bangladesh 73:8,9	124:20 137:8 145:18 185:12
ARES 2:2 223:14	273:4		73:12,17 74:2	201:2 208:2
223:17,22 224:4		awardee 17:13 awards 14:18 16:16	94:10 126:18	benchmarks 133:7
argument 112:17 arises 96:8	assuming 251:15 270:3		141:8 175:16,17 176:7 180:13	133:21
arises 90:8 arose 12:2 141:13	ATA 194:19	17:3 246:4,4,7,8 246:19 286:5	196:3 198:3	beneficiaries
	atmosphere 229:21	aware 22:21 44:8	204:14 224:2,4,17	108:20
arrangements 149:14	attach 82:8	115:11 287:8	225:1,3 282:17	beneficiary 124:3
arrive 105:6	Atteberry 174:7	aye 17:21 22:12	bank 51:20 55:21	benefit 56:9 73:3
162:16	attempt 143:4	ayes 17:22 18:3	76:14,22 113:18	76:20 133:12
article 49:5	146:22 184:1	22:13	113:22 227:6	158:19 178:21
articulate 208:18	attended 92:7	A&M 1:22 5:1	230:7,11	216:19
Asia 55:11 106:18	157:15 172:16	A-F-T-E-R-N-0	banking 108:2	benefits 99:3 180:7
204:2 205:2	175:16 177:12	233:1	Bank-led 230:10	benefitted 282:21
223:20 230:4	attending 282:20	a.m 1:14 4:2	bar 192:6	Bertini 1:18 4:18
255:6 283:13	283:4,11	140:21,22	Barbara 26:12	4:19 34:8 47:15
aside 39:16 219:14	attention 4:4 43:7		BARI 175:18,22	84:7,16,19 207:4
asked 28:5 103:21	43:19 83:13 88:8	B	176:8,9 177:17	249:20 265:14
217:14 245:6	102:18 113:8	B 1:19	barley 156:20	266:14 273:18
253:18 257:16	115:15 145:1	back 7:3 8:2 13:5	base 124:15 192:4	276:14
278:12	152:8 206:17	25:21 48:4,5	based 17:10,15	Bertram 2:5 3:17
asking 117:15	attitude 68:6	80:17 85:16 93:6	55:4 86:3 92:3	125:2,5 127:11
aspects 11:6 28:8	attract 56:21 72:22	110:15,19 113:12	122:9,10 184:14	138:5,17 141:21
31:7 221:5 229:14	attracting 23:13	119:1 126:11	basically 66:19	159:16 181:10
assemble 272:6	Atwood 206:9	140:15,22 146:21	112:7 165:3 171:9	182:9 185:18
assess 15:4,10 85:7	audience 217:5	146:21 150:17	244:15 247:4	207:16 214:3
assessing 59:19	223:11 241:11	152:19 175:14	253:20 271:7	226:2 227:12
assessment 171:13	audiences 248:8	180:1,12 183:12	basis 52:22 200:1	268:10 270:11,20
176:14	Australia 192:19	185:1 200:15	Beach 2:3 188:22	271:6,13,18
				, ,
	•	•	•	•

				I
272:12,18 273:1,6	269:6 272:10	216:9 223:4 229:6	Brady 1:14,18 3:2	brings 26:22 33:7
273:13 278:6	273:20 275:11	248:21 249:1,5,10	3:8 5:5 12:15	38:16
279:3	281:18 286:11	249:14 252:1	49:15 50:9 185:18	British 71:12
Bess 200:22	BIFAD's 8:3	255:2 280:4	191:16 204:20	broad 34:2,14 42:8
best 24:17 42:17	222:21 268:17	blank 111:17	214:13 268:11	69:1
50:9,10 51:22	270:16	blessing 186:20	279:20 283:4	broadband 116:21
83:4,10,11 118:10	big 40:3,8 43:4	blower 141:14,15	brainstorming	broader 95:7 149:7
121:19 130:12	47:18 55:12 74:21	board 1:4,13 4:5,10	271:7	251:12 264:4
138:14 168:18	107:8 117:2	5:17 6:13 7:17	brand 125:9	broadly 62:7 121:4
200:7 281:13	159:21,22 167:8	10:12 13:7,20,22	Brazil 108:13 109:6	133:8
285:22 288:1	170:1 205:14	14:17 15:2,22	110:3	brought 48:4 69:21
better 31:9 36:11	211:7 212:4	18:7 20:15 25:15	bread 157:3	82:19 147:15
40:14,19 55:5	bigger 151:12	29:9,14,22 30:4	breadth 11:4 37:17	189:8
67:6,11 75:12	198:11	41:3 42:3 43:18	152:9	Brown 26:18
76:4 78:3 79:3	biggest 39:20	45:19 47:8 49:15	break 140:13,17	budget 53:6,9,11
93:4 99:21 100:2	Bihar 197:14	50:2 70:17 76:22	161:12 231:19	53:12,20 88:5,7
102:5 104:5	bilateral 56:10	84:3 134:13 138:2	breakdowns 77:17	97:19 98:17 99:2
117:20 126:15	279:13	141:9,12 181:8	breakout 160:16	127:12 199:20,21
156:4 162:20	bill 4:13,15,16	201:12 206:21	breakthrough	200:4 202:10
175:14 209:1,7,22	10:19 11:7 12:10	212:8 217:13	261:1	216:3 231:5
210:6,8 228:10	12:12 14:8 17:16	223:6 224:18	breeders 139:17	budgets 87:10,13
beyond 11:7 31:4	18:5 22:18 34:19	225:4 233:5	breeding 220:11	211:9
53:17 130:18	35:16 37:16	248:14 265:5	222:12	build 36:9 76:8
228:2 286:12	203:14 212:22	266:3 269:8	breeds 193:6	79:5 89:10 108:1
BFS 2:5	272:1 277:18	271:15 272:6,8	bridge 164:21	108:1 112:16
BHEARD 125:12	280:19 286:4,6	277:7 284:2	209:12,14	116:6 129:1
BIFAD 1:4,6 4:10	billion 52:17,19	287:21	bridges 188:7	137:17 139:2
5:7,10 6:13 7:2,7	53:2,11 71:3	board's 188:5	brief 8:15 142:19	201:7 225:15,17
7:11 9:13 10:6	257:21 258:4	Bob 5:9,12 13:16	235:9 276:1	260:10 280:9
11:21 12:20 15:3	bin 221:3	187:1	briefed 173:1 176:6	building 7:5 39:15
15:16 16:12 17:4	biocontrol 177:22	Bogra 176:14,18	177:8 284:8	40:4,16 41:19
17:5,5,11,11,12	220:11	bolded 118:15	briefing 9:2 173:10	50:11 90:4 91:1,3
17:15 19:2 24:3,6	biofertilizer 174:16	boldly 216:9	brilliant 183:17	100:11 102:22
25:15 26:6 28:1,3	biological 174:3	bolts 31:15	bring 7:1 11:15	107:14 108:8,9
28:18 29:10 31:16	biology 213:4	book 172:13	13:22 30:7 42:22	110:15 111:12
43:20 44:1,13	Biomass 191:10	books 46:18 93:10	48:4 57:17,18	113:9 115:2
45:19 46:5 47:3	biopesticides	93:14	79:8 123:10	128:15,18 136:15
49:15 50:2,6	174:17	Borlaug 62:15	146:21 183:13	138:13 139:3,8
70:17 84:3 96:6	Birth 242:17	122:18 124:11,17	184:2,10 186:12	154:4 165:20
101:7 103:21	bit 31:12 32:10	125:11 135:9	205:22 233:8	179:2 183:5 188:7
104:1,13 112:19	72:19 85:20 88:15	136:4 137:1,2,2	243:10 251:9	203:15 220:6
133:22 150:14	90:8 92:11 102:13	Borlaug's 125:15	265:7 275:4	274:1 281:20
158:20 165:3,3	104:17 110:3	Boston 269:2	281:12 287:3	buildings 109:22
167:5 171:2 173:1	129:18,19 145:2	Bowman 2:7	bringing 83:12	built 55:3
177:8 186:20	167:10 186:18	170:16 188:14	143:8 183:18	bulge 115:12
187:10 200:11	192:7,22 197:7	217:12 229:5	184:13 266:13	bulk 137:12
202:3 265:11	198:21 213:14,17	231:16 283:11	286:4	bunch 259:7

263:13	CAP 199:7	carry 74:17 85:2	220:1	Chairperson 3:2,8
bureau 2:13,21	capabilities 247:11	103:16 134:3	centrally 217:20	4:3 5:5 7:16
42:14 48:13 89:4	capacities 40:21	149:8 277:12	Century 62:15	10:10 11:19 14:8
100:10,14 122:3	106:3 115:8 280:9	carved 251:16	122:18 137:2	14:13 15:21 16:2
201:15 202:5	capacity 7:5,8,8	cascade 59:10	cereal 203:16	16:5 17:16,19
251:21 285:2	36:4,9 39:15 40:4	74:14 82:6	cereals 189:16	18:1,3 19:9,12,18
bureaucratic 90:1	40:16,20 41:19	cascades 59:6	200:20 202:9	19:22 20:4 21:5,8
burning 140:3	48:16 50:10,16	case 67:4 111:2	ceremony 156:15	21:11,14,17,22
business 6:12,12,22	62:17 65:7,9	178:5 217:8 275:3	certain 229:22	22:4,7,11,14,17
10:17 11:20 23:12	82:19,22 85:2,8	cases 78:9	251:16 275:2	34:6 35:15 36:16
23:20 29:9 80:22	96:19 102:7,8,9	catalyze 219:16	certainly 37:2	41:1,14 42:18
81:2 131:10	102:22 103:6,15	catastrophe 89:20	105:15 113:1	47:22 83:20 88:11
138:18 204:22	103:17 104:6	category 15:19	150:14 158:9	91:22 95:15,20
287:19	105:12,15 107:11	Catherine 1:18	160:15 162:4,12	96:1 100:4,8
businesses 129:3	107:14 109:17,22	4:18 34:7 37:14	165:8 167:4 168:2	134:12 140:2
Butler 2:8 6:4 44:9	110:5 111:12	47:14 52:12 66:6	169:8,13 178:16	141:1 181:2,5
127:4 257:19	113:8 114:22	84:6 88:13 207:3	178:20 180:8	182:10 185:7
258:3,6,9,13,16	115:2 120:12	210:19 226:15	208:2 265:14	206:20 212:7
button 5:19	121:5,8 122:5,21	265:13 266:11	274:13 275:11	223:5 231:14,17
buy 55:1 65:10	123:13,17 125:19	268:13 273:17	276:3 281:17	233:3 248:12
buyers 64:11,22	128:6,15,17 129:4	286:22	certification 69:20	252:10,13 254:11
buying 64:17	131:6 136:15,20	cattle 77:18 78:3	certifications 68:10	259:22 262:8,16
buy-in 162:18	137:1 138:13	157:7	CG 32:13 155:21	264:22 265:3,20
buzz 72:18	139:3,8,19 154:3	caught 92:11	158:2 161:21	266:16,21 267:20
Byerlee 26:13	165:20 175:9	cauliflower 171:18	184:1,6,19 218:15	268:8 269:18
118:21	179:2 240:12	174:18	226:19 227:8	270:10,17 271:4
B.S 233:15	281:20	causative 178:7	240:16	271:11,14 272:1
	capital 153:21	CDC 33:3	CGIAR 26:14	272:14,19 273:9
C	180:17	CDOs 146:2	CGIR 183:7	273:15 274:6
CAADP 54:16 87:5	capitalize 227:7	CEDAW 207:7	184:16 188:10,15	276:12 277:18
100:10 103:4	capped 247:12	cell 73:21 75:11	188:19 189:5,14	279:2 282:8 283:8
113:11 133:4	capture 138:21	76:2 163:13	203:10,14	283:14,18,21
cadre 115:17 116:2	192:5 237:10	center 120:7	chain 77:16 79:4	284:12
call 110:22 145:1	285:22	155:21 158:2,6	119:18 120:16	challenge 26:1
202:11 267:10	captured 43:6	161:20 205:16	138:11 160:6	67:21 73:19 92:16
called 33:4 56:5	187:14	229:16 244:9	195:1 204:6 218:7	97:12 98:4 99:4
71:7 75:10 93:14	capturing 24:17	centers 161:21	218:20 227:15	130:18 222:1
125:10	car 46:14 163:11	195:4 196:4	231:12	242:18 263:2
calling 43:19 152:8	care 140:1	205:11 240:16	chains 227:19	challenged 38:3
163:4 191:20	career 46:18 49:2	256:5	228:14,18	216:11
calls 72:13	233:20	central 55:10	chair 1:15,18 5:7,9	challenges 57:6
campus 261:8	carefully 267:9	117:18 120:12,20	17:4,11,14 41:20	68:1 73:13 83:14
campuses 235:15	caretake 217:14	121:10,11 122:6	chaired 7:6	104:20 115:5,10
236:5,12 237:7	Cargill 58:8	123:3 127:19	chairing 12:10	117:1 118:17
Canada 210:19	Carol 26:17	130:13 138:1	Chairman 8:14	156:9 208:6 214:7
211:8 230:20	carried 15:5 144:8	204:1 208:8 282:7	14:14 19:10	235:19 237:3
Canadian 207:7	150:3	centralized 218:11	248:20 283:4	239:10 253:13

ahallanaina 40.5	alorifrino 105.10	asllastian 100.6	197.15 100.16	
challenging 40:5	clarifying 105:10	collection 198:6	187:15 192:16	companies 58:13
chance 84:4 140:7	138:6	college 116:6,7	193:16 200:13	73:2 105:20
163:1 182:14	class 236:21	colleges 36:18	206:22 212:8	106:14 195:12,13
chancellors 110:21	classes 208:12	114:12 131:11	223:7,10,12 248:9	company 4:21 58:8
change 33:7 63:5	236:11,22	collegial 160:21	265:10 266:20	64:14 71:10,11
68:6 81:10 89:19	classrooms 116:7,7	combination	279:14 280:12	comparative 118:2
99:9 101:7 160:13	clean 173:16,20	220:10	282:10 283:22	comparing 181:14
213:10 267:18	clear 147:1 150:6,8	combined 81:19	commercial 71:17	comparison 81:19
278:19 279:4,5	181:11 228:19	combining 169:7	commercialized	competition 72:19
changed 85:6 88:10	clearance 263:16	come 19:2 40:13	209:9	255:22 261:12
changes 87:22	clearer 137:22	42:16 77:18 78:5	commission 123:4	compiled 195:16
279:15 287:8	clearly 29:3 38:18	85:12 88:6 104:22	commitment 51:4	complacency 80:9
changing 104:19	44:18 185:14	114:8 119:2 146:8	51:7,8,10 53:15	80:18
104:20 111:22	202:1 214:4	159:14 166:8	54:1 55:21 87:4	complement
Chapotin 2:10	climate 81:10	168:12 179:14	112:5 169:13	218:19
195:21 221:14	90:15 160:13	190:8 200:15	255:13 285:5	complementarity
223:16,19 224:2,6	190:21 196:7	214:22 245:22	committed 38:4	96:4
269:21	200:1 203:3	252:21 254:8	53:2 56:16	complete 25:19
charge 44:3 85:8	climate-resilient	264:6 268:14	committee 12:11	126:1
173:2	202:9	271:2 280:18	13:15 17:3,6	completed 27:22
charged 86:11	clock 140:14	comes 30:12 61:17	18:19 61:3 267:7	145:4 148:21
112:18	close 140:4	93:15 99:5 212:16	282:20 283:5,12	completely 40:10
charter 15:4	closed 202:11	235:17	committees 276:8	53:5 137:11
check 39:7 144:22	closing 284:13	comfortable 41:4	commodities	169:13 236:11,22
266:17	Club 1:14	278:21	149:20	complex 94:8
checked 199:19	coalescing 268:5	coming 17:15 62:8	commodity 157:5	component 82:15
checklist 146:6	cocoa 58:4,5	63:1 71:4 72:4	common 92:6,14	146:18
chief 2:13 84:10	coffee 156:15 157:8	74:8 80:2 93:11	133:19 209:18	components 37:9
100:13	157:10 161:12	97:10 120:6	communicate	38:16 147:12
child 47:19 200:17	168:13,14,17,19	163:11 198:11	44:18	218:6 285:11,12
children 160:11	168:20	203:19 207:19	communication	composition 246:4
175:10,13 192:2	cognizant 276:7	211:14,20 214:11	11:3 20:21 21:1	249:3,4
choices 38:6	Cohen 2:11 134:8	219:13 224:18	277:2	compost 176:19,20
choosing 136:13	collaboration	225:4 226:3,9,12	communications	177:1
Chorus 17:22	77:13 128:10	252:6 287:10	24:4	comprehensive
22:13	155:21 159:2	commend 49:10	communities 66:21	24:11 54:17 83:22
chosen 17:4 224:18	167:19 178:17	156:11 286:4	67:2 185:16	132:2
Choudhary 46:13	226:1	comment 6:18	community 11:4	computers 116:22
CIMMYT 125:14	collaborations	138:6,7 208:21	16:14 22:19 38:14	conceived 130:16
circles 120:10	216:20	213:14 223:15	60:22 61:6 83:12	concentrating
circulate 13:19	collaborative 12:1	266:17 282:10	83:14 92:14,14	183:11
Citizenship 1:19	14:20 15:13 24:1	284:4	158:5 186:15	concept 33:14 77:1
claim 69:16	25:7	comments 3:19	189:9 193:18	182:15 183:17,17
Clara 2:11 134:7,9	colleague 170:3	8:11 10:11 17:17	206:13 216:18	192:20 223:15
135:14 137:21	colleagues 39:7	34:7 39:13 42:19	218:14,15 227:4	245:7,17 247:19
clarification 127:5	89:14 207:6	45:20 92:3 95:16	240:6,7 260:2	253:6,6 254:7
clarify 143:14	collected 171:16	134:14 140:6	269:13,16	257:5 259:8
	•	-	•	•

	 	<u> </u>	 	l
concepts 98:8	198:18 245:3	consulted 60:2	176:16	corridor 70:14
concern 86:14 92:6	connection 30:18	consumptively	controlled 229:21	cotton 196:16
164:7 188:2	33:2 100:1 188:4	183:8	controls 174:4	Council 34:14
208:17 211:7	198:6 227:22	contact 270:13	controversy 238:15	174:2
concerned 164:12	242:6,7	containers 221:4	convene 201:15	counting 58:22
275:8 286:13	connections 34:16	contains 89:6	257:17	59:1,3 138:20
287:1	35:3,11 64:11	contemplative	convened 158:15	countries 28:22
concerns 30:2	71:17 99:21	267:5	250:22 251:5	30:16 33:10 36:6
164:13 282:12	193:12,13 198:16	context 8:10 23:4	convening 205:12	38:2 39:21 40:10
concert 28:18	225:15 228:20	85:21 151:20	226:14 243:9	40:21 43:14 50:3
concluded 288:15	242:5 243:17	191:7,8 230:4	250:16,18 251:9	51:16 54:20 55:8
concluding 59:8	250:10 260:15	238:18	269:10 271:22	55:20 56:7,8,12
conclusion 168:21	connects 76:4	contexts 238:16	convenings 259:20	58:6 60:7,9 64:2
concrete 144:10	consciously 199:13	continental 94:1	conversation 63:8	67:9 72:12,12,13
150:9	consensus 31:20	continually 89:17	131:20 187:5	72:20 84:21 85:20
conditions 107:15	265:15	111:1 165:6	248:6	87:1,4,6,11,12,13
286:19	conservation	continue 35:19	conversations	87:17,20,22 88:2
conducted 32:15	160:12 191:14	53:18 55:19 58:16	63:19 99:9 106:11	88:3,9,17 89:17
147:21 177:13	197:9,16	74:8 77:4 80:7	163:20 204:20	94:10 98:21 99:18
183:19	consider 263:9	86:18 104:13	209:21 243:7	106:4 107:7,17
conference 32:17	considerable 8:21	125:4 209:14	convinced 165:9	108:19 109:1,18
33:1 34:13 76:11	171:19	219:9 248:7 260:8	cook 238:14,16	110:6 113:12
76:21 77:7 96:11	consideration 11:2	281:21 286:12	cooperative 132:15	116:18,19 121:22
103:8 212:15	12:5 103:6	continued 10:13	149:15 287:22	125:21 131:4
213:7	considering 7:10	62:14 76:10 108:5	cooperatives 129:2	133:14 149:11
conferences 32:12	8:8 39:10 44:2	145:14 152:22	coordinate 114:13	166:1 173:3
219:9	114:20 207:7	154:20 187:1	117:17,20,22	178:20 183:6
confidently 222:16	considers 281:19	262:3 266:2	121:13,14,15	196:7 198:20
confirmation 125:1	consistent 16:10,11	continues 80:10	133:16 139:7	204:11 207:10
confiscated 163:13	175:3	235:20	142:22 158:21	217:2 224:8 231:6
Conflict 89:5	consortia 249:21	continuing 155:4,9	209:7 274:15	240:19
confronted 115:19	250:1 258:7	continuity 153:18	coordinated 114:18	country 9:1,9,20
congratulations	consortium 126:4	153:19 154:4	coordinating 114:9	36:11 49:9 54:19
5:12	147:17 246:8	contracting 89:22	127:20 131:17	63:3,5 64:15
congratulatory 5:8	249:21	contracts 65:2	132:6	67:13 68:2 70:5
Congress 63:9,10	constant 219:11	contribute 133:10	coordination 117:2	70:15 73:7,10
Congressional	constantly 157:1	133:12	118:15 209:16	81:18 84:10,11,17
233:21	constrained 53:20	contributed 46:15	copies 5:21	86:3 87:2 89:12
connect 31:9 35:5	211:10,16	182:19	copy 111:15 134:16	99:12 101:19
64:9 161:18 190:7	constraint 116:22	contributing 112:1	134:18	102:20,20 103:3
241:8 242:14	consultant 26:14	230:14	core 138:18 158:6	117:7,21 121:16
243:11 248:4	26:18	contribution 37:12	corral 136:7	122:11 123:19
259:11	consultation 134:3	39:4 96:22	corralling 136:12	125:20 126:8,14
connected 193:4	134:4 154:21	contributions	corrective 209:17	126:22 129:10
Connecticut	186:19	15:11 38:19 123:4	correspond 133:3	131:7 133:4,8
167:20	consultators	control 9:8 61:11	corresponded	143:7,21 144:14
connecting 90:8	254:18	93:16 172:10	120:18	145:16 146:1
	•	•	•	•

1.15 5 1.1 1.10 1.1	225 12 220 0	1.42.2.1.45.20	1	00 45 44 445
147:7,14 148:11	237:13 238:9	143:3 145:20	dairy 229:10	22:4,7,11,14,17
149:4,18 150:20	242:9 260:20	152:19 162:2	damage 90:22	34:6 35:15 36:16
151:9,21 152:17	created 37:1 60:20	170:12 171:6,17	141:16 276:4	41:1,14 42:18
152:20 153:10,22	61:1 79:2 141:16	177:16 178:14,17	Dar 150:2	47:22 83:20 88:11
154:22 155:1	creates 107:14	178:19 179:17	data 62:1 120:14	91:22 95:15,20
156:1,6,14 157:11	creating 57:21	186:10 188:18	129:5 171:15	96:1 100:4,8
180:15 198:13,13	149:13 239:4	189:3,8,12,13,14	197:8 238:1,17	134:12 140:2
227:16 287:15	creation 192:22	194:18 195:6,7	244:15,20 262:21	141:1 157:14
country-led 81:4	196:1	215:8 220:3	263:3,5,14,14	163:2 165:5 181:2
118:8	creative 116:11	225:17,20,21	276:11	181:5 182:10
country-owned	239:8 260:9	226:4 241:3,4	data.gov 244:14	185:7 206:20
54:11,15,20 55:4	creatively 262:2	285:15	date 7:1	212:7 223:5
couple 6:22 8:2	creativity 239:18	CRSPs 25:3 138:14	Daugherty 205:15	231:14,17 233:3
52:18 63:2 64:3	credit 119:19	146:1 188:10,15	daunting 231:7	248:12 252:10,13
67:9 98:8,20	244:10,17 263:12	195:2 199:5	Dave 206:9	254:11 259:22
105:4,5 119:3	crises 51:5,18 77:6	205:10 220:2	David 26:11 174:7	262:8,16 264:22
134:20 135:19	crisis 51:5 74:20,22	227:12 238:4	Davies 212:22	265:3,20 266:16
150:22 182:8	criteria 12:9	285:12	Davis 229:16	266:21 267:20
195:3 210:18	critical 43:8,12	CSISA 195:20	Davos 72:8	268:8 269:18
215:1 221:15	64:1 81:11 86:22	223:20 224:7	day 5:14 46:15	270:10,17 271:4
246:17 255:5	86:22 116:14	225:2,9,16,21	161:16 171:12	271:11,14 272:1
256:7 268:14	127:19 129:22	226:6	265:7,11 276:18	272:14,19 273:9
274:20 279:16	151:22 152:8,12	culminated 76:11	282:2,12	273:15 274:6
course 21:9 28:10	193:13 210:12,20	Cunnane 144:20	days 137:22 176:4	276:12 277:18
38:18 65:11	210:21	cup 168:20	176:5 182:22	279:2 282:8 283:4
156:18 157:6,8	critically 29:19	curious 254:17	273:14	283:8,14,18,21
160:4 167:6 177:7	crop 159:12 172:10	curl 178:8	day's 18:10	284:12
190:15 192:4,15	204:12 216:13	current 33:15	DČ 1:14	Deaton's 155:14
193:13 205:1	217:1	103:17 211:5	DCHA 89:4	debts 137:18
260:1 269:2 271:9	crops 34:19 61:12	263:14	deadline 269:21	decade 115:16
284:18 287:16	91:1 149:21,21	currently 11:21	270:8 271:8	decades 119:3
court 122:13	156:18 169:7	123:9 147:20	deal 28:13 37:6	December 53:1
273:14	172:1,4,14 177:15	170:13 237:9	53:15 99:11	decentralized
cover 83:2 186:18	177:22 200:1	245:10	142:15 150:21	97:14
187:17 254:9	203:1,22 219:4	curriculum 114:3	172:18 180:13,17	decide 19:6 84:12
267:12	cross 209:12	curtail 88:20	214:20 235:14	84:19 85:1 265:17
coverage 172:19	cross-cutting 81:9	cut 98:20	dealing 7:22 51:2	decided 46:14
covered 27:19	crowd 57:16 70:21	cuts 207:8	215:7	decision 245:13
171:15 172:17	244:11	cutting 154:17	deans 20:18	decisions 59:17,18
233:8	CRSP 12:3,6,17,21	216:5	Deaton 1:14,18 3:2	61:12 164:5
covering 6:12 7:21	12:22 13:2 15:17	CYMMT 162:1	3:8 4:3 5:5,6 7:16	decision-making
cowpeas 200:19	15:18 21:4,6 24:1	220:21,21	10:10 11:19 14:8	184:16
co-sponsor 272:10	24:7,13,22 25:6	C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	14:13 15:21 16:2	decline 109:12
co-sponsored 76:13	27:4,9,12 28:10	3:1	16:5 17:16,19	111:14 279:12,12
crazy 161:10	49:4,20,21 92:8		18:1,3 19:9,12,18	declined 197:3
create 14:16 15:16	136:19 139:9	D	19:22 20:4 21:5,8	declining 208:13
72:18 75:10	141:19,19 142:5	D 236:18	21:11,14,17,22	dedicated 57:20
/2.10 /3.10	111117,17 112.3			200000000000000000000000000000000000000
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	ı	İ	I	I
99:17 121:10	219:12	detriment 197:4	237:3,17 238:2,10	difficult 40:5 75:21
230:14	demand-driven	develop 27:5 40:14	238:14,22 239:5	136:7,18,22
deduction 112:12	118:9	54:15 83:8 118:6	239:16 240:12	169:19 237:10
deep 73:16 74:4	demarcates 68:12	146:22 151:9	241:6 242:3,16	difficulty 136:12
82:11 83:7	democracy 89:5	153:20 158:15	243:20 244:3,9,16	218:10
deeper 195:18	254:2	159:10 160:17	244:21 262:4	diffuse 240:8
deeply 51:8	demographic	203:6 209:15	263:11 287:5	dig 277:17
defense 200:7	115:10,12	267:10 268:7	developmental	diligent 110:16
deference 185:2	demonstrate 86:13	developed 68:9	206:5	dime 90:2
defining 183:11	87:1 179:10	89:16 103:4 110:5	developments 36:4	dimension 32:15
definitely 78:9	demonstrated	116:17 131:6	devised 220:20	32:19 33:22 147:7
137:18 163:18	151:19 185:14	178:10 209:8	devoid 161:5	dimensions 27:4
251:11 257:7	224:14 260:3	developing 15:7	DFID 192:19	38:9 118:15 184:2
258:22 259:17	demonstrates	33:10 36:6 39:21	207:13	dinner 226:16
262:5,17 263:9,22	70:10	52:7 54:19 55:20	Dhaka 177:13	direct 136:14
264:20	demonstrations	60:7 67:21 88:17	diagnosis 177:14	direction 81:1,3
definition 191:21	197:12	106:4 123:17,19	diagnostic 177:16	98:14 207:22
definitive 42:1	department 139:19	125:20 126:8,14	178:2	261:8 278:1
degradation	171:11	129:10 144:7	dialogue 34:11	directly 97:22
229:20	depends 245:22	176:2 180:5 183:6	42:11 99:19	director 2:5 48:12
degree 123:20	258:6	development 1:1,4	145:15 173:8	84:17 85:12
166:22	depth 66:1	4:7 6:16 7:9	183:22 234:15	143:22 144:21
degrees 123:18	Derek 26:13,16	14:18,20 15:2,3	256:21 258:22	172:22 173:9
126:1,9	118:21	27:12 29:4 30:15	259:13 260:11	174:7,8
DeLAUDER 1:19	Des 12:4 187:9	33:13 36:7 42:5	279:5 287:2,20	directors 12:3 21:4
4:14,15,16 10:19	273:3,4	50:16 52:2,10	die 77:19 86:19	21:6 27:10 28:10
11:9 12:10,14	describe 111:8	54:18 60:22 62:18	diet 197:4 208:11	49:4,21 158:6
14:11,14 16:1,17	deserve 206:17	76:15 77:2 82:20	208:12	278:4,8
17:1 18:6 19:10	design 66:9 206:4	82:22 93:10 96:19	difference 39:20	disaggregate 94:5
19:17,20 20:2,5	211:20 214:12	102:7,8,9 103:7	40:3 78:22 170:1	disaster 75:4 88:16
20:11,14 21:7,10	designee 16:22	103:13 104:7	172:9 186:8 236:3	88:21 89:1,8,11
21:13,16,19 22:3	designing 214:15	105:12,15 106:1,1	differences 67:6	90:11
35:17 39:15 127:8	desirable 116:5	107:11 114:3,22	95:6	disasters 89:18
134:15 249:16	despite 78:16,20	120:13 121:6,8	different 23:5 25:6	91:12,13,15
272:3,22 273:5	230:20 231:3	122:5,11,21	27:4 32:19 43:14	discipline 238:11
277:19 278:7	detail 15:11 62:20	123:13 125:12,19	98:21 118:14	discovered 16:9
286:4 Delaware 1:19 4:17	83:2 170:14 detailed 50:7	126:16 128:6,19 128:22 129:4	127:17 128:14 155:14 176:3	172:6 discuss 16:20
delay 9:6	details 84:1	131:6 132:16	181:12,12,22	129:19 272:7
delegation 275:1,2	deterioration	136:20 137:1	185:20 190:1	273:16
deliberate 81:3	109:16	148:19,19 153:8	194:13 236:12	discussed 29:21
250:11,15	determination	154:12,14 165:10	238:16,17 239:7	173:18 175:20
delighted 12:20	246:5 256:2	167:15 168:7	241:4 242:1	191:17 226:19
delivering 75:19	determine 14:3	173:15 179:20	243:22 248:8	discussing 12:8
delivery 136:14	212:21	182:17 197:15,21	253:15 287:16	41:6 284:19
228:3	determined 156:3	207:22 210:4	differently 90:3	discussion 3:19
demand 208:11	245:20	216:17 236:1,15	256:13 277:9	12:2 16:6 22:8
200.11	213.20	210.17 230.1,13	250.15 211.7	12.2 10.0 22.0
	l	l	I	I

20 14 20 4 22 21	05 0 06 12 02 21	20 10 22 0 0	121 2 10	11451041255
29:14 30:4 32:21	85:9 86:12 92:21	30:10 32:8,9	dream 131:2,19	114:5 194:1 255:6
45:19 67:15 92:9	114:14 117:13	33:20 35:17 39:14	drill 129:18	Easter 5:9 13:16
92:12 101:14	127:17 128:19	39:14 41:11,20	drinking 156:15	Eastern 152:5
104:3,13,15 105:3	132:3,5 133:3	70:19 95:18,21	168:17	194:10
105:9 140:8	142:16 154:10	96:3 97:6,12	drive 201:18	easy 90:2 92:16
143:20 158:4	168:1 180:5	100:3,12 101:6	drivers 186:11	267:5
172:5 206:22	184:15,21 187:20	125:3,6,15 127:7	driving 208:10	ecological 184:3,13
231:19 233:5,7	194:1 198:22	127:8,10,14	dropped 212:12	economic 72:6,8
265:6 266:2	218:2 220:4	134:15,17,20	drops 210:14	160:11 167:15
277:20 280:22	221:21 229:12	135:10,12,14,16	drought 78:12,13	176:9 211:5,6,17
discussions 42:15	243:14 247:5	135:18,19,20,22	78:15,20,21 90:17	economies 51:17
102:15,16 146:9	255:10 260:19,19	136:1,9,10,17	191:12 196:18	economy 52:8
146:16 155:9	260:20 262:18	137:15 138:3,16	203:11,19,20	ecosystems 43:15
160:18 171:15,19	277:21 284:5	139:4 155:9,12,13	286:18 287:12	edge 114:17 154:17
185:17 208:22	285:21	157:14 163:2	droughts 77:18	educate 167:21
209:4 211:8 265:4	dollar 144:22	165:5 168:18	78:4 86:17 91:16	275:13
disease 148:19	dollars 127:13	182:6,13 199:21	dry 188:22 189:16	educated 148:13
174:22 177:15,21	domestic 37:16	208:20 213:12	196:20	166:21
203:12,16	71:9 73:1	214:9,21 217:12	dryland 188:19	educating 275:15
diseases 34:16	domestically 34:21	223:14,17,22	due 224:13 245:7	275:16
disseminate 257:17	Don 177:5	224:4 226:17	246:18	education 3:21
disseminated	donor 57:14 61:5	229:5 231:16	Duke 236:7	6:15 8:6 16:14
242:10	97:18 113:18	234:9,11 248:18	duplicating 118:1	37:5,10 44:22
disseminating	128:4 152:13	248:19 249:1,3,4	duplication 159:4	94:20 95:2,11
176:3	209:16 210:14	249:12,13,16,18	dust 141:14	96:14 100:20
dissemination	donors 55:1,15	249:22 250:2,7,9	D.C 49:1 164:20	103:10 109:15
149:9	56:10,22 58:19	250:14 251:14	274:5	113:19 114:11
distance 116:11	60:10 62:5 76:22	252:2,3,4,7,8,12		115:16,18 116:11
distilling 120:3	77:13 81:20 93:21	253:10 254:13,16	-	116:13 119:13
distributed 172:14	97:13,15 98:5	254:20,21 255:2	eager 9:12,13 earlier 23:14 28:11	120:15 125:11
distribution 154:14	114:8 117:22	255:16,20 256:1		127:22 128:1
diverse 133:18	118:10 121:14	257:1,4,7,10,22	29:12,13 45:5	167:2,3 168:7
157:11,15 240:7	131:18 132:1	258:5,8,12,15,20	78:4 121:1 145:6	175:10,12 231:22
diversifying 78:5	133:13 135:5	262:5,9,17,20	180:4 196:15	233:12 234:8,20
doctoral 148:5	207:21 222:8	263:8 264:3,12	200:13 213:22 268:15 274:9	235:6 256:19
doctorate 148:21	double 39:6	266:19 267:1,21		260:1 281:1 285:7
document 12:15,16	doubt 42:16 202:14	269:10 272:3,22	early 27:6 28:1,2 108:1 137:19	educational 29:5
13:14,19 14:1	downs 207:21	273:5 277:19	164:5 182:22	37:9 146:3,13
17:2	downward 206:15	278:7 282:14	189:11 210:15	154:21 155:3
documentation	Dr 4:14,22 5:3 7:14	283:3,10,11	earned 233:13	165:19
179:5,8	8:13 11:9 12:14	draft 247:2,3	earned 233:13 ears 188:3	educators 157:16
doing 7:2 25:3	14:11,14 16:1,4	dramatically 87:9		effect 287:1
36:21 37:15 38:7	16:17 17:1 18:6	87:14 88:9	earthquake 8:16	effective 10:1 44:21
40:16 43:10 46:21	19:10,17,20 20:2	draw 124:7 130:21	earthquakes 91:14	112:20 114:18
50:11 55:2 60:15	20:5,11,14 21:7	261:2	easily 56:17 65:9	124:16 130:6,10
65:17,19 67:8	21:10,13,16,19	drawing 90:5	137:14 Fact 55:0 10 76:16	effectively 25:17
79:22 82:10,13	22:3,6 28:5 30:10	113:13	East 55:9,10 76:16	117:17 244:4

effectiveness 109:4	elections 9:10	encourage 6:20	enshrined 54:6	Eric 192:14
effects 31:5 38:17	electronically	68:22 180:10	ensure 28:8,20	erosion 90:15
287:16	116:13	197:17 239:1	43:11 44:20 47:19	es 150:2
efficiencies 191:4,5	element 129:6	250:3	65:12 102:1,5	especially 6:8 35:6
efficiency 24:15	149:17	encouraged 277:20	143:5 164:8	41:5 114:5 127:2
77:15 159:12	elements 81:13	encouraging	169:16 206:14	180:13 209:8
191:1 205:9	120:18 281:18	189:20 250:5,12	ensuring 24:16,18	218:13 263:10
efficient 9:22	Elizabeth 192:13	ended 168:17	154:4	essential 127:12
112:21 220:19,19	195:15	energize 194:4	entering 230:6	139:11
effort 8:4 10:14	Elsa 1:22 3:11 4:22	energy 50:6 173:17	entering 230.0 enterprise 281:2,3	essentially 112:7
12:11 26:10 54:4	8:4,10 10:10 30:9	173:20 197:11	enthusiasm 235:14	121:18 218:19
55:5,17 62:16	52:11 66:6 141:4	237:15	235:22 237:2,8	229:12,19 239:21
66:14 72:15 75:20	155:9 186:11	engage 31:17 75:21	246:22 247:15	244:22 245:15
76:5 80:7 110:14	191:16 214:4,13	104:14 129:20	enthusiasms 150:5	246:15 247:6
118:1 126:5	Elsa's 192:16	131:22 143:10	entire 91:6 143:9	establish 225:3
139:13 142:4	embarrass 182:8	146:9 160:8 194:7	143:11 146:18	234:3
150:12 159:4	embarrassment	201:17	151:3 181:11	established 7:6
162:6,14 170:12	185:20	engaged 52:8 75:6	184:19	96:15,17 107:22
186:1 195:5	embassy 163:8,9	75:20 87:5 123:10	entities 30:22 150:1	224:21 256:15,20
199:14 201:16	embraced 25:15	142:7 149:2	152:3 155:5 163:5	establishing 60:1
207:15 234:8	Embrapa 109:8	193:18 213:5	169:14 238:6	70:13,20 266:1
235:11,15 237:12	emerge 149:14	262:3,13 278:13	entity 113:22	establishment
efforts 50:2 57:4	emergence 113:17	285:6	257:13	224:20
59:15 76:9 81:18	emerging 102:2,3	engagement 10:21	entrepreneurial	Ethiopia 3:10
101:3 134:2	208:12	29:11 79:17 180:1	149:17	67:12 68:2,22
161:19 168:5,22	Emeritus 4:16	234:8	entrepreneurs	69:2 76:18 78:10
222:14 225:16,17	emphasis 25:5 37:6	engagements 11:4	106:7 119:10	84:13 88:6 89:12
231:3	109:15 169:6	engages 155:20	120:9	89:14 90:6,6,16
eggplant 171:17	197:1 266:2	engaging 31:18	Entrepreneurship	96:10 141:6
Egyptian 68:6	emphasize 121:7	145:8 183:13	128:13	155:11,13,22
eight 56:7 78:13	276:2	239:4 267:14	entry 168:9 215:3	156:22 159:7
140:16	emphasizing 43:2	engender 256:20	envelope 212:4	161:3 162:5 163:3
either 13:12 143:21	empower 60:18	281:22	257:20	165:14,22 167:7,9
218:7 265:18	280:8	engineering 233:14	environment 53:20	167:14 169:9,10
271:3	empowered 61:18	233:16 256:4	104:19 111:22	193:22 194:16,20
Ejeta 1:21 5:3,3 7:6	95:1,3	England 33:2	115:3 129:6 190:1	204:2
7:14 16:4 30:11	empowering 60:11	enhance 96:4 159:2	211:6,17 242:7	Ethiopian 79:1
32:8,9 41:11,20	empowerment 61:1	167:15	environmental	157:20,22 167:17
70:19 95:18,21	61:9,15,20 62:10	enhancement	33:7 172:4 267:18	167:19,22 168:20
96:3 97:6,12	65:19 82:7 94:9	96:13	envisage 263:4	194:9,21
100:3 168:18	94:19 113:3	enhancing 77:15	epidemiology	Ethiopians 157:1
182:6,13 214:21	enable 27:6 261:10	78:2	38:18	Ethiopia's 78:17
226:17 250:2,9	268:18	enormous 51:15	epitomizes 139:12	ethnic 92:15
266:19 267:1,21	enabling 115:3	55:17	equality 113:3	ethylene 230:1
269:10	129:6	enriching 268:1	171:14 172:7	Europe 230:20
elder 153:15	encompass 37:17	288:12	equipment 193:5	254:22 255:5
elected 275:15,18	encompassing 43:6	enrolled 183:22	197:17	European 211:19
	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	 		l	 [
evaluate 17:6	exceeds 60:10	expedite 23:1	face 139:12	149:5,7 166:4,13
evaluated 16:7	excellence 11:22	expensive 197:3	facilitate 90:2	172:14,17 174:20
evaluation 17:10	14:18 15:17 16:13	experience 33:17	174:10 227:22	176:21 178:12
58:19,20 59:5	excellent 83:22	47:11 85:5 90:5	facilities 155:19	198:8 209:10
65:18 82:4 285:15	182:18 272:15	91:2 142:13	fact 38:10 47:3	farmer-owned
285:20	276:12	205:19 279:20	48:3 78:16,20	129:3
evaluations 24:12	excess 175:9	experienced 172:19	153:19 190:2	farms 71:7 175:15
65:22 66:18 82:9	exchange 242:17	174:8	212:22 216:3	180:19
94:7	281:21	experiences 183:5	226:19 227:6	far-ranging 130:10
evaluators 25:16	exchanges 109:8	experiment 66:20	262:22	fashion 142:1
events 266:10	282:6	expertise 5:2 26:22	factor 86:6	237:18
eventually 169:22	excited 10:4 28:16	48:18 58:13 87:8	factors 112:2	fast 7:18 19:19
everybody 101:11	50:5 62:1 63:22	87:15 229:16	faculty 153:4 237:2	25:22 271:8
107:4 201:9 219:7	68:18 73:5 74:11	explained 141:11	239:6 260:6	faster 23:6
234:12	124:20 125:13	224:7	262:15 282:6	fast-moving 5:13
evidence 149:19	150:7 154:9 188:9	explains 78:22	fades 77:21	favor 17:20 22:11
evident 101:15	189:2 202:12	explore 31:8	fair 37:2 203:7	FDA 131:15
288:8	235:16 248:1	explored 287:9	243:4	feat 197:18
evolve 235:21	excitement 101:17	exploring 41:6	fairly 157:11 161:5	February 25:22
evolves 285:13	142:15 235:17	export 167:13	218:3 229:20	federal 280:19
exactly 32:1 102:1	exciting 47:1 58:11	exporters 119:20	fall 80:17 187:9	feed 3:4,5 6:13 8:10
136:11 255:4	143:16 144:6	expressed 176:21	272:17 278:5	24:16 29:2 45:10
258:1 259:5	235:4	extends 164:2	familiar 24:2 46:17	45:22 48:10,14
260:17 261:14	execute 77:13	extension 100:20	105:14 107:20	50:14,18 51:11
273:1 279:12	executed 10:2	108:2 119:14	108:12 115:7	52:16 66:5,9 75:2
example 9:20 65:17	execution 169:18	120:14 128:7,8,13	162:11 165:4	86:7 90:22 97:7
70:6 73:7,14	executive 26:6	166:3 176:1 193:5	203:13	100:16,22 101:18
82:12 90:12 128:9	29:22	extensionists	famine 78:12	102:6,15 104:8
136:19 138:15	exist 83:8	166:10,12	famines 86:16	117:7,10 120:2,12
144:20 148:14	existed 60:22	extensive 29:14	fantastic 177:19	125:20 126:21
152:19 167:14	existing 240:5	extent 128:22	FAO 51:21	143:6 144:2 147:6
169:4 181:16,17	241:12,17 248:4	extra 196:17	far 55:22 56:7	156:19 159:9
189:2 196:11,13	250:3 251:8	277:10	224:16 273:2	160:14 182:16
200:14 201:5	exists 262:22	extraordinary 96:9	farm 34:19 37:16	191:11 198:13
205:16 213:8	expand 64:20	extrapolate 94:15	48:4 69:10 71:8	201:4 207:9 218:2
214:19 215:8	68:22 79:7 124:14	extreme 218:21	71:18 128:19	228:9 256:18
218:16 220:13,21	expanded 175:6	extremely 35:19	177:6 179:17	281:3
225:19 226:11	180:20	160:7 198:14	220:22 221:4	feedback 120:22
229:18,18 231:6	expanding 72:1	211:9 217:15	farmer 58:14 68:13	121:17 241:11
251:18 263:10	expansion 74:6,7	253:4	129:2 149:7 176:4	248:3 253:3,6,8
examples 25:2 63:2	116:16	ex/ante 210:11	farmers 57:18	253:12 254:6
64:3 70:4 80:20	expect 53:4,18	eye 40:12 168:4	64:11,17,19,21	feel 27:17,19 28:18
80:22 127:16	139:6 259:1	e-mail 129:21	65:3,13 68:13,21	44:1 45:8 68:14
144:12 152:15	268:19	270:13 271:12	69:8,8 71:14,15	68:15 70:1 92:4
154:11,16,19	expected 251:21		71:18,20 73:3,4	102:13 154:2
188:21 194:10,15	278:14,17	<u>F</u>	73:22 74:16 81:18	236:2 252:17
236:5 253:15	expecting 275:6	fabulous 70:3	81:21 106:6 149:3	253:19 282:11

			l	l
284:15	204:16	204:19	10:22 146:16	226:13
feeling 101:16	finals 250:5	flavor 195:18	follow-ups 7:11	formally 13:21
fellow 233:20 234:2	financial 54:13	flooded 196:14,19	248:15	270:15
262:15	find 24:14 25:9	floods 90:20 91:16	food 1:4 2:14,21	formation 210:22
Fellows 124:11	34:3 37:22 57:15	floor 283:19	4:6 5:1 8:9 12:4	formative 24:6
137:3	82:13 107:7 164:6	Florida 26:12	14:17 15:3 18:15	former 5:9 15:18
fellowship 124:12	218:12 231:12	flows 131:7	31:6,10,10 32:14	forming 81:16
124:17 233:18,22	237:4 239:8	fly 176:16	33:8 36:18 41:12	267:7
fellowships 125:18	241:18 242:14	focus 29:10,18 40:7	42:14 48:13,19	formulating 261:11
felt 41:17 285:5	244:20 259:19	43:1 52:9,13	50:21 51:4,13	forth 9:11 20:19
fermented 157:3	260:7,8 261:9	58:13,18 59:15	55:16 73:10,11	157:7 169:2
fertility 191:12	262:1 266:19	62:22 64:1 65:12	75:3,5,6,19 78:14	213:19
fertilizer 129:13	finding 42:12	70:5 74:21 77:7	79:17,19 80:11	fortunate 186:12
193:5 194:17	218:10 262:10,12	77:14 87:6 113:2	81:1,14 89:7	forum 72:7,8 187:2
fewer 78:13 254:1	267:3	121:10 125:21	100:10,14,17	211:14 260:21
field 24:19 28:21	findings 213:9	132:20 159:7	101:2 102:14	forums 260:10
35:3,4,14 45:1	Findley 46:7	174:11 179:22	104:9 122:3	forward 11:18 13:8
76:3 85:3 98:7,19	fine 7:15 23:15	190:2 193:3	173:16 190:4	30:8 35:22 49:12
100:1 118:20	49:18 271:3	206:16 208:8,18	199:16 204:7,13	70:11 112:6 130:1
122:15 130:13	finger 165:12	210:9 227:16	208:11 211:1,11	155:8 180:12
132:7 142:8	finished 55:7	239:13,13 284:20	211:15 212:3	182:3 194:7 202:6
143:12 144:1	first 19:3,15 54:10	focused 8:5 42:20	214:2 217:2,16,17	203:6 212:17
145:3 146:17	55:13 63:10 72:13	48:19 54:4 73:2	218:18 226:20	232:2 235:6
147:12 148:10	81:2 130:4 155:16	88:3 132:14 147:7	227:18 230:7,9,11	263:11 265:17
149:2,21 154:18	161:15 187:22	171:19 199:16	230:14,22 231:1,1	280:4 284:17
154:19 159:11	200:14,16 247:13	238:6 240:10	251:21 275:3,8	285:19 288:3
163:2 164:6 171:3	248:13	focusing 48:18 82:7	285:2 286:21	found 60:20 161:4
176:4 177:2	first-hand 10:9	83:8,13 108:7	287:2,3,4	163:15 165:15
190:14 196:19	279:20	123:16 132:15	Foods 58:9 64:13	foundation 58:4
282:18	fiscal 25:20 97:20	212:2,3	65:6	112:16 128:10
fields 174:16 176:8	fish 200:20 218:8	folk 177:9	food-producing	158:8 196:2,6
176:15,19	229:10	folks 20:9,17 21:1	287:15	224:10 233:19
FIFA 203:9	fit 116:8 261:14	42:11 75:3,18	force 9:13 10:6	256:4
figure 56:2 67:5	fits 37:14	116:9 124:5,7	60:9 243:9	foundations 132:1
78:19 93:17,21	five 61:8 120:11,17	134:7 161:8	forces 116:3 208:10	founding 55:14
95:9 98:2,12	131:9 136:4	163:15,20 166:3,4	Ford 233:19	four 48:22 55:11
133:15 136:3	140:18 211:21	166:10 168:11	foregoing 140:20	94:10 106:11
258:1,18	224:21,22 241:15	170:10,21 201:1	foreign 46:22 49:5	111:4 183:12
figures 53:1	246:3 255:12,14	249:6 284:6	75:4 89:7	196:7 198:19
figuring 63:15	255:14 277:9	follow 81:7,16 98:9	forget 66:6 94:9,11	224:8
fill 45:14	284:14	270:12	211:21 275:14	fourth 61:22 286:3
filling 148:8	five-page 245:7	followed 54:6	forgetting 206:3	framework 7:9
film 48:3	flag 187:19 189:20	90:17	forgot 216:10	60:1,20 65:20
final 19:2 105:6	200:11 202:8,21	following 10:16	forgotten 128:17	100:11 105:1,6,7
245:17 249:18,19	204:4 216:2	14:15 51:13 267:1	278:4	118:7 130:5,20
finalize 134:5	228:15	follows 97:19	form 149:15 262:2	132:2 133:1 134:6
finally 35:2 198:10	flagged 189:22	follow-up 8:9 9:14	formal 15:22	151:3 239:15
		•		
	I	I	I	I

framing 119:7	funds 55:22 152:21	134:8 135:14	189:18 209:1	go 10:7 35:22 42:12
120:1	172:2 179:11	134.8 133.14	219:3,3 221:5	45:15 48:6 56:12
frank 280:2	225:2 255:19	Gates 128:10	227:6 230:4	62:19 68:16 74:4
frankly 9:14 31:11	256:12 280:17	192:16 196:1,6	236:10 267:21	107:18 113:12
164:1 185:19	fungus 148:19	203:14 220:16	Ghana 64:1,2,10	123:7,14 124:10
194:5 208:13	further 22:8 36:7	221:2 224:10	64:13 65:5,11,16	159:15 163:2
228:12 287:7	41:6 206:22	gathering 31:20	66:19 71:22 88:6	164:20 170:14
free 27:17 252:17	267:11 268:7	GBAs 220:15	126:18 195:8	179:21 182:2,10
274:18	273:17	Gebisa 1:21 5:3 7:6	Ghanaian 64:14	192:9,11 199:13
FRIDAY 1:9	future 3:4,5 6:13	7:12 117:19	65:12	207:14 209:14
friend 46:12	7:8,8,12 8:10,10	168:18 185:7,21	give 5:14 13:20	210:10 226:17
friendly 156:2	24:16 29:2,18	186:6,12 228:8	25:1 26:5 27:18	228:2 241:1
friends 49:19	45:10 46:1 48:10	266:17	50:13 84:4 85:17	256:11 260:18
front 15:22 220:9	48:14 50:14,18	Gebisa's 121:1	90:12 94:7 102:18	263:15 266:22
front-end 219:6	52:16 66:5,9 75:2	gender 81:11 92:3	142:17 173:9	267:11 269:12
fruit 56:5 101:20	86:7 91:1 97:7	92:9,13,17 160:13	182:8 185:4	276:19 279:11
171:22	100:16,22 101:19	171:13 172:7,9	196:17 215:19	goal 139:16 269:5
fruition 144:17	100:10,22 101:19	254:2	235:9 236:7	goals 50:12 103:12
full 95:12 111:5	104:8 117:7,10	general 30:5 50:13	276:10 279:1	132:14
142:10 171:16	120:2,12 124:8	50:17 86:16	given 12:5 15:18,20	goats 157:7
245:18 246:16,17	125:21 126:21	217:17	67:18 68:11 79:16	God 44:13
fully 53:2 75:20	143:6 144:2 147:6	generalizations	92:9 152:16 222:5	goes 7:2 8:2 31:4
93:3 142:7,22	156:19 159:9	92:17	263:10	35:7 67:20 85:16
212:18	160:14 171:4	generally 36:20	gives 18:9 85:20	111:16 152:19
function 127:20	173:11 182:16	46:22 226:20	221:13	179:15 212:5
210:13	198:13 200:6	282:9 287:6	give-me-the-resu	256:7,7
functioning 116:22	201:4 207:9 218:2	generate 62:2,9	169:20	going 9:4 13:6
139:18,18	221:8 260:10	63:22 65:22 82:9	giving 44:3 49:9	14:11,15 21:5
functions 105:18	281:3	194:5 287:20	50:4,8 68:3,7	22:21 40:2,4,8
fund 55:19 56:19	Future's 102:6	generated 241:19	glad 201:12 268:12	45:9,13,14 46:4,6
193:8 199:2 230:8	FY2012 200:5	generation 153:13	277:12	46:19 50:15 54:21
230:9,10 251:22		generational	global 50:20 55:15	60:17 62:2,19,21
259:12	G	153:14	55:17 56:5,19,21	66:2,18 72:3 77:4
fundamental 40:8	GAFSP 56:4	generations 71:1	80:6 98:22 99:3	77:5 83:1 84:12
funded 168:6	gain 25:10 44:21	generically 188:7	100:16 101:2,3	84:20 88:18 94:7
217:21 220:21	155:2 188:22	generically-focus	102:14 104:9	96:11 99:2,21
223:18 224:9	274:10	138:10	173:17,21 189:3,8	103:15 107:18
255:12 256:9	gaining 24:15	generous 35:6	189:19 201:15	116:6,8 117:4
259:3 261:19	gains 191:3 201:18	geographic 92:15	203:17 210:22	120:8 122:19
funding 35:6 52:22	galvanized 220:22	George 47:17 48:3	211:16 212:13	125:8,18 126:3,5
56:18 59:18	game 103:2	Georgia 2:22	230:9,11 236:8	126:17,21 130:18
153:11 171:6	gap 153:14	Geospatial 244:8	237:16 254:4	136:16,21 139:1,2
196:6 199:15	gaps 147:20 148:9	germ 203:12	269:12 287:4,18	139:13,21 140:10
210:21 219:14	153:11 164:21	germane 158:4	globally 94:1	140:13 141:2
224:19 251:15	210:8	getting 6:7 9:6,9	205:21	145:8 149:12
252:5 261:21	garnered 152:16	20:9 21:18 42:17	Glover 186:2	151:2 154:7
279:13,16	Gary 2:2 118:22	140:5 181:15	192:13 214:10	162:17,19 166:19

170 2 0 12 171 0	164116014	1.00 10 150 14 15	200.10	1 (0 2 100 21 22
170:2,8,13 171:8	164:1 169:14	169:10 170:14,17	209:19	160:3 199:21,22
179:3,11 180:12	197:14 225:11	170:20 172:18	G8 51:1 54:9 73:7	215:3 253:2
181:9 184:10	264:9	180:13,17 186:13	79:10,12,13,15,18	284:21
185:19 194:7,9	governmental	191:12 208:21,21	79:21 207:18	harness 237:5
196:5 201:22	27:14 76:14	220:4 235:14	208:22 209:3,6	harvest 213:18
202:12,18 203:6	governments 63:19	262:21 265:4	211:14	218:18 229:17
206:12 214:14,17	76:17,20 77:14	greater 15:11	H	head 65:5 255:4
214:18 215:13	81:20	33:16 61:19 68:7		284:1
220:15 221:8,21	Government's 57:8	68:14 70:1 227:1	Haiti 8:3,5,15 9:9	headed 206:7
222:13,18 225:4	79:1	greatly 182:20	9:12,14,19 10:7	headlines 286:18
225:21 228:14,18	gradually 97:8	282:21	half 127:13 249:22	headquarters
234:16,22 235:8	graduate 12:6	green 157:10	249:22	158:10 164:8,16
235:10 246:20	13:12 15:19	greenhouse 203:15	hammer 80:10	health 30:2,19 31:4
256:18 258:13,16	124:12 125:18	grew 177:6	hammered 76:3	31:7,13 32:7,20
263:11 266:10	233:20 282:6	ground 69:6 98:10	Hammink 172:21	33:8,18 34:17,22
267:13 270:6	graduated 236:16	112:7 164:9	173:12	35:6 38:8,17
271:1 272:3,12	236:18	224:14 225:10,17	hand 179:21,21	41:13,16 42:20
276:17,22 280:2	graft 174:20	group 7:5,7,11	197:22 208:13	66:7 131:14
280:14 282:5,18	grain 64:22 65:3,11	10:19,19 11:17	223:8	160:10,11 173:17
284:21 285:14	156:22 189:2,16	33:3 35:20 39:12	handful 200:18	173:21 201:15
good 4:14 9:20 10:1	197:1,2 200:18	44:16 46:6 93:11	handle 75:16	213:20 217:5
11:13 31:5 46:12	216:22 218:8	133:22 146:7,22	221:12	221:11 236:8
62:3 65:16 75:16	grains 64:15,17	149:5 153:15,16	handoff 228:2	242:6 254:4
84:8 85:16 91:21	188:19 229:8	157:15 158:12	hands 198:7 234:19	265:16 267:14
93:14 98:22 99:3	Grand 242:17	161:7 172:7	hands-on 166:12	268:16
101:5,11 107:9	grant 2:9 96:12	176:10 177:9	166:14 178:3	healthy 38:5
114:1 138:20	124:13 126:1	179:7 186:13	happen 90:21 96:7	health-nutrition
154:16,19 156:8	280:20	206:10 266:8	99:9 225:7 243:8	265:22
158:12 160:7	granting 89:22	groups 31:18 64:11	262:1 274:17	health-related 35:7
164:14 165:18	grants 195:16	64:17 65:3 146:19	happened 54:2	38:20
173:8,9 177:2,8	199:6,7,7,8,8,9	146:20 195:10	55:13 72:17 96:9	hear 16:2 37:22
200:7 215:8 229:1	graph 279:10	grow 51:16 72:2,5	225:13 235:12	91:20 141:2
234:11 246:14	graphic 111:10	72:16 86:10	236:18 242:16	201:12
267:11,22 273:3	119:7 120:4,20	180:14	happening 45:1	heard 60:2 145:6
281:13	grapple 191:18	growing 101:16	106:9 169:6 222:7	173:5 207:1
gosh 131:4 212:18	gratifying 187:8	136:2	236:4,12 244:7	234:20 285:8
261:6	great 6:9 21:15	grown 236:20	268:19	hearing 142:2
gotten 53:6 75:16	26:5 28:13 30:11	growth 70:14	happens 80:1 161:8	153:6 282:13
194:14 204:21	37:6 45:13 48:2	208:14	166:1 254:15	hears 60:5
governance 254:2	58:15,15 64:10	Guatemala 94:11	268:2	heart 73:18
government 51:10	70:6 88:13 95:15	guess 98:2 119:9	happily 111:21	heartened 246:22
56:20 68:11,22	99:5 101:10,11	134:21 135:17	115:15	heat 203:11,20
70:8 73:17 79:11	106:9 107:1 116:8	137:2,5 223:1	happy 74:12 91:20	heat-tolerant
89:21 106:13	116:16 125:6	228:6 266:6	103:22 195:14,19	203:19
117:5 121:15	127:7 142:15	guidance 98:14	234:9,18	heavily 75:6
130:21,22 133:1	150:21 155:17,18	guys 166:19	hard 44:17 74:7	heavy 90:18
157:20 159:20	158:19 162:13	G20 207:19 208:22	110:22 132:15	hectares 74:3

heels 8:16	80:7,22 210:15	215:10	31:1 36:3 41:18	identified 31:14
held 32:16 157:12	highlighted 80:21	hoping 35:22 74:6	50:10,15 62:17	150:19 154:13
Helen 271:17	highlighting 64:4	94:6 99:20 109:10	96:18,18 104:6	identify 179:1
Hello 255:9	97:12	129:19,20 134:1,5	105:11 111:11	272:7
help 13:17 50:8	highlights 6:10	270:1	118:14 131:14	identifying 25:16
73:12 81:18 96:6	187:13	Horn 51:6 74:19,22	138:12 139:3	70:16 122:9 143:7
118:18 129:1	high-level 59:11	77:8	153:21 154:3,12	147:4 148:4
132:17,20 159:11	hikes 51:14	horse 201:1	191:7 217:2,4	IFPRI 194:18
164:21 165:7	Hill 206:8 273:20	hort 215:8	240:11 265:22	IFRPI 162:2
166:13,21 202:3	hire 107:2	horticultural 172:4	281:19 285:10	ignore 31:12
203:16 206:13	historic 263:5	177:15	humanitarian 75:7	II 198:4
211:15 215:6	historical 263:14	horticulture 218:8	76:7 89:5	IITA 195:7
243:16 244:1,15	historically 79:13	229:8,17 241:3	humility 185:2	Illinois 5:11 46:12
253:12 281:22	86:2 263:1	host 10:8 81:4,20	Humphrey 46:10	illustrate 155:15
286:20	history 104:17	126:7 176:10	hundred 135:13	ILRI 155:17,21
helped 56:8 234:3	107:19 135:1	hosted 113:17	hunger 50:20 51:22	162:2
helpful 174:5	144:11 279:9	hosts 160:6	52:15 65:15 71:2	imagine 235:13
helping 51:16	his/her 85:13	hour 141:2 187:22	86:8 100:16	244:19
54:14 81:20	hit 187:13	House 46:9 80:2	191:22	immediate 71:16
245:13	hits 287:14	277:10	hungry 51:11	76:4,6
helps 208:19	hold 133:20 247:11	household 61:16	H-U-B 223:17	immediately 21:18
275:13 284:10	holder 57:18 58:14	214:18	11-U-D 223.17	25:15 68:13 286:7
Hershey 58:8	68:13 71:18 73:3	households 197:9	I	impact 15:5,10
hesitate 94:14	73:4 134:4 198:8	Houston 4:21	ICARDA 162:1	28:21 37:18 52:1
hi 131:9 249:20	215:11 217:1	Howard 2:13 3:6	icon 46:15	59:8,20 63:5
HICD 35:20 36:12	230:3	45:11 48:9 62:22	ICRISAT 162:1	65:17,22 66:17,17
41:13,21 42:4,8	holders 11:3 27:11	100:12 101:6	195:6	73:3 82:6,8,19
107:20 109:13	104:14 159:5	125:3,6 127:7,10	ICT 116:15,17	83:15 94:6 98:9
112:3 113:6	205:4 228:9	127:14 134:17	128:12	104:18 108:14
130:22 133:12,18	holding 113:22	135:10,14,18,20	ID 184:7	110:3 171:13,21
134:6,22 135:7,7	holds 79:11 285:21	136:1,10 137:15	idea 106:17 122:4	170.5 171.13,21
134.6,22 133.7,7	Holeman 1:13	138:16 139:4	137:22 148:8	172.3 170.13
high 76:17 112:5	holistic 81:12	145:6 185:14	158:21 210:3	197:8 207:9
192:6 217:20	home 125:16	208:20	261:20 265:15	210:11 227:1
higher 3:21 6:15	Honduras 94:11	hub 225:4,7,7,21	269:11 274:8,12	231:7 274:21
16:14 37:4,10	honest 158:17	Hubert 46:9	275:20 280:5,6	275:12,19 285:3
44:22 95:2 114:11	honor 13:11	hubs 198:3 223:15	ideal 260:13	287:18
125:11 168:6	hook 201:19,21	223:16,17,21	ideally 250:22	impacted 150:20
231:22 233:12	hope 36:13 67:9	224:20,21 225:3,6	ideas 30:7 41:9	impacts 31:13 40:9
234:8,20 235:6	83:18 125:2	huge 115:12 116:2	42:22 43:21 44:5	66:21 67:2 102:3
254.8,20 233.0	203:18 254:5	116:2 138:12	44:12 45:3 104:12	110:11 111:3
	260:7 281:11	171:21 186:8	104:21 257:21	133:17 172:3
256:18 260:1 281:1 285:7	hoped 25:19	202:19 203:21	260:15 270:13,18	209:17 223:3
	hopeful 79:16,18	202:19 203:21 221:17 230:21,22	271:2	implement 11:1
highest 49:2 70:10 80:14	211:9,13	253:1	identification	56:12 144:2 149:8
highlands 194:9	hopefully 13:7	hugely 194:21	177:20,22 178:6,7	151:3 176:12
highlight 77:12	62:12 72:4 207:21	human 7:4 8:21	178:8	implementation
mgmgm //.12 	02.12 /2.4 20/.21	Hullian 7.4 0.41	1,0.0	mpicmentation
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

			I	L
37:13 100:15,22	167:7	increases 64:7 65:1	influenced 93:9	insect 177:14
122:12 143:9	impressive 159:19	70:2 71:19 74:9	influencing 119:13	inside 188:5 245:12
146:18 147:1,3	175:19 183:3	74:18 94:19,20	197:13	249:13
150:10 151:4	185:3	increasing 109:7	inform 129:11	insight 165:19
158:20 190:13	improve 40:20	incredible 186:5	244:1 245:1	212:16 221:13
286:5	50:11 53:16 58:5	192:14 199:3	informal 134:3	insisted 168:14
implementations	65:14 159:11	incredibly 198:5	information 62:9	inspiring 283:15
27:13	improved 78:1	200:8 204:7,12	111:1 166:13	instance 98:10
implemented	105:16 199:20	216:14	176:4 213:1 242:1	institute 96:17
121:21 154:18	improvements	independent 26:18	242:9 244:19	157:22 167:17
implementing 91:5	160:5	index 61:2,18 62:6	informative 268:6	175:18 194:22
143:11 187:11	improves 52:11	65:20 94:9	infrastructure	institution 10:18
implications 29:3	improving 48:19	India 32:16 108:14	116:14 169:12	109:9 111:12
implies 112:10	58:14 80:11	109:11 110:4	219:1	113:15 125:16
importance 42:4,8	inaugural 55:14	141:7 171:10	ingredient 157:2	138:13 246:7
60:3,5,11 66:3	incentive 67:19	173:18,19 184:12	initial 104:4,21	281:20
67:16 103:20	inception 3:7,10	196:2 224:17	initially 224:9	institutional 7:5
109:21 121:8	24:22 140:9 141:3	225:5 282:17	initiative 27:5	36:3 40:22 41:18
165:9 167:6	142:4 143:2 145:4	Indian 172:17	28:17 29:4 41:12	50:10,16 62:17
278:10	155:11 157:14	174:2 197:14	41:15 48:15 50:21	96:19 104:6
important 11:16	183:16 185:13	indicate 18:19	58:5 96:12 97:7	105:12 125:19
23:9,20 29:19	288:11	35:18	100:17 217:4	149:13 154:3
30:20,20 32:4	include 169:21	indicated 11:10	initiatives 24:17	191:7 240:12
34:5 35:19 36:3	included 13:15	19:14 28:12	29:15 97:9 150:19	institutionally-or
37:11 38:6 62:16	17:2 164:4 169:17	indicates 18:16	153:17 212:10	281:15
62:21 102:10	253:20	indicators 59:6,11	250:4 284:15	institutions 11:5,15
103:12 112:15	includes 58:8	59:16,20 65:18	injecting 31:1	62:6 102:9 103:18
124:6 126:10,12	127:12	82:5	injera 157:3	105:20 109:9
128:17 129:7,8	including 27:13	individual 13:10	innovation 59:21	112:14 114:10,11
132:9 139:14	49:20,21 114:11	24:12 27:10	85:21 99:5,22	124:9 146:3,4,14
146:8 149:17	127:6 146:14	113:11,14 115:1	101:2 118:20	147:9,10,19 153:6
152:10 157:4	152:3	123:8 161:1,6	119:5 120:3,7,19	154:21 155:3
158:1 165:14	income 65:1 70:2	250:1	130:5 138:10	161:2 237:16
171:1 180:9 190:5	74:18 94:20 95:11	individuals 13:1	190:12 209:5	242:22 247:22,22
192:3 194:21	191:10	18:22 26:5,21	220:14	252:22 256:18,21
198:5,8 204:7,13	incomes 38:3 65:14	46:1 102:8 103:17	innovations 66:5	257:3
205:6 209:13	71:20 74:10 95:1	112:13 142:18	173:15,16 280:14	institution-buildi
213:19 214:1	95:2	152:12 154:5	innovative 59:14	285:11
216:14,21 217:15	incorporated	251:2 272:7	66:15 113:20	instructor 148:20
227:8 241:5 268:1	212:18	274:14	125:11 213:2	integrate 38:6 39:1
274:22 275:12	increase 53:14,21	industry 166:4	285:21	66:10 118:13
278:11,22 285:15	111:11 128:12	167:7,12 199:9	innovatively 116:3	130:7 162:7
286:10	177:3	inevitable 86:17	innovators 118:22	168:22 199:14
imports 73:11	increased 52:9 87:9	infancy 116:18	input 17:5,15 28:15	integrated 33:6
impossible 102:18	87:14,19 88:9	Infarmer 128:12	162:19 222:21	81:7
impressed 69:4	112:17 160:4	influence 31:9	283:6	integrating 66:4,7
158:16 162:12	191:1	184:15,19	inputs 14:2 149:16	67:5 75:12 77:1
	•	•	•	·

			l	
integration 41:16	15:2 20:19 26:20	282:1	in-country 145:22	items 6:22
67:7	27:14 32:14 46:16	investments 1:22	Iowa 12:4 177:6	iterate 271:12,12
intellectual 171:11	71:11 73:1 177:12	4:21 68:16 101:17	IPDM 178:10	ITM 92:8
237:14	177:16 186:14	104:5,8,10,18	IPM 171:16 172:10	IWMI 162:2
intended 66:10	188:16 195:4	105:1 108:11,13	174:12 176:2	т
72:10 104:12	196:4 216:19	108:15 109:12,13	177:16 283:5	<u>J</u>
intense 214:14	236:15 287:2	110:1,15,18 111:2	IPM/CRSP 3:13	Jacksonville 46:11
intensification	internationally	111:11 112:1,12	141:7 173:8	January 8:16 25:22
82:16 159:13	37:21	114:10,13 117:11	175:17,20 178:11	186:21 247:4,4
169:7 190:16,21	interpreted 16:11	120:1 121:3,11,14	282:15,17,22	Jeff 206:8
192:21 201:6	Interrupt 7:18	128:3 129:8 130:8	Iqbal 46:13	Jerry 186:2 192:12
205:4 214:5	intervention 59:9	130:14,19 131:17	irrigated 196:14	195:15
intention 104:2	61:20 66:22 67:3	132:6,8 133:17	irrigation 204:22	Jerry's 186:4
257:8	interventions 40:1	136:8,13 137:17	205:8	192:22
Intentions 93:14	117:6	138:2,22 190:3	isolated 78:9	Jim 206:8
interact 84:4	interviewing 27:9	205:14 222:6	isolation 196:10	Jo 13:15 141:10,12
126:13	interviews 27:11	224:11 227:2	issue 30:1 32:16	288:1
interaction 5:15	Inter-American	281:8 282:7	39:12 42:19 43:3	job 57:15 64:10
6:20 28:14 140:11	76:14	investors 106:22	43:4 48:9 51:2	75:12 107:16
interactions 164:18	introduce 4:11	invigorating	93:4 191:9,11,13	John 2:7 170:16
interacts 207:12	26:4 48:8 103:19	145:12	196:8 204:18	188:14 215:21
interdisciplinary	114:16	invitation 47:6	205:18 215:15	217:10 221:16
36:20	introducing 23:21	invitations 80:4	221:17 240:4	222:1 227:10
interest 28:19 50:7	introduction 3:2	invite 202:2 245:14	272:8 274:18	229:4 231:18
109:20 192:18	176:22 234:18	245:14,16 253:8,9	287:12	283:11,13 284:6
237:6 251:6,11	INTSORMIL	272:13	issued 54:9 125:8	join 288:6
252:15 269:7	188:17 195:7	invited 47:2 159:3	issues 7:21 15:7	joint 75:10 76:2
interested 71:13,22	invest 62:14 63:15	259:2 262:11	33:10 34:10,20	134:2
126:22 192:20	67:19 105:11	inviting 49:17	36:2 38:12 42:20	jointly 269:6
203:2 212:3	107:2,13 223:2	involve 162:14	43:16 53:16 78:5	Jones 2:15 3:22
237:20 242:3	278:16	244:13,22 259:19	79:17 80:16 81:9	26:6 231:21 233:6
262:18	investing 106:15	involved 24:21	90:14 92:10 98:22	233:13 234:9,11
interesting 25:1	117:12 121:4	27:12 32:20 143:8	140:11 146:20	248:18 249:1,4,13
95:8 106:17	260:6 279:22	146:3 147:3,9,13	148:1 160:14	249:18,22 250:7
113:16 148:3	investment 54:19	149:13 150:2	182:1 203:20	250:14 252:2,4,8
149:10 163:20	70:22 71:1,4,5	151:5,15 152:3,5	208:8 211:16	252:12 253:10
165:2 175:2	72:11,22 91:21	179:16 181:20	212:13,14 214:20	254:13,20 255:2
209:21 242:15	103:3 104:22	182:22 185:10,16	218:18 226:18	255:16,20 256:1
270:16	105:7 106:20	189:4 192:17	230:17 233:8	257:1,4,7,10,22
interests 219:17	107:20 108:4	195:11 197:20	264:4 265:10	258:5,8,12,15,20
interface 16:13	111:19 112:17	198:1,20 262:12	266:9 275:4,4	262:5,9,17 263:8
42:21 44:21	114:19 117:8	involvement	278:9 286:21	264:12 285:16
265:22 287:4	120:13 121:11	144:18 152:9,14	287:10	Josette 183:1
interfacing 276:8	123:3 131:7 132:2	153:1	Italy 51:1	Journal 49:6
internally 261:16	133:4 135:7,15,20	involves 147:18	item 10:17 11:20	Julie 2:13 3:6 45:11
international 1:1,4	184:17 219:6	194:17 195:6	23:9,12,19,20	47:16 48:9 50:14
4:6 14:17,19 15:1	279:7 281:16	involving 180:3	39:9 43:18 45:22	62:19,22 83:1
	•	•	•	•

100 10 101 5	02 20 05 0 00 15	110 10 110 5	210 21 220 1 4 4	170.2
100:12 101:5	92:20 95:9 98:15	112:12 113:5	219:21 220:1,4,4	178:3
134:12 137:7	98:22 119:6	115:14,17,19,19	221:7,11 222:11	labs 149:1
138:9 140:3 145:5	122:16 130:16	116:16,20 117:10	225:19 226:13	lack 71:1 235:18
185:13 189:21	149:12,14 153:20	117:15,16 118:4,5	227:3 229:12,13	lacking 179:18
207:16 208:16,19	158:18 169:20	118:12,19 119:2,4	229:19,20,21,22	laid 130:6 187:10
279:11	185:20 187:13	119:4,6,11,17,17	230:19,21 231:4,4	land 2:8 67:16 68:1
Julie's 281:10	195:17 210:5	119:22 120:3,5	231:7 232:1	68:4,7,9,12,14
July 25:20 47:18	213:3 217:13,20	121:17,19,20	234:12 235:3,19	69:9,17,19,21
134:6 242:19	219:11,20 221:1,7	122:17 123:8,15	235:21 236:9,21	92:5 108:2 119:18
246:18	222:3 226:11,22	123:21 124:4,7	238:14 239:11,20	126:1
jump 190:11	227:22 235:9	125:15,15 126:9	240:11 241:3,14	landscape 214:19
jumped 46:14	239:1,22 240:20	128:13,20 129:5	241:22 242:4	235:20 279:15
June 19:14 28:1,3,7	243:3 245:21	129:12 130:7,10	243:13 247:7,10	language 84:11
187:3	247:6 251:2 252:6	130:12,13,15,17	248:21 250:17	large 7:19 71:17
Justar 269:16	263:7 267:8,9	130:18 131:2,3,3	254:14 256:6,20	146:22 164:2
J-PAL 93:11	273:8 287:18,18	131:4,10,12,19,21	258:21 259:1,2,2	230:12 231:5
	287:20	132:4,7,7,9,10,13	260:14,17 261:1,8	236:4 252:21,22
<u>K</u>	kinds 9:3,16 40:1	132:17 133:7,15	262:2 264:6,7,13	larger 78:18
Karen 26:18	43:5 48:1 51:17	133:16 135:2,12	264:14,19 267:15	133:13 269:13
keep 5:16 36:13	73:13 90:7 91:4	136:1,3,6,21	269:12 271:1,1,7	largest 64:14 98:15
40:11 80:16 85:9	91:12,15 96:7	137:6,19,20 138:1	275:7,19 279:4	98:16
168:3 234:13	99:8 106:5,5	139:13,15,16,18	280:4,19 281:7	large-scale 205:2
262:12,13,13,14	116:13 138:21	139:20,20,22	285:4 286:21	Larry 2:3 145:18
keeping 139:22	148:17 155:18,20	154:7 155:22	287:13	188:21 252:17
159:8	161:8,10 164:11	156:6,21 159:21	knowing 210:6,8	lastly 107:11
Kenya 76:18 88:6	164:17 182:1	160:2 161:6 162:8	knowledge 66:1	lasts 53:17
90:16	200:2 243:17	162:15 163:8,10	166:18 172:10	late 110:7 111:20
key 36:7 81:13	254:2 261:22	163:21 164:2,5,10	194:6 200:5 236:2	latest 53:1 234:7
105:3 109:22	264:1 281:22	164:17 165:13,16	241:19	late-comer 102:14
114:10 134:7	king 135:11	165:22 166:21	knowledgeable	late-comers 103:2
137:8 147:22	knew 69:10 239:19	167:5 170:3	172:22	Latin 106:17
186:10,11 191:9	know 5:21 8:8	173:13,20 175:3	known 78:10	107:22 255:7
191:10 218:6	20:10 22:19 29:19	175:14 180:3,6,21	knows 63:14 67:17	launched 50:22
228:7 260:18	30:11 32:5 35:18	181:19 182:15	73:9 165:11	112:2 208:5
274:11 276:1,7	35:20 39:16 43:3	184:21 185:22	214:21	launching 61:2
284:14	44:14 45:4 50:17	186:4 192:10	Kraft 58:8	lay 54:22
keys 167:9	50:19 51:2 54:16	197:2 200:7 201:3	Kramer-LeBlanc	layers 115:5
kick 145:7	60:15 64:6 70:16	201:8 202:18	26:17	lead 126:2,3 128:14
kickoff 145:5	77:22 79:11 83:18	204:7,10 205:9	ky 284:15	188:12,18 202:12
Kikwete 70:9,12	89:21 94:14 95:8	206:3 209:3,5,10		215:22
killing 90:20	101:7 104:18	209:11,13,14,15	L	leader 72:2 211:2
Kilombero 71:7	105:22 106:14,21	209:19,21 210:7	lab 93:12 203:16	leaders 104:1
kind 28:17 31:17	107:2,4,12 108:6	210:14,16 211:3	236:19	113:11 145:15
31:20 33:22 40:5	108:11,14,18	212:22 215:6	labor 60:9 180:17	208:4
57:2 58:10,17	109:3 110:2,9,10	216:18 217:19,19	laboratories	leadership 9:10
61:7 63:8 71:21	110:11,11,13,18	218:11,21 219:2,6	148:11	10:14 22:18 33:13
84:21 90:14 91:4	111:7,16 112:6,11	219:15,16,17,18	laboratory 66:20	34:4 56:20 62:15
	111,10 112.0,11	=12.12,10,17,10		323.23 32.13
	ı		I	ı

	1	1	Ī	I
70:10 72:15 79:1	levels 53:19 74:8	29:12 31:12 32:9	154:4	loss 88:20 219:3,3
84:9 113:21	86:7 105:19	72:19 85:20 88:15	longevity 175:1	losses 217:16
122:19 128:15	111:19 115:1	90:8 100:1 104:17	longstanding 51:3	218:21 229:7,10
135:9 184:8	118:14 153:20	110:3 129:18,19	51:10 71:2	231:1
185:15 186:5	155:2 183:13	138:19 167:10	long-term 75:13,22	lost 261:2
leading 26:8 114:3	231:5 246:14	186:18 192:7,22	77:2 108:7 123:18	lot 23:5,5 25:6,12
185:1 234:7 268:3	250:13	194:13 197:7	123:20 125:9,18	30:11 32:5 35:3
leads 48:14 100:15	leverage 25:11	213:14,17 223:4	126:22 152:13	35:10 36:1 37:8
101:1 195:21	40:14 199:3	229:6 236:17	169:18 223:4	39:22 40:16,20
leaf 141:14 178:8	218:16 237:14	247:21 248:21	275:19 278:10,17	43:6 44:10 48:5
learn 35:12 121:22	241:18	249:1,5,10,14	280:10 282:1	54:4 58:22 66:4
126:15 179:14	leveraging 199:5	251:22 255:2	285:5	67:15,21 72:4
learned 79:6	202:18 227:1	256:13 280:4	look 7:11 24:11	74:21 75:17 77:6
learning 2:16 44:22	237:7	live 164:9 169:19	27:3 29:18 33:5	77:14 79:22 87:19
66:1 82:10 94:7	Lewis 183:1	livelihood 33:10	39:9 53:9 66:11	91:2,17 93:15
95:7 127:20	life 36:19 37:19	livelihoods 78:6	67:1,14 88:5	95:13 117:13
150:15	126:16 248:22	lives 46:11 63:6	102:19 113:12	128:18 139:13,13
lease 69:14	lifestyle 38:5	75:7,20 242:17	114:19 118:10	152:15 154:12
leased 69:11,15	lift 51:22	livestock 77:15	150:9 151:8 155:8	155:22 156:9,10
leave 159:21	lifting 52:13	79:4 159:12 167:6	156:8 180:12	159:20 161:9
led 8:4 10:19 54:18	limit 39:4 239:18	167:12 169:8	182:2 193:1	170:4 177:6 185:1
114:1 226:4	limited 172:6	191:9,11 197:1	200:16 201:5	185:14,15 186:10
left 119:16 122:8	181:14	200:2,21 203:3	210:15 216:12	194:13 196:22
154:9 216:2	Lincoln 46:12	229:10	217:14 218:17	197:8 199:9 204:5
legislation 24:10	Lindt 58:9	LLC 1:22	220:16,22 221:2	204:11 205:19,20
legislative 274:11	line 12:19 18:8,9,16	local 57:11 112:9	229:15 230:19	215:5 217:2,6
286:9	18:19 19:11,13	112:11 172:18	261:18 288:3	219:5 228:13,17
legume 218:8	20:8,16 22:2,5,9	195:2,11,12	looked 86:9 87:3,4	229:2,11 230:2
legumes 188:22	27:5 198:11	197:22 225:12	90:11 143:17	231:8,8 238:17
189:3,15 197:1,2	lines 264:16	280:5,16	looking 23:14 25:9	240:1 248:7
200:19 216:22	link 21:1 225:22	locally 158:11	29:17 34:9 41:21	249:20 252:14
lending 244:16	linkage 25:2 285:9	locally-produced	49:12 56:14 57:10	253:15 258:11,12
length 29:22	linkages 20:17 78:2	197:16	59:20 61:10,15	259:13 262:1
lessons 79:6 183:14	79:4 98:6 117:18	located 166:6	64:10 66:20 81:12	263:20 267:5
let's 16:6 25:9	145:2 206:15	logistical 22:22	91:5,9 100:10	280:14 285:9
134:13 140:15	218:11 225:18	logistically 6:7	118:21 122:22	lots 67:22 90:19
182:10 223:5	250:3 260:12	logistics 240:2	124:22 196:10	136:20 159:8
258:4,10	linking 130:13	long 39:21 40:2	197:6 206:12	170:4 188:21
level 13:12,13 30:5	links 119:17	60:12 90:9 119:3	213:11 222:20,21	195:9 244:6
55:18 63:3,5	lip 169:4	188:17 222:13	232:1 243:1 246:3	Lounge 1:14
70:11 76:17 80:14	list 34:12 88:7	longer 65:21	260:22 261:3	love 156:14
87:2 91:10 94:4	157:18 195:14,16	107:16 155:6	285:13,19 287:17	low 111:19 253:22
95:7 133:8 135:18	270:7	162:15 175:1	looks 60:4 261:13	lower 38:3 95:1
154:18 167:22	literally 110:9	193:14 214:15	262:2	Luck 13:15 141:10
172:10 214:18,19	literature 60:4 92:6	218:17 275:14,17	loop 121:18	141:12 288:1
220:22 221:4,18	93:10 186:6	281:14	loose 210:3	lunch 168:12,13
253:7 267:4	little 19:7 23:6	longer-term 76:8	losing 219:5	231:20 232:5

234:12	manages 188:17	materials 116:12	114:7 211:3 264:8	283:5
L'Aquila 51:1	managing 137:5	233:16,21	mechanisms 16:21	men 61:16 96:20
53:17 208:3	188:15	math 257:19	media 172:19	mention 73:6 78:7
209:15	mandate 113:2	matter 111:2	176:5	79:9 195:20
	mandated 113:11	matters 287:19	medical 36:17 37:3	201:12 216:11
\mathbf{M}	Mandefro 165:18	Max 202:22	38:16 141:13	mentioned 113:5
main 108:20	manifestation 32:2	maximum 28:15,20	meet 27:6 53:4	135:8 142:18
156:18 157:2	manifested 158:22	Maxwell 1:18	174:2 177:4 214:9	147:9 174:9 175:3
159:7 161:17	manner 10:1	McGovern 47:17	meeting 1:6 4:5	191:2 204:18
193:3,11 220:12	114:14	48:3	12:4 18:14 24:5	207:13 216:2
269:3	manual 178:10	McVEY 1:21,21	30:1 31:18 45:6	222:11 223:20
mainstream 281:7	man-made 86:17	3:14 4:20,20,20	92:8 132:4 141:19	224:1 226:15
mainstreamed	map 157:9 260:16	16:15,20 20:7,12	143:21 172:15,16	mentioning 199:12
138:13	March 245:7	88:12 91:19 92:7	175:17 179:7	mentoring 152:22
maintain 152:13	Mark 13:17	93:3 141:6 170:3	189:4 232:4 270:3	Meridian 220:16
208:7	market 64:10	170:8 277:3,7,15	271:16 272:6,8,11	message 276:6
maintains 152:18	65:13 71:9 78:2	282:16 283:1,17	272:17,21 282:21	messages 150:16
maize 156:19	79:4 160:4 179:18	284:3	283:5,6,12,13	274:3
major 32:11,17	181:16 191:5	meal 47:20	284:16 288:15	met 1:13 27:9
43:1 97:7,9	193:5 219:4	meals 157:4	meetings 3:13	145:11 150:4
107:12 150:18	228:10	mealybug 171:20	29:13 72:16 82:14	174:6 187:9 278:3
178:5 190:3	marketing 58:12	172:3 174:4 178:6	141:7 142:5 269:2	278:8
287:14	193:10	mean 25:12 35:13	277:8	methods 178:2
majority 97:21	markets 57:18 64:9	60:14 62:10 63:4	mega 122:16	mic 49:18 215:13
making 10:9 20:20	213:19 220:14	66:16 84:14 93:5	mega-platform	Michigan 147:18
28:19 35:5,11	Mark's 184:7	105:13 107:9	123:6	206:9
38:5 52:14 76:9	Mars 58:9	108:12 109:5	Melinda 203:14	micro 151:8
99:18 110:1	marshall 8:20	110:4 115:10,12	melon 176:16	microcosm 219:20
116:12 121:3	Marty 1:21 3:14	125:7 133:22	member 6:14	mid 19:5
127:2 141:20	4:20 88:11 92:7	134:22 136:18	141:11 158:20	middle 42:10 119:9
142:19 156:10	141:6 170:3 181:2	137:16,17 138:17	257:15	122:2 208:12
186:8 187:16	282:16 283:15	139:1,11 201:8,20	members 4:11 7:17	213:15 255:6
198:16 209:9	288:6	210:2 216:7	13:20 15:18 17:5	milling 193:10
218:11 255:13	mashed 5:19	219:12 223:16,19	42:3 43:19 70:17	million 53:12 56:1
280:19	mass 176:5	229:11 254:22	84:3 101:7 134:13	56:6 78:13,18
Malcolm 2:8 6:3,4	Massachusetts	270:11 271:19	141:9 153:4	97:20 98:3 123:3
44:9 127:2 288:7	233:15	280:10	170:22 171:2	127:13 135:13
Malcolm's 260:4	masses 180:7	means 30:17 61:9	173:6 180:10	144:21 180:15
Mali 126:19 195:8	massive 108:3	67:11 77:20	212:7 223:6	200:5 246:6,7,9
malnutrition 59:12	master 96:18	137:13	262:15 266:3	258:14
Malta 141:19 189:7	master's 166:22	meant 74:18 114:7	274:4,22 277:9	mind 128:20
manage 126:5	167:22	124:13 228:1	285:16,17 287:21	170:10 266:12
280:17	master's-level	MEAS 128:7	memoirs 46:20	268:14 271:9
managed 55:21	148:6	measure 60:18	memories 48:5	minds 207:18
management 78:1	match 47:16	74:13 82:6 179:4	memory 146:11	mine 68:15
81:10 91:8,10,17	matching 137:10	meat 167:13	Memphis 92:8	minimal 254:6
122:12 177:14	material 49:8	mechanism 15:9	179:6 282:21	minimize 159:3

				ĺ
minister 49:2	173:6 177:9 190:3	82:4	mulching 148:17	nations 15:8
145:10 157:21	204:6 212:19	month 32:22 61:4	multi 122:9	natural 15:7 36:19
161:19 165:17	224:15,15 227:16	69:2 105:5 189:11	multidimensional	81:9 88:21 91:8
168:8	227:17 228:13	189:11	21:20	91:10,17 160:12
ministerial 113:10	279:19 281:12	months 105:5	multidisciplinary	192:4 214:20
ministers 103:9,9	282:3	176:11 187:15	237:18 238:1	naturally 267:12
110:20	mission-funded	235:5	multinational	nature 19:8 36:20
ministries 105:21	195:3	Moon 2:10 195:21	152:3	43:9,12 213:11
108:1 131:11	mission-led 218:4	197:20 215:13	multiple 115:1,4,5	214:2 287:8,9
Ministry 166:8	218:19	217:11 228:21	129:5	nearly 277:21
168:9	Missouri 5:6 46:13	268:21	multistoried	Nebraska 205:16
Minnesota 26:7,19	275:3	Moon's 226:8	276:19	necessarily 32:7
27:7	MIT 93:12,12	morning 4:14 6:10	multitude 169:1	90:1 93:19 94:3
minority 10:18	233:16 236:13	6:19 24:20 29:6	Muni 2:18 170:18	139:15 180:4
11:14	mitigate 91:18	101:11 102:1	201:1 283:2,14	204:10 216:6
minute 122:7	mix 31:1 88:10	138:8 161:15	Munibug 171:21	242:4 243:12
215:14	246:14 267:13,22	186:17 187:18	Murano 1:22 3:11	245:3 268:3
minutes 30:6 52:18	mixed 159:12	188:1 189:22	4:22,22 8:4,13	necessary 45:8
102:12 124:18	222:13,14	191:2 200:11	22:6 30:10 33:20	59:2 107:16
140:16,19 142:12	model 9:20 25:6	201:13 213:22	39:14 134:20	124:16
182:8 265:5,9,13	168:4 256:3	228:17 279:10	135:12,16,19,22	need 9:21 19:6
misleading 138:19	modern 178:1	280:12 281:9	136:9,17 138:3	24:11 30:16 32:7
missed 143:15	modernizing	286:18	141:4 155:10,12	36:10,21 37:8,17
286:13	127:22 128:8	Morogoro 147:17	213:12 248:19	38:22 40:9 45:12
missing 44:13	modifications	mother 200:17	249:3,12 251:14	78:14 86:4,6
51:15 196:18	28:20	motion 15:22 16:3	252:3,7 254:16,21	93:16 107:4
mission 42:5 45:2	modified 89:18	22:1,1,5,7	music 188:3	110:16 113:8
47:18 64:9 68:4	modifier 89:17	mouthful 177:18	MYAPs 198:4	116:10 124:7
96:5,14 97:2,8	90:5	move 11:18 13:8	mycotoxins 219:22	130:3 137:10,16
98:11 99:1 122:14	Moines 12:4 187:9	14:15 19:11 22:20		151:15 152:21,22
127:19 130:14	273:4,4	23:6,10,19 28:18	myriad 31:13	153:7,19 154:20
136:8,12 138:1	molecular 178:1	36:21 43:17 45:9	M-Y-A-P-S 198:4	164:4,7 165:5,8
143:19,22 144:21	213:4	70:11 85:14 97:8		166:12,20 168:16
145:15 151:20	moment 4:10 6:2	100:9 112:8 155:7	N	169:12,16,21
155:6 158:10	21:12 37:15 45:10	160:20 181:9	Nairobi 76:12	179:10 201:6
159:9 163:15	48:8 84:2 96:2	207:22 261:7	name 4:15,15	209:16 210:9,10
164:15,19 169:17	144:6 181:10	281:14 285:22	164:17	210:16 212:20
171:6 172:20,21	moments 223:11	moved 27:2 284:17	names 270:7	225:22 226:12
173:9,13 174:7,11	Monday 247:9	moving 7:18 61:19	narrative 42:7	243:8 250:15
178:18,21 179:16	277:5	130:1 184:5 185:9	NARS 188:11	257:22 268:4
198:17 225:1	money 52:18 63:11	198:6 277:22	national 1:14 94:3	277:21 280:10,22
278:4,8,20 279:13	69:12 86:5 98:11	286:6	95:7 96:16 101:3	285:5
279:22	127:8,10 278:16	Mozambique	108:9 147:11	needed 28:20
missions 28:22	monitored 148:20	126:18	186:14 195:7	105:16 106:3
97:22 121:6,7,12	monitoring 58:19	Mozena 177:5	196:2 225:11	153:21 163:14,14
122:4,8,13 131:3	58:20 59:5 60:1	MSI 10:21	252:15 256:4	163:18 284:9
131:22 143:7	60:19 65:20 74:13	mulberry 171:22	287:2	needing 106:4
131.44 143.7	00.17 03.20 /4.13	muiverry 1/1.22		necumg 100.4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

needs 6:1 8:22	223:15,21 224:20	74:14,16 75:15	obvious 151:7	105:8 107:18
87:18 113:14,15	225:4 230:9 234:3	84:20 116:8	154:13	111:8,21 114:15
121:6 122:9	237:13 239:4	123:16 128:14	obviously 30:13	117:1,9 118:19
133:15 154:2,13	241:12 250:4	132:12 148:10	40:22 74:22 91:13	120:20 123:4
160:8 161:2	253:14 261:8	149:20 150:18	136:18 157:21	120.20 123.4
163:22 165:19	news 154:8 286:17		159:6 169:2	
		164:19 241:16,17		125:6 127:7,14
169:8 179:21	newspapers 172:18	242:21 245:17,20	173:20 185:15	128:6 129:4,16
180:6 222:19 228:22	next-to-last 129:17	249:19 252:21,22	211:7 213:18,22	132:22 133:21
	NGO 146:2 198:4 NGOs 35:9 57:10	258:7,7 263:15	260:2 occasion 261:18	134:9 139:22
neglected 124:1		numbers 64:18		140:2,5,17 213:16
nematodes 174:22	60:2 158:7 239:6	110:4 135:11	occur 24:13 260:10	215:14,21 223:13
Nepal 141:7 174:6	243:20	255:4 282:5	occurred 37:3	248:18 252:3,7,10
196:3 198:3	nice 59:21 168:13	nutrition 30:2 31:1	213:13	257:9 262:19
204:14 224:18	nicely 101:13	31:6 33:8 38:7,15	occurring 25:2	264:3,22 265:20
226:4 282:17	niche 34:3 231:13	41:12 53:16 66:4	153:9	268:8 270:10
Nestle 58:9	NIFA 199:6	66:10 67:3,5	occurs 260:11	273:9,15 276:17
net 79:2	night 70:20 226:16	79:19 80:11 81:8	October 18:13,14	277:6
network 3:21 6:15	NIH 33:2 199:7	103:13 160:10	19:5	Oklahoma 26:13
21:15 177:17	233:18 261:21	191:10 192:3	Oehmke 206:8	okra 171:17
231:22 233:12	264:11	201:7 211:16	OFDA 75:4	old 6:12,22 10:17
234:9,21 235:7	nine 175:12 235:5	212:13 213:22	offense 200:7	11:20 46:19
238:8 241:12	noble 280:6	226:3,4 228:16	offer 13:21 83:12	once 97:6 208:1
242:11 243:16	nod 106:16	265:16 267:15	125:18 127:4	ones 70:1 158:3
244:1,20 248:4	nominations 18:18	nutritional 52:11	offered 13:5	166:3,9 244:3
250:17,18,19,22	19:1,13	66:21 67:1 201:18	offers 227:17	ongoing 73:11
251:1,6,13 256:19	nominees 242:18	nutritionists 201:9	office 2:5 44:9	256:21 259:19
257:16,18 259:17	non-communica	nuts 31:15	57:20 75:4,5 89:6	onion 171:17
263:19	34:15	nutshell 190:20	89:7 99:12,16,16	online 187:4
never 40:8 60:21	north 107:22	NW 1:14	99:17 206:7	open 16:6 30:3
75:16	174:15 286:19	0	220:13,14 225:8	41:16 43:20 44:5
nevertheless 38:4	note 5:8 247:19		234:3,6 235:5	44:15 45:3,20
new 1:7 5:10 6:12	253:6	Obama 208:4	261:18 276:19	47:6 126:21
9:10 23:12,20	noted 284:13	Obama's 48:14	officers 188:13	163:16 217:6
24:15 29:9,14	286:15	79:16	264:18	223:9,12 233:6
38:17 41:11,15	notes 170:9 181:14	objective 132:20	offices 84:12	239:12 248:16
57:4 59:14 73:20	216:12 245:7,17	235:10	officially 17:13	252:11,19 259:6
73:21 80:22 83:8	253:7 254:7 259:8	objectives 133:2,4	offtakes 78:4	259:16 273:18
101:1 106:2,5,5	notice 67:13 277:16	133:20 147:6	oh 5:20 200:14	277:2 282:9
113:1 114:17	noticed 92:5	237:11,19 263:21	215:21 243:5,6	opening 3:2 239:21
125:9 137:4	notion 154:1	observation 92:1	254:8,9	244:10
143:13 149:14	Notwithstanding	146:10 151:2	Ohio 147:17	operate 118:6,11
153:17 164:10	207:11	152:10	okay 12:14 14:13	216:12 260:14
174:13 198:3	November 278:6,7	observations 39:13	15:21 17:19 19:12	operational 145:18
199:13 202:8,13	NSA 264:11	150:22 178:14	21:22 22:17 23:8	opinions 163:17
203:15 206:4	NSF 199:8	181:15	42:18 46:2 48:6	170:14
212:16 213:6	number 24:8 27:10	observed 140:11	63:13 84:18 100:8	opportunities
219:17 222:15	38:20 58:21 68:5	observer 46:21	101:10,10 104:16	107:1 126:7
	•	•	•	•

132:19 148:5	254:19 269:15	249:11 272:6	236:14 237:12	109:6 173:15
160:5 226:1 229:3	outstanding 13:1	papaya 171:20,22	238:8,22 252:14	195:9 202:13
opportunity 6:18	out-of-the-box	174:4 178:6	275:11 287:11	251:8
13:20 15:10 80:6	222:3	paper 5:21 68:11	288:6	parts 5:14 10:3
140:10 174:1	overall 83:3 88:7	papers 161:9	particularly 20:18	23:1 147:14
178:16 179:1	90:13 97:17 98:3	parallel 271:20	29:1 33:14 36:8	pass 190:11,13
180:14 181:14	109:13 123:5	279:12	44:11 67:22 69:7	215:13 217:10
184:18 190:6	145:7 281:2,3	parameter 14:22	88:3,17 102:20	paste 161:9
201:11 217:3	overcome 70:22	parcels 69:9	105:1 107:21	pastureless 77:8,19
227:17 261:2	73:13 174:21	pardon 30:14	118:17 123:21	path 227:5
opposed 18:1 22:14	overlap 87:7	95:20	140:8 148:2 207:2	pathology 213:4
278:17	overlay 204:10	parity 61:13,15	220:1 227:9 267:4	Paul 2:19 3:4 45:11
opted 41:21	overpowering	park 135:12 138:1	285:10 286:22	46:7 47:10 48:8
options 129:12	274:16	part 48:7 55:5	288:12	48:11,21 49:11
order 18:22 21:2	overseas 48:22	59:22 60:19 61:14	parties 28:15 143:8	83:20 84:8 88:12
25:18 35:5 84:22	97:16,18,22	65:20 70:15 75:3	151:5	96:3 100:4 101:13
151:6 169:22	overseeing 48:17	75:18 81:2 85:4	partly 115:14	160:2 175:3
196:20 216:6	oversees 100:21	85:16,18 86:20	partner 70:13	189:21 191:2
265:12	oversight 98:13	90:11 100:9	103:22 109:17	192:1 199:19
organization 115:2	268:18	102:17 126:12	144:2 147:3	201:20 203:16
149:7	oversubscribed	128:17 143:15	210:20	228:16
organizational	236:11 237:1	166:2 190:15	partners 49:19	Paula 6:8
118:14	overview 27:18	213:15 230:18,18	55:14 57:5 63:19	Paul's 49:5 95:16
organizations	83:22 235:9	267:18	73:18 101:4,19	101:16
32:10 76:16 114:2	over-arching 57:2	partial 171:16	102:20,21 104:9	pause 129:16
145:22 152:4	58:17 81:5 163:22	participant 152:19	113:7 117:2	pay 163:3 212:12
157:19 198:18	Owens 2:17 6:4	participants 96:22	128:11 143:7	Peace 75:5 89:7
259:10,12	44:6 255:9,18,21	142:11 145:21	144:3 169:1 194:4	peanut 141:19
organize 104:5	256:16 257:2,5,9	148:12 150:5	194:5 196:1 198:8	188:18 189:7
organized 27:3	276:10 277:1,6,14	152:14 186:11	198:19 202:4	195:6 220:3 241:3
organizing 28:7	277:17	187:4	209:6 214:10	peanuts 188:20
142:10	ownership 24:6	participate 142:4	225:10,11,12	189:9
Orissa 225:5,20,21	68:10 71:12	143:19 171:5	242:12,13 243:21	pending 255:16,18
ought 44:1 267:18		285:18	250:19 251:1	256:11
280:7,7	<u> </u>	participated 33:1	256:14 260:18	Penn 148:15,21
outcome 278:17	pace 173:22 280:15	141:5,6,18 144:4	263:7 269:3 280:6	149:1 189:4
outcomes 52:11	package 207:8	150:15 159:18	280:16	people 20:19 23:21
outlined 212:10	packages 82:17	187:3	partnership 58:7	32:5 33:3,4 35:11
outlook 88:20	172:13 176:2	participating	58:10 64:16 72:5	36:11 38:1 39:18
129:14	packaging 193:10	143:18 150:7	72:9 125:14 147:8	40:21 44:8,10
outputs 149:16	page 18:9	162:22 283:6	155:2 173:16	50:17 51:9,22
outreach 6:14 37:9	paid 208:5	participation 76:17	189:19 197:8	52:14 54:16 59:3
outset 12:19	pain 209:2	152:6 187:7 188:9	210:21 220:14	59:7 62:12 63:13
outside 17:3 42:9	Pakistan 196:3	particular 69:22	244:9	64:9 67:18 74:15
65:11 167:14	198:10,17 204:15	70:9 186:2 197:5	partnerships 1:7	78:13,18 79:10
189:14 245:12	Pakistani 198:18	218:16 220:3	57:4,10,21 58:2	86:14,15,18 90:20
247:20 249:14	panel 127:5 181:11	227:9 235:11,15	70:7 81:17 82:2	94:18 103:11

106:21 110:11	personnel 27:15	143:4 144:13	127:18 133:3	140:1 197:12,13
115:18 146:7	164:6	146:19 169:11	139:5,6	206:3,4,6,7 234:2
156:2,3,3,11	perspective 35:8	172:8 174:15	play 27:1 203:17	278:20
150.2,5,5,11	93:1	209:1 241:7	played 58:19 152:7	policy-makers
159:3,17 160:22	perspectives	281:18	player 158:1 214:1	129:11 157:17
162:14,18,20	158:13 181:6	places 40:17 164:3	players 144:8	policy-oriented
163:5 164:18	pests 172:11	170:4 181:12	playing 126:2	32:15
165:5 166:8,15,16	177:14	204:13 205:2,5	plays 275:9,10	polite 156:2
180:15 182:21	PhD 1:18,19,21,22	229:2	plays 273.3,10 please 27:17	politely 106:16
183:13 185:2	2:2,7,13,15,18,22	placing 37:5	223:14	political 9:8 80:15
186:10,13 188:14	3:2,6,8,11,22	plan 87:5 131:5,6,7	pleased 23:10	polymer 233:13
189:4 194:7 197:4	phenomenal 80:5	136:15 140:12	123:22 125:7	pool 124:14 246:10
206:8 211:15	pheromone 176:15	158:15 159:10	158:5 189:10	246:13 247:17,19
217:6 236:1 238:5	phone 129:21	160:17 161:20	pleasure 61:2	poor 52:6,7 197:4
238:21 239:14,16	163:14	172:8 253:5	pledge 52:17 53:3,4	poorest 86:5
242:2,4,15 243:1	phones 73:21	255:21 273:3	53:8,17 63:10	populated 157:15
243:10,13,19	phonetic 34:15	planning 2:16 10:1	230:7	population 78:17
244:22 247:13	98:16 176:9	10:5 54:5 75:10	pledged 52:19	populations 77:8
249:7,9,21 250:20	269:16	75:12,22 76:2,8	plenty 45:17	77:10,19
252:21 253:18	photos 171:9	77:12 101:18	plug 227:18	portable 23:14
259:7,18 262:6,11	photos 171.5 physical 225:8	107:1 127:18	plus 13:16 208:13	portfolio 134:22
263:20 267:9,14	physically 8:20	143:10 144:9	Pohkara 174:14	135:4 137:7,10
267:22 269:20	physiological	151:16 158:21	point 6:2 14:10	217:21
275:16 279:6	229:13	169:17,18 189:14	24:10 30:5 38:22	portion 197:3
people's 63:6 247:6	Ph.D 96:18 167:22	207:18,19 212:11	39:8 63:21 103:6	portion 157.3
PEPFAR 35:7	233:13	221:12 269:1	117:16 119:10	position 72:21
percent 52:5 53:21	pick 87:1 106:2	283:13	146:6 153:1	positive 216:3
60:8,10 137:12	261:13 280:15	plans 54:11,15,19	166:11 194:3	274:8
180:16 247:20	286:15	54:20 55:4 88:16	198:12 206:1	possibility 270:18
254:18 259:3	picked 183:21	103:3 117:7,8	213:8 215:3 217:9	possible 44:21
perfectly 264:6	pickup 106:19	118:9 121:16	226:3 229:1	116:4 140:16
performance	picture 157:9 168:7	133:5 225:9	234:13,14 239:14	162:8 169:22
105:16 204:12	pictures 163:9	258:17	245:19 252:20	256:10 264:18
period 18:21 67:10	pie 167:2	plant 139:17	262:10 263:16	277:15
96:21 105:6	piece 51:15 68:11	177:16 196:15,21	268:15 269:19	possibly 126:12
133:16 214:14	131:13,14,16	planting 61:12	271:15 273:10	259:4
periods 6:17	179:20 192:3	plants 65:7 213:2	277:3 281:6	post 213:17 218:17
peripheral 163:4	242:2 243:18	plasma 203:12	pointed 278:13	229:16
permission 182:7	pieces 138:10	plastic 221:3	pointing 115:9	posted 20:22
permits 47:7	151:12	plate 109:1	points 7:17 158:13	postgraduate 148:4
persistent 48:20	pilot 94:13,21	platform 72:2	210:19 215:1	153:12
person 165:2 186:1	126:17 244:18	113:18 121:3	265:6 276:2,15	posting 31:17
personable 168:10	piloted 94:9	122:4,17,20	284:21	postpone 196:12
personal 28:5	place 9:11 18:20	123:12 128:2,4,7	policy 2:6,16 32:14	229:19
47:11 79:17	39:8 79:20 93:7	130:1,16 138:8	34:21,22 46:16,22	posts 48:22
personally 184:22	96:8 103:10	281:10	112:3 120:13	post-harvest 31:11
282:15	122:18 129:7	platforms 121:11	129:5 139:19	193:9 215:2,16
-		•		, - 0
	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	I	ı

	1		1	1
217:16 218:5,20	255:10 281:10	276:2	164:5 182:17,18	92:20
222:6 226:18,21	presentations	prioritize 211:10	182:22 183:4,9	program 12:1,17
227:2 229:7 231:1	207:1	211:15	184:4 187:16	14:21 15:14 24:2
post-secondary	presented 12:17	prioritizing 216:22	208:3 245:6,10,13	24:7 26:20 27:12
127:21	144:21 176:1	priority 67:12	245:16,18 246:21	45:18 48:7 54:18
potential 39:4 86:9	presenter 155:8	73:12 97:3 112:5	268:18 271:21	55:16 56:6 59:18
87:3 111:5 154:9	233:5	147:22 266:1	285:20	62:16 68:5 69:3
260:11 285:8,20	presidency 79:12	private 27:13 57:12	processes 143:13	70:4 74:3 79:2
287:3	president 1:21 4:16	57:16 58:13 64:14	148:18 208:3	86:21 88:22 89:16
potentially 213:10	5:10 26:7 48:14	70:22 71:4,6	263:16	96:11,15,16,19
246:3 259:9	52:19 63:9 70:9	72:10 73:1 106:12	processing 31:10	97:22 98:12,15
286:10	70:12 79:16 86:11	106:13,20 115:9	65:6 226:21	99:5 122:19 123:1
poverty 48:20 52:1	208:4	133:9,11,14 146:2	procurement 112:9	123:16 124:11,13
52:14 59:12 64:8	President's 50:20	150:1 152:2 155:5	235:2 264:16,17	124:17 125:10,17
71:2 86:8 93:12	53:3,7,10	180:1 181:19	procurement-sen	126:14,17,20
192:1	presiding 1:15	189:6 195:11	202:16	128:4 133:19
power 25:11	press 1:14 246:11	198:1 202:14,20	produce 64:12	139:2,22 173:19
237:14 244:16	275:4	203:1 225:12	produced 149:20	177:19 189:1,15
powerful 213:9	pressures 161:6	227:4 228:4	producer 71:6	189:16 191:20
PowerPoint 101:8	presuming 145:20	230:15 231:9,11	producers 119:9,10	193:3,14 194:7
134:16,19 216:12	pretty 19:19 124:2	239:7 243:21	119:11 120:8	195:8 197:19
practical 166:18	160:18 165:12	249:9	producing 71:8	199:11 203:10
167:1 220:5	181:18 213:15	privates 231:12	176:19	211:1 214:15
practices 118:11	222:16	privileged 252:20	product 71:13	221:17 223:20
121:19 281:13	prevent 51:17	Prize 12:4 18:15	162:17,21	224:7,8,13 236:8
precise 98:2	88:20 148:18	probably 23:6	production 31:10	265:11 267:8
precisely 82:6	previous 120:19	107:20 127:12	38:7,15 54:22	269:19 272:16,17
prefers 174:10	148:12	175:11 203:21	58:5 64:8 69:21	275:7 286:5
preliminary 94:12	pre-doctoral	222:17 228:13	129:15 156:17	programming 39:2
94:17	233:19	237:21 257:20	157:6,10 159:6,11	43:13 66:8,11
Premium 64:13	price 51:14 208:8	258:3 267:6 278:3	174:17 177:1	81:7 89:22 98:3
65:6	primarily 224:11	problem 90:16	193:4,9 206:16	147:14
preparations 250:6	primary 109:15	107:8,10,10 111:7	213:16 215:11	programs 15:5
preparatory 273:7	115:15 171:15	136:6 139:12	productivity 68:17	16:8 25:8 35:8
prepare 275:22	principal 54:5	230:18	74:10 191:3 196:9	37:16,18 49:22
prepared 69:5	79:20	problems 15:6 33:9	208:14 219:7	55:8,9,11 56:13
172:13	principally 81:8	73:11 117:3	products 167:13	59:19 60:17 66:22
presence 56:11	89:9	181:16 218:3	229:20	69:20 76:10 89:11
97:16 98:5	principle 54:10	219:1,2 230:22	profession 37:4	89:19 90:4,10
present 1:17 2:1	81:6,15 82:3	231:4 236:2	professional 115:8	91:5 96:5 98:20
158:6	90:14	237:17 238:22	152:22 153:8	101:1 103:16
presentation 18:13	principles 42:4	proceed 265:12	professionals 106:5	108:1 113:4,12
19:4 42:14 84:8	54:7,8,9 80:20	proceeding 140:21	107:6 124:15	116:1 122:6 123:9
88:13 95:17	82:1,21 118:5	process 32:3 84:21	professors 249:8	123:16 124:19
100:13 141:20	prior 79:18 272:4	85:11,19 86:3	profile 217:20	127:16 128:9,14
142:19 175:4	priorities 117:21	143:9 146:18	profits 175:9	131:13 133:18
231:21 233:4	173:13 208:17	151:5,13,16 152:6	profound 49:7	135:2,8 136:2
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
	ı	1	I	ı

138:14 142:7	prosperity 160:12	pursued 230:2	95:16,22 105:3	reaches 82:2
174:11 175:5	proud 57:3 58:18	pursued 230.2 push 70:7 76:21	129:22 134:14,21	reaching 262:13,14
	74:1	147:2	140:3 181:1,7	268:16
188:8,16,16,19 190:16 193:7	prove 69:17	pushed 199:21,22	212:8 241:10	reaction 181:10
194:14 196:2	provide 7:19 15:10			reactions 182:4
198:5 199:2 200:9	33:12 34:4 51:4	pushing 284:21	247:6 248:9,15	
203:9 211:20	55:20 56:17 98:13	put 8:18 14:4 18:20 26:2 32:11 33:3	252:9,11,16 258:21	read 14:9,12 49:5
214:6 215:6	121:13,16 140:10	57:20,22 66:12	quick 62:14 63:2	69:3 161:13 207:6 237:22
218:12 226:9	145:7 179:12	96:17 97:1 113:8	193:21 210:19	reading 56:1 93:10
240:21 241:1	253:6,7,11 254:6	119:10 151:19	214:16	ready 17:20 150:9
250:3 287:4	provided 8:19	182:18 191:21	quickly 6:11 85:7	153:15 224:17
progress 9:18 10:8	145:13 160:7	235:18 239:15	114:15 127:15	real 41:9 51:12
36:1,14 77:5 78:8	177:19 178:5	241:20 253:2	133:22 140:15	52:13 56:9 62:9
78:9 79:7 103:4	225:2	259:8 262:6 267:7	163:10	63:22 66:17 67:11
187:20	provides 21:15	272:16 273:22	quite 25:14 31:14	70:5 72:18 73:12
project 91:21	64:2 65:16	281:17	86:4 108:17 110:5	78:8 82:9 87:2
113:17 144:13	providing 75:6	puts 19:18	117:13 129:8,8	97:12 141:16
174:10 176:7,8	109:2 129:9 155:4	puts 19.18 putting 113:21	130:9 156:18	158:20 162:6
217:21 218:20	proxies 95:12	120:6 175:14	158:17 197:18	163:10 188:4
221:2 224:12,19	public 1:6,19 2:8	179:19 235:6	230:12	195:9 201:11
224:22 225:14,20	4:9 6:18 7:19	246:10		211:14 214:6
252:14	43:20 47:4 62:2	P-R-O-C-E-E-D	quote 52:6	215:3 227:13
- '	86:15 98:22 99:3	4:1	R	realities 164:9
projecting 183:14	152:2 197:22		radio 172:18	
projects 40:1 88:18 144:2 155:20	202:20 223:10	p.m 232:5,5 233:2 288:14	rail 216:17	reality 127:3 realized 77:3
	252:11 282:10	200:14	rain 90:18	247:10
161:19,21 162:3,4 162:5 167:11		0	raised 188:2	
168:3 169:1	public-private 57:21 70:6 173:14	quadruple 65:8	268:13	really 7:2 21:15 25:1,21 30:12
179:12,13,19	publish 42:1	qualify 31:6 172:3	raising 223:7	37:5,19 40:3
180:11,18 194:8	publish 42.1 pull 27:2 39:1	quality 37:19 58:6	Raj 24:4	44:16 47:12 49:15
195:3 218:4	75:21 151:10	58:14 59:19	randomized 93:16	
	211:2	115:21 116:1	RANGASWAMY	50:5,8,22 51:21 54:18 56:22 60:14
255:11,12,15	pulled 44:16 229:2		2:18	61:5,13 62:10
promise 88:1		quantity 58:6	RANGAWMAY	65:16 66:16 68:18
promote 243:17 244:3 264:1	pulse 165:13	quarantine 176:7	282:14 283:3,10	
promoted 49:1	pulses 188:22	quarterly 52:22	range 56:22 67:14	69:4 70:11,21 71:22 72:14 74:11
_	pumpkin 176:15 pun 30:14	querying 212:21	105:17 121:5	75:16 79:7,16,18
264:9,11 promoting 109:6	pun 30.14 purchaser 64:15	question 16:15	130:8 131:16	80:8 81:16 82:6
promoting 109.0 property 67:20	purchasing 149:15	20:7 84:9 85:16	222:5 225:10	82:18 83:10,22
171:11	Purdue 1:21 5:3	92:1,2 121:1	rank 49:2	85:16 86:10 89:12
	124:11 186:21	133:9,13 182:7	rapid 148:3 173:22	90:22 91:9 93:8
proposal 270:4 273:21		204:9 207:4	246:21	
	purpose 86:20 143:2 145:7 159:1	208:21 213:13	rapidly 27:2 89:19	93:17 94:5 103:5 103:14 104:3
proposals 250:12 264:5 269:22	275:2	250:7 251:14	rate 194:17 208:14	
		254:11 259:6,16	reach 53:3 81:17	105:4,9 106:10,19
propose 20:9 214:8	purposefully 113:13 123:11	260:5 263:9 278:3	139:7 202:3 251:8	108:3,6 109:15
proposed 24:9 121:2 270:7		questions 83:19	259:18	110:4 111:10,18
121.2 2/0:/	purposes 59:2	questions 03.17	237.10	113:21,22 114:22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

115:18,21 116:1,2	receptive 173:3	284:19	198:15 244:21	request 53:10,11
116:10 119:2	177:11	regarding 92:3,4	relief 76:4	53:19 250:12
120:11 121:10	recess 232:5	207:1 251:15	remain 241:8	requesting 246:16
124:1 125:13	recipient 233:19	regards 9:3 47:2	remainder 288:2	require 22:22
126:16 129:1,17	recipients 19:15	regional 48:18 55:8	remarkable 53:22	238:16 239:12,13
130:15,21 132:15	110:17,18	55:9,11 76:16	106:19	required 85:10
132:15,19 137:14	recognition 30:21	94:1 198:19 224:8	Remarks 3:2	103:15 267:14
139:10,10 142:11	51:12	239:12	remedied 141:17	requires 63:18
144:6 150:20	recognize 12:22	regionalizing	remedy 197:7	95:13
153:7 155:17,18	32:4 57:6 149:11	194:17	remember 76:19	requiring 267:5
158:12 161:2	170:15 279:7	regionally 93:22	78:19 89:15 98:1	research 2:6 3:17
167:8,15 169:22	recognized 11:14	regions 151:9	106:12 164:16	12:1 14:20 15:13
173:7 177:2,19	278:11	184:13	214:13	22:19 24:1 25:8
179:8 182:17	recognizing 16:12	register 244:14	remind 186:17	32:14 33:6,6 37:7
183:3,16 184:5,8	267:2	registrants 247:9	201:4	38:21 51:20 82:14
188:3,8 189:1,18	recommend 180:19	regular 99:19	reminded 268:22	83:3,4,8 94:2 95:9
189:19 191:18	recommendation	reinforce 117:21	reminder 111:18	95:14 99:15
194:4 195:22	17:13	reinstitute 13:6	229:6	100:20,22 105:18
197:22 200:8	recommendations	reinstituted 12:20	renamed 171:20	108:9 109:8,9
201:6,14,16 202:2	10:22 11:18 17:10	reinvest 175:10	renewal 109:20,20	119:13 120:14
202:17 204:3	19:1 29:10 43:22	reinvesting 175:12	renewed 50:6	123:14 124:12
205:12 209:5	171:4 238:20	175:13	repeat 211:12	125:12 140:12
210:2,9,10,16	recommending	reiterate 273:16	repeated 77:6	141:22 143:5,9,12
211:1 212:9	112:19	relate 46:6	replace 269:4	147:11,21,22
213:10 214:4	reconvene 205:22	related 41:17 101:1	replacement 73:16	148:9,11,16 149:1
215:8 222:3 223:2	record 140:21,22	196:3 236:14	74:4 82:12 83:7	149:9 150:10
225:8 228:7	recording 209:17	247:1 265:11	replicate 10:2	151:4,13 154:17
234:14 235:16	recovering 137:18	relates 235:12	58:16 64:22	158:1 162:5 168:1
236:2 237:4,7,12	reduce 65:15	264:4 278:20	report 8:3,6,18	171:13 174:3
237:22 239:4	reducing 48:20	relation 34:22	10:21 11:11,12	175:18 176:1
248:2 249:11	52:15 59:11,12	relationship 71:6	27:21 41:22	179:21 180:5
251:4 268:5	64:8 190:22 205:7	220:7 238:3	146:21 285:8	182:16 183:6,7,15
269:15 279:11,22	reduction 52:1	240:18	reporting 209:22	184:2,11,14,19
282:19 284:7	reductions 201:21	relationships	reports 6:14 141:2	187:2 189:3,8
285:3,15,21	ref 203:13	237:13 238:4,9	represent 120:11	190:12 193:7
reason 75:18 98:18	reference 62:14	240:5,6,19 241:13	136:5	194:22 195:22
107:12 216:2	referred 91:7	241:17 248:5	representation	197:19 198:7
217:18	228:17	250:21 280:11	9:15 146:12	203:6 206:7 210:4
reasons 9:7 75:17	referring 228:1	relatively 96:21	representative	210:17 212:4,11
105:10 216:7	refine 182:2	197:3	167:17 271:16	214:12 215:16
reawakening 113:6	refined 39:11	release 246:11	representatives	216:19 217:21
recall 8:1	reflect 113:7	247:3	84:10 127:6 158:2	218:12,14 219:17
receive 11:11	114:19	released 124:21	158:8 275:15,18	221:18 222:4,5
249:17 251:18	reflections 48:2	246:11 247:8	represented 157:20	223:2 224:12
received 9:2 14:2	reflects 14:1 162:9	relevance 224:14	161:22 162:3	226:18,21 227:2
17:7 233:15	refreshing 161:5	relevant 39:2 94:14	247:19	228:3,19 229:12
246:22 254:7	regard 43:13	95:4 188:15	representing 123:2	229:18 240:17

	1		1	l
256:5 261:17	respectively 6:5	248:20 249:5	rises 208:8	runoff 90:19
267:4 281:4	respond 11:11	253:11	Rising 191:20	rural 48:20 52:6
285:12	89:20 118:8 121:5	reviewing 7:7	225:14	rust 210:16
researchable	143:1,14	171:12 249:6,7	risk 89:16,18 90:5	R&D 190:6,10
218:13	responding 141:22	reviews 24:22	190:22 205:7	198:14 199:17,21
researcher 12:6	responds 120:22	revisit 140:7	risks 91:18	215:3 228:19
13:11 15:19 16:18	response 17:18	revitalization 50:6	risky 106:17	<u> </u>
17:8 125:20	18:2 22:10,16	rewarding 108:17	278:15	
researchers 15:12	88:16 89:2,11	re-engagement	Rob 3:17 82:13	safe 125:3
62:7 107:5 125:22	90:12 142:1,6	227:7	135:11 141:21	safety 5:1 79:2
129:10 189:12	247:16 283:20	re-look 217:10	143:14 159:16	214:2 217:17
199:6	responsibilities	re-think 212:1	181:9 182:8,10	218:18 230:7,9,14
researches 183:19	243:16	217:9	184:22 185:3,8,9	230:22
reserve 168:15	responsibility 15:4	RFA 6:16 124:21	206:20 207:5	Saharah 2:10
resilience 76:8	89:4 147:4 261:6	125:8 237:22	212:5,9 221:20	195:21 197:20
190:21 191:12	responsible 48:17	239:11	223:14,22 266:15	215:13 217:11
196:8 200:1 203:1	89:10	RFP 174:10,12,13	266:22 268:9	226:7 228:21
203:3	responsive 173:7	rhetoric 25:13	272:9 273:12	268:21
resiliency 77:20	rest 242:11 251:5	60:11	278:3 279:2 285:2	Salaam 150:2
resilient 156:12	258:10	rice 71:6,9 149:21	Robert 2:5 26:6,9	sale 149:16 193:8
resistance 203:12	restart 88:21	196:14,14	27:16 29:7 144:20	Sammons 26:11
resistant 68:3	result 58:18 61:20	rich 212:10 231:19	227:10 285:16	SANREM 194:18
resolution 12:9,18	69:19 141:13	richer 208:12	Rob's 98:15 99:4	195:7 205:9
14:5,7,9,16,16	178:11 197:10	right 16:1 19:9,17	207:2	225:20
15:14 17:21 18:11	226:7	20:4 21:7,10,13	Rock 29:12	sat 160:19 168:11
resolved 15:15	resulted 58:21 87:5	21:16 28:2 33:16	rocket 105:14	save 75:7
resonate 269:15	results 60:1 63:4,14	43:10 64:18 84:11	role 16:11 92:13	saving 75:19
resource 49:8	63:22 71:19 74:14	93:21 99:4 127:14	100:21 126:2	242:17
81:10 91:8,10,17	74:14 81:14 83:17	130:11,16 136:9	127:2 142:15	savings 197:10
154:12 170:17,20	90:20 94:12,17	138:16,18 140:14	150:14 152:8	saw 70:18 109:12
192:4 214:20	121:20 149:9	140:14 143:12	173:2 175:4	109:16 120:19
resources 8:21 15:7	150:10 177:3	146:7 153:16	203:17 275:9,9	149:19 153:8
36:19 40:14,15,20	179:3 194:5 221:5	170:13 173:14	281:1	154:11,12,16,20
54:3,13,13,21	222:14 278:14	178:15 182:14	roles 27:1 92:4,18	181:11,16 281:9
55:20 56:15,21	279:1	196:12 207:18	roll 101:21	286:17
57:8,14,16 61:11	retain 98:1	213:11 214:3	rolled 68:20	saying 61:21 112:8
63:16 75:13 87:8	retire 153:16	215:21,21 217:22	Rome 54:7,8	118:5 131:4
96:16 130:22	retreat 29:11	234:11 254:18	room 44:8 50:19	151:14 160:1
160:12 167:18	reveal 93:18 111:6	255:4 259:14	root 30:14 174:21	says 14:22 165:22
177:9 191:2	review 6:11 15:11	262:8,16 266:18	213:3	167:18 212:5
199:15 202:19	24:7 25:19 27:22	267:20 268:8	rooted 237:8	scale 69:1 79:8
223:1 237:14	28:4 34:15 49:11	270:20 271:18	round 262:12	81:17 82:2,19
269:20 280:5	49:20 249:11	272:22 273:5	RUFORUM 114:2	83:18 84:13,20 224:19
282:4	253:1,5	274:6,6 277:22	rules 90:1 235:2	
respect 185:5,16	reviewed 17:11	278:1	run 117:13 127:15	scaling 198:2 scattered 114:14
281:1	34:20	rigorous 59:4 82:4	275:14	scattered 114:14 scenario 216:4
respected 27:1	reviewers 245:11	ripe 205:22	running 5:16	SCERATIO 210:4
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

174 10		101.0 0 21 102 4	14: 10 2 07 6	100.10
scenery 174:19	secondly 130:11	101:8,9,21 102:4	selection 19:2 87:6	serves 100:18
schedule 47:7 84:5	secretariat 274:11	103:11 105:18	126:3	234:5
scholarship 240:13	secretary 44:6	109:19 111:3	self 36:8 72:12	service 49:6 121:12
240:21	sector 27:13 57:12	115:11 119:17	self-select 253:18	169:4
school 1:18 47:20	57:16,22 58:13	123:15 130:9	Senate 46:10	services 108:2,2
176:4	59:16 62:11 70:22	131:20 139:7	Senator 46:9	112:9 119:15
schools 36:17	73:1 99:14 105:11	144:7,8 148:3	send 134:15,17,18	120:15 122:5
115:22 131:10	105:17 106:12,14	151:11 155:18	192:10 212:22	128:8 131:15
science 24:18 28:21	106:20 115:9	157:18 158:5,19	270:22 277:11	136:14
31:21 36:19 50:9	133:9,11,14 146:2	161:7,7 168:4	288:1	serving 10:18
83:5,11 105:14	150:1 152:2,2	171:3 177:2	sending 189:11	11:14 97:17
233:14,16,21	155:5 173:14	180:11,13,21	270:18	session 47:1 79:13
234:1,3,6 243:4	180:2 181:19	184:7 191:22	sends 47:2	79:15,20 145:5
256:4 287:8,9	189:6 195:11	195:5,17 200:16	senior 2:15 100:18	153:4 233:11
science-related	198:1 202:15	200:18,19,21	234:5	288:3
275:3	227:4 228:4	201:14,17 212:16	sense 7:20 24:17	sessions 6:14 144:9
scientific 11:22	230:15 231:10,11	215:8 219:13	30:13 53:7 63:17	160:16 270:1
14:18 15:16 16:12	239:7,13 240:10	223:7 225:22	92:22 97:3 101:17	set 25:16 39:16
44:22 83:12,14	243:22 249:9	239:22 245:21	103:14 107:19	66:19 80:9 82:5
124:15 212:15	sectorally 238:6	246:20 248:14	137:6 142:17	86:12 97:3 133:6
260:21 261:1	240:22	252:20 254:13,15	161:13 181:17	133:18 140:1
scientifically 43:12	sectors 62:13	256:8,8,9 264:18	212:20 259:7	142:10 143:18
scientist 2:13	201:17 238:9	276:20,22 279:9	269:12 275:22	176:16 219:14
100:14	security 2:14,21	279:10 285:13	287:14	230:8 247:12
scientists 107:5	42:14 48:13,19	287:17	sensing 104:20	269:17,19 272:5
157:16 172:12	50:21 53:16 55:16	seed 154:14 195:12	137:9	sets 244:15,21
175:22	56:6 67:19 68:1,8	195:12	sensitive 203:22	267:13
scope 145:8 187:6	68:14 70:1 73:10	seeds 175:14 193:6	204:1	setting 59:5 65:21
216:8 220:9	79:17,19 80:11	seeing 25:10 53:13	sentences 221:15	76:1 101:13
score 211:13	81:1,14 92:4	65:1 69:5 71:19	separate 22:1	setup 175:19
scratch 193:20	100:10,15,17	72:16 91:15	191:6 246:14	setups 243:3
screen 23:16 192:8	101:2 102:14	105:22 106:1,2,19	247:22	seven 72:11 176:11
screening 203:11	104:10 122:3	108:22 109:7	separately 5:19	211:22 241:15
scrub 244:15	124:14 173:16	116:16 142:21	separates 61:7	246:3 255:12,14
scrubbing 263:13	190:4 204:8,13	144:17 288:10	September 19:3,16	260:16,17
searching 65:10	211:1,11,15 212:3	seen 48:3 57:13	34:13 194:6	seven-year 211:21
season 129:15	217:16 230:11	68:16,17 70:1	246:20	severe 116:22
seasoned 249:7	251:21 275:8	74:2 77:10 78:11	series 65:21 202:13	Shah 24:4 25:18
seated 170:9	285:2	79:7 80:13 190:18		28:5 44:19 61:3
second 16:3,4,5	security-related	218:5 248:2 267:2	serious 201:22	76:12 199:21
22:6 55:12 73:6	199:16	segments 38:13	seriously 25:14	274:7
81:6 82:22 93:7	see 9:19 10:5 11:13	select 85:19 149:5	54:12 142:9	Shah's 142:6
100:9 215:19	23:10 34:2,18	245:17	serological 178:1	share 42:1 47:12
247:14 268:21	37:10 39:2 43:18	selected 16:22	serve 121:12 128:2	104:3 142:12
285:7	51:4 53:20 62:5	148:8 184:12	130:12 168:4	150:21 163:16
secondary 115:16	63:7 66:12 77:17	selecting 72:12	277:8	170:22 195:14
seconded 22:8	88:6 90:16,19	267:9	served 48:21 234:1	257:16 261:5
	l			

shared 76:13 180:7	52:4 53:19 54:14	sky 39:3 167:2	234:8,21 235:7	243:22 244:5
sharing 104:12	55:2 57:7,8,17	slanting 206:16	234.6,21 233.7	span 110:7 238:9
sharp 111:14	67:20 73:10 81:17	sleep 235:18 245:9	285:8	span 110.7 238.9 spanning 195:2
208:17	83:15,17 86:6	slide 111:9 120:21	solve 57:9	sparks 119:4
sharper 286:1	87:7 92:9 187:16	129:17 200:15,16	solve 57.9 solving 15:6	speak 207:17
sharply 232:2	207:8 279:4	204:17 206:2,15	somebody 276:22	213:17 248:21
sharply 232.2 sheep 157:7	significantly 69:20	214:4	somewhat 155:14	251:22 254:21
shift 5:18 119:22	78:17 87:19 97:16	slides 171:8	169:11 277:20	284:1
208:11 280:5	112:4 199:20	slightly 85:17 93:7	soon 168:11	speaker 233:11
shifted 109:15	silos 36:22	singlity 83.17 93.7 small 33:3 57:18	sophisticated	speaking 187:9
shifting 90:2	similar 121:21	58:14 68:13 71:18	229:21	252:4
shocking 210:5	141:5 155:13	73:2,4 96:12,22	sophistication	special 101:12
shop 259:9	157:13 161:18,19	105:20 146:19	230:21	153:3 168:14
shopped 261:15	168:5 230:8	184:17 195:12	sorghum 156:19	281:1
shopped 201.13 short 25:18 77:1	255:22	198:8 205:4	sorry 56:1 140:5	specific 10:22 33:9
90:9 96:21 140:13	similarly 132:21	215:10 216:22	230:10	33:21 39:8 41:2,9
169:20 193:19	189:7 225:3	227:2 228:9 230:3	sort 27:18 45:7	77:6 85:18 96:15
234:13 277:16	simmer 45:4	230:7 231:5 267:7	85:11 104:19	144:9 146:20
shortages 287:3	simmer 45.4 simmering 44:12	smaller 230:13	111:10 113:13	147:16 148:14
shorter 39:22	simple 59:13 86:4	smart 200:8	114:8,9,16,16,19	149:13 163:5
shortly 240:3	151:1 214:20	Smith 236:15	114:8,9,10,10,19	209:7 240:18
short-term 75:13	simplistically		117:22 120:2	241:1 248:15
90:10 132:19	241:22	smoothing 208:1 210:13	122:19 123:8	252:16 266:12
245:1 278:18	simply 7:19 24:11	snap 163:10	127:15 129:18	268:4 273:10
279:1	38:22 43:19 46:6	Soci 176:9	132:1 136:7 138:9	specifically 33:5
shot 160:1 192:8	107:6	socialize 247:7	139:11,21 188:6	41:15,18 50:15
shots 159:21	simulate 260:19	society 37:20	216:16 226:13	68:12 72:10 86:11
show 9:16 10:8	single 25:6 186:1	Society's 233:21	256:3 271:22	94:13 135:7
56:20 80:22 86:21	246:7	socioeconomic	274:2,11	251:19 261:4
159:21 193:3,18	singles 258:9	171:12	sound 25:13 169:2	266:9
194:9 234:19	sister 220:13	softball 134:18	sounds 59:13	specified 252:18
278:14	sit 127:5 212:16	soil 90:15,19 91:3	270:14,16	specify 239:17
showed 51:21	250:20	191:12,13	source 121:16	spend 61:10 63:15
206:15 280:13	site 144:1	Sokoine 144:15,19	200:20	99:2 102:11
showing 157:9	sites 148:10	144:22 146:14	sources 202:20	124:18 190:17
showing 137.5 shown 89:12	sitting 119:1 131:3	147:10 148:2	262:21	spending 210:1,3,7
shows 53:14,22	144:16 212:17	279:21	sourcing 244:11	spent 28:12 54:13
94:2 111:10	situation 85:6	solely 59:16 86:4	South 152:4 204:1	55:2 57:19,19
sic 68:6 187:16	141:13 218:17	99:17	205:2 223:20	171:12
side 37:7 38:7,16	238:19	solicit 18:18	283:13	spirit 46:5 104:11
186:14 190:6,12	sit-down 28:6	solicitation 256:6	southern 70:14,15	spirited 160:18
226:3 228:16	six 194:8	256:11	114:5 194:11	sponsor 269:1,6
250:15 268:16	Skaggo 34:14	solid 144:11 253:14	soy 216:15,18	sponsored 46:8
signature 58:1 87:2	skeleton 276:3	solution 93:22	217:4	sponsorship 268:17
217:20 221:17	Skewgar 192:13	231:10	so-and 276:21	270:16
signed 64:16	skewing 178:14	solutions 3:21 6:15	space 239:8	spot 130:17
significant 32:21	skill 267:13	231:22 233:12	spaces 242:1	spot 130.17 spread 221:10
significant 32.21	SMII 407.13	431.44 433.14	spaces 242.1	spreau 221.10
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	152.21		20.0.16.66.16	
spring 4:5	start-up 152:21	strategically	28:9,16 66:16	suggests 228:21
springboard	starve 51:11	184:12	67:8 132:12	summary 5:16
281:11	state 1:19 4:17	strategies 55:3	170:13 178:15	142:1
St 203:16	26:13 31:21	66:11 67:14 81:4	179:15 180:21	summer 189:2
stabilization 91:3	147:18 148:15,21	122:10,11 147:1	studying 126:8	221:22 273:8
stabilizing 91:11	149:1 165:17	149:6 150:9	stuff 198:22 263:7	summit 51:1,13
stable 65:2	189:4 197:14	151:10 152:1	SUA 147:11	54:10
staff 6:6 23:1 44:19	206:9 225:11	197:21 274:11	sub 76:15 95:6	summits 79:18
49:21 54:14 74:21	250:7	strategy 3:17 54:22	subcommittee	supply 65:13
85:1 87:7,19	statement 276:1	55:7 76:3 82:15	41:21	support 12:1 13:17
88:10,10 97:17	states 1:1 11:5	83:3 101:1 142:1	subject 282:11	14:20 15:13 23:2
122:15 178:22	14:19 15:1 38:4	143:5,11 144:7	subjects 233:7	24:2 25:8 39:14
182:19 188:8,12	46:16 51:3 132:18	182:16 187:11	submit 17:9 28:4	42:3 77:20 96:14
189:17 226:9	147:19 148:13	189:3 212:12	44:6 270:7	99:12 112:14
275:16 284:5	166:2 199:10	274:2	submitted 8:4	113:19 114:3,4
285:1	247:18 279:8	streams 199:15	10:20 27:22 53:10	120:2 121:5 124:4
staffing 23:1 87:18	287:15	Street 1:14	submitting 269:22	127:19 128:19
87:21	State-led 147:17	strengthen 114:10	subsequent 178:4	131:10,11 154:5
stage 56:21 78:4	stations 147:13	280:16	subsidies 129:14	155:4 207:13,15
80:6 180:4 245:16	statistically 95:4	strengthening	subsidized 197:15	222:18 285:1
257:13	status 61:4 235:11	112:11,13 113:15	substantial 280:1	supported 122:14
stages 158:21 206:5	stay 85:9,13 170:9	114:4	281:15	131:10
245:6	stayed 159:18	strengths 227:14	substantially 124:2	supporting 81:4
staggering 110:5	steel 220:22	strike 227:14	Sub-Saharan 255:6	99:18
stake 11:3 27:11	steep 111:11	strong 46:20 129:1	succeeded 184:5	supportive 31:3
104:14 112:7	step 41:8 109:1	192:18 200:9	success 86:13 87:2	supposed 163:9
134:3 159:4	265:17 266:5	206:10	102:6 128:21	supposedly 244:7
stand 55:17	281:14 286:11	strongly 137:8	152:16 171:5	sure 26:6 32:1 41:7
standpoint 150:11	steps 11:1 265:21	structurally 99:11	193:14 224:13	52:14 63:16,20
stark 111:18	stimulate 54:21	structured 251:10	successes 64:3	65:8 76:9 80:1,8
start 30:10 62:9	57:4 72:10 260:8	structures 220:17	102:3 175:20	80:17 81:11 99:19
76:7 77:18 93:7	stimulating 52:3	struggles 156:13	179:8	104:7 136:17
105:8,9 171:7,8	145:12	stubble 196:16	successful 147:6	174:13 182:19
186:17 193:22	stock 174:21	student 12:7 13:9	150:12 151:6,17	207:5 209:9
214:16 225:15	Stoecker 26:12	13:12 15:19 16:19	257:12	237:20 250:14
230:16,16 232:2	storage 219:1	17:8 176:10	successfully 151:3	251:7,11 253:11
241:20 270:22	220:17,19,22	236:13 251:4	160:9	259:5 265:20
started 4:4 9:7	221:3	students 15:12	sudden 85:6	surgeon 210:16
60:21 63:11 72:7	stories 128:21	123:19,21 126:8	sufficient 36:8	surprise 92:12
96:13 171:10	storm 61:6	126:14 148:6,6,7	suggest 93:1 121:7	surprised 38:1
183:1 186:21	story 45:13 46:3	168:1 236:9,10,21	276:20	surprising 94:17
194:14 202:10	47:10,16	236:22 237:2	suggested 16:7	surrounded 156:7
236:18	stoves 238:14,16	239:4,6 244:13	18:9 24:5,8	surrounding 71:14
starting 59:6 63:7	strategic 39:11	262:14 282:6	suggesting 270:18	survey 176:13
63:21 72:18	54:5 109:6 120:11	studies 178:5	272:10	Susan 2:17 6:3,4
101:21 111:20	143:10 239:15	180:20	suggestion 45:5	44:6,8 270:19
193:19 279:6	279:7	study 23:22 26:2	suggestions 13:21	274:14 275:22

277.2 204.5 200.7	22.22 20.0 11	141.22 155.10	tachnologics 92.70	220.12 225.10 10
277:3 284:5 288:7	33:22 39:9,11	141:22 155:10	technologies 83:7,9	229:13 235:10,19
sustain 207:15	41:3 44:7 45:7	165:19 188:1	171:17 197:10	244:19 245:5
sustainability	48:8 62:6 84:2	196:11 199:4	209:8 220:20	246:2,9,13 247:16
57:17 102:2,6	96:2,8 97:9	200:3 209:6	229:17	247:19 249:10
281:2	103:22 109:11	210:13 213:1,21	technology 73:15	250:9 253:17
sustainable 58:4	111:4 131:12,14	216:5 226:15	73:16,20,21 74:4	266:7 269:9,19
64:7 82:16 139:2	131:15 136:16	227:21 264:2	74:15 80:14 82:11	270:13 271:21
159:13 169:6	140:13 150:17	276:15	82:13,18 116:15	tertiary 8:5 113:19
190:16,20 192:21 201:5 205:4 214:5	151:8 163:9	talks 49:9	191:6 198:7 209:4	test 149:5
	177:10 200:16	Tanzania 3:7 70:4	215:5,7,9 226:21	Texas 1:22 4:21,22
sustaining 109:22	202:5 244:2 260:9	70:5,15,18 71:7	227:18 234:2,4,6	thank 4:8 6:3,8
sustains 119:5	261:7 265:5,8	72:1,14 88:6	teff 156:22	8:13 10:10,13,15
symposia 219:10	268:6 277:4	141:4 144:5,12	tell 39:19 46:2	11:19 12:12,14
symposium 266:7	taken 25:7 61:5	145:11,19 146:11	88:15 104:16	13:18 17:16 18:5
270:2	67:18 73:18 86:8	148:1 149:22	160:17 168:19	22:18 23:8 26:9
sync 23:17 63:20	97:2 144:13	154:22 157:14	236:16	26:16 27:20 34:8
synergy 25:11 96:8	261:21	181:17 279:22	telling 128:5	42:18 49:11,16
synonymous 78:11	takes 97:8 162:13	288:11	166:19	50:1 83:21 85:14
Syracuse 4:19	162:13,16	Tanzanian 70:8	tells 257:20	88:12 91:19 100:3
system 5:11 24:1	talk 24:20 50:15	147:10 185:10	Ten 135:13 180:16	100:4,6 127:1
26:15 32:13 59:6	52:18 54:2 62:4	tap 194:6	tend 39:22 91:15	134:10,12 138:5
74:13 86:12	62:20 66:3 69:7	tapping 149:16	92:15	140:17 170:5,8,11
119:13,14 120:4	72:3 73:6 83:17	target 18:12 120:7	tended 94:18	180:22 181:2
120:19 130:5	86:1 89:2 101:16	132:11 136:22	tends 90:21	185:7,18,21
136:19 147:12	101:22 104:17	209:18 221:7	Tennessee 194:19	186:16 206:18,20
151:21 159:13	106:13 122:6	targeting 71:3	tens 64:21	214:3 231:16,17
183:7 184:1,6,20	140:9 150:13	targets 131:8 132:4	tenure 67:16,18	232:3 233:3
196:9 212:21	153:6 163:14	task 9:13 10:6	68:1,7 92:5 108:3	234:17 248:9,12
215:11 226:20	165:6 189:9 192:7	25:17 253:1	119:18	248:18 268:10,10
227:8	193:17 205:3	tasked 145:20	term 39:21 40:1,2	273:12 282:15,19
systems 91:11	214:10 216:13	taste 168:14	65:22 85:13 90:9	283:1,4,7,8,11,13
108:10 128:1	217:5 234:16	team 25:16 26:2,8	90:9 155:6 169:20	283:22 284:11
184:14 186:6	235:1 236:7 240:1	27:2,22 29:7	193:14,19 214:15	286:6 287:21
200:2 205:2 213:3	264:17 267:17	49:20 60:13 128:4	218:17 281:14	thanking 288:7
218:15 238:10,11	talked 29:20 43:4	170:18 186:1	terms 9:17 25:12	thanks 23:17 26:5
S-E-S-S-I-O-N	65:18 66:7 69:3,8	195:22 206:6	30:22 31:4 36:3	29:8 49:14,15
233:1	75:15 82:14 90:8	221:18 222:2,5	40:15 42:12,16,22	84:7 101:6,10,12
	110:3 167:10	262:2 285:17	43:13 92:20 93:9	127:1 221:14
	175:8,12 179:6	teams 87:16	103:17 116:5,11	233:10 255:10
Tab 12:16 18:9	188:9 192:1 236:7	tease 137:14	116:12 121:3	265:1 283:14
table 14:5,7 36:13	248:20 254:17	Tech 2:2,18 170:19	129:9 131:9 183:4	288:5,6,12
160:19 274:1	273:19 286:9	technical 48:18	183:5,9,18 187:6	theirs 69:16
284:1,2	talking 29:5 52:12	114:12 115:22	190:1,12 198:6	thematic 253:17,18
tackle 107:11	60:16 70:19 90:13	116:7 121:16	202:5 205:7	theme 57:2 81:5
tailored 256:13	106:22 116:15	131:11 154:15	216:17 220:10	102:13
take 4:10 6:2 9:15	117:19 118:4	283:5,12	221:7 226:14,22	themes 81:11
24:6,11 30:6 32:2	125:4 138:7,9	technique 89:16	227:1,5 228:5	160:14

	 			1
theoretical 177:20	think 5:17,22 7:14	190:18 192:6,16	222:3 228:13,22	ties 76:9 152:18
theory 166:17	8:19 12:15 13:4	193:12,15 198:11	229:7 230:19	180:1
246:19	20:6 23:16 24:22	199:12 200:12,19	238:21 239:2	till 161:15
thing 18:7 44:13	32:6,7,10 33:20	201:11,16 202:1,7	251:4 260:9	tillage 197:16
61:7 71:21 74:1	34:13,18 35:2,10	204:16,17 205:5	281:22	TIM 2:22
79:9 109:10	35:19 36:12 37:2	205:11,13,21	think-through	time 5:14 12:18
136:22 146:5	40:18 42:2,7,10	207:16 208:6,21	226:13	18:8,9,16,19
164:14 189:20	42:13 43:17 44:7	209:11,19 211:8	third 67:4 216:16	19:11,13 20:8,16
192:15 196:22	44:12 47:9 50:8	211:13,14 213:20	224:16	22:2,5,9 25:4 27:5
199:18 204:4	50:17 52:5 53:22	215:19 216:9	Thirty 135:13	28:3,12 33:21
211:18 214:21	56:3 57:13 58:1	217:6 219:15,15	thorough 88:1	38:1,1 39:6 41:3
216:1,5 221:1	58:15 59:21 60:13	221:21 223:22	thoroughly 185:10	43:5,5,7,7 44:7
222:12 230:1	60:17 61:8,21	224:22 226:7,12	thought 32:3 33:11	45:12,14,17,17,18
243:7 245:4	62:16,21 63:13,14	228:12,16 229:9	33:19 49:6 65:8	47:5,7,8,9 51:19
250:10 253:4	70:3 73:14 75:11	229:11 231:9,9	66:13 92:19 104:1	54:14 55:2 60:12
268:21 271:19,22	79:10 80:12,20	238:7,13 240:9	162:12 167:4	61:11,22 63:9,15
272:4 273:19	85:20 93:20,22	241:21 242:2	168:16 183:16	84:5 95:18,21
276:15,16	94:18 95:13 97:11	243:15 245:5	210:21 222:19	96:10,21 110:7
things 9:22 19:5	97:15 101:15	247:21 248:3	243:12 264:1	111:17 117:16
31:19 35:12,18	102:7,17 103:1	250:16 256:17	270:5	124:5 133:16
36:10 43:11 64:4	105:8 106:9 107:9	260:3,5 261:5,6	thoughtful 162:19	134:14 140:4
70:11 90:7 91:4	107:10 108:12,17	263:2,8,21 264:20	183:4	149:1 153:19
93:8 94:16 96:7	108:18 110:14	266:6 267:6	thoughts 30:11	159:14 160:3
101:21 106:9	111:21 112:16	268:17 269:9,14	163:17 203:4	161:12 162:10,13
114:20 118:3	115:14 116:3,5	271:19,20 272:13	265:21 266:3	164:12 190:17
130:3 139:14	117:9 118:16,19	272:14,16 273:7	267:6,10 268:5	205:21 212:6
144:6 147:21	119:6,7,21 120:20	273:11 274:8,17	273:11 283:19	214:9 217:9
159:8 160:8	121:3 123:5,22	274:18,21 275:12	286:8	222:13 227:9
161:10 164:11	124:6 125:8	276:14,16,18	thousands 64:19,21	233:6 248:10
165:21 181:12	126:10,11 127:9	278:22 279:5,16	68:21 110:10,10	252:17 260:6,8
188:6,19 191:15	128:16 129:22	280:14,22 281:5	three 52:19 55:8	266:19 268:5
193:16 208:15	130:4,15,17	282:9 284:8	94:10 106:10	274:19 277:11
209:5,7 210:10	133:13 137:20	286:10	111:3 127:13	282:8,16 287:11
214:1,2 218:13	138:7 140:9	thinking 31:16,19	131:21 135:2	288:4
222:9 227:20	142:14 143:16	32:11 37:13,17	142:2 178:5,19	timeline 235:12
238:12 239:19	146:5,10 149:10	41:2,5,15 44:2	181:6 183:12	timeline-wise
241:9 242:16	150:20 151:18	82:8 83:10 91:4	185:13 196:20	246:15
244:6,7,12,22	154:1 156:5,16,16	102:12 104:4	236:16 241:22	times 24:9 25:13
245:1 253:16	157:9 158:17,19	114:21 118:18	244:5 260:18	40:6 156:10
254:14 256:2	161:17 165:22	119:4 120:1	thrilled 57:1	159:20 164:13
257:17 259:13,14	171:7 173:8	130:19 139:5	throw 105:2	190:8 274:4
260:6 261:9,22	174:12 175:5	148:14 150:21	throwing 252:19	title 15:5 44:4 46:8
264:10 267:3	176:17 178:13	167:8 183:1,9	thrust 193:11	92:5 198:4
268:4,14 278:22	179:5,15,18,22	184:17 188:5,5	Thursday 247:8	titles 68:4
279:17 280:3,18	180:8 187:12,16	211:2 212:17	Ticora 2:15 3:22	today 4:9 5:7 13:7
281:4,7 282:9	187:19 188:4	215:2,15 216:9	231:21 233:6,13	26:3 42:15 43:9
284:14 285:10	189:1,19,21 190:9	217:7 221:9,16,21	248:12 264:22	45:6 49:17 102:13
	, - ,	,,		
	I		I	I

104.2 105.4	110.16 122.2	66.12.90.21.90.10	74.10.92.20.92.16	fd - d 25 0.10
104:3 105:4	110:16 132:3	66:13 80:21 89:10	74:19 82:20 83:16	unfunded 258:18
108:16 110:1	trade 58:5 171:11	192:5	114:1 131:21	Union 72:6 211:19
124:21 125:8	train 59:3 166:9,10	trilateral 173:19	163:22 172:9	unique 61:13 109:4
134:9 141:12	trained 59:7 74:15	trip 8:5 9:14	196:20 201:17	222:22
173:6 187:13	110:10 153:5	155:12 170:16,21	208:15 217:14	United 1:1 11:5
190:8 207:6 233:8	166:21	171:10 182:1	220:12 224:15	14:19 15:1 38:3
235:8 273:17	trainees 282:5	226:8	226:9 242:15	46:16 51:3 147:19
287:10	training 108:7	triple 65:8 68:17	245:6 246:14	148:13 166:2
today's 28:21	113:14 123:19,20	trips 132:13 171:1	270:12 281:7	199:9 279:8
told 65:6 165:3,21	125:9 126:12,13	180:9,11	two-month 18:21	287:15
tolerance 203:11	126:22 128:1,15	trouble 156:7	two-year 67:10	units 32:20
203:20 212:13	129:9 148:5	troubles 122:22	type 230:1 259:12	universities 2:9
tomato 174:21	153:12 166:4	true 78:16,19 86:21	types 123:9 221:3	36:17 57:11,11,12
178:8	177:13 178:4	94:21 164:1 179:2	223:1 229:22	105:21 108:8
tomatoes 148:17	278:10	truly 175:5	typical 157:4	110:6 114:5,12
171:18 174:20	trajectory 272:20	trust 33:2 230:8,10	U	126:2,5,7 146:13
ton 196:17	transfer 211:22	try 40:13 80:1 98:9	Uganda 76:18	166:7,9,20 167:20
tool 60:20	Transformation	99:11 111:15	94:10 98:10,11	167:21 169:15
tools 56:14 80:13	194:20	140:15 156:4	99:1,6	189:6 195:2
222:15	transformative	158:15 160:17	Uh-huh 254:20	202:14 205:15,20
top 88:7	215:10	161:13,18 162:7	258:5,15	225:12 237:9,15
topic 28:6 30:12	transient 208:9	168:22 187:17	ultimately 126:20	240:20 280:11
49:7 101:13	transition 197:21	190:7 197:17	umbrella 114:9	university 1:7,20
103:19,20 222:18	transparency	207:15 239:8	122:20	1:21,22 2:18,22
252:16 260:1	263:21	261:9 285:3	umbrellas 123:8	4:17,19 5:1,4,6,10
268:13 269:3	transport 219:2	trying 29:17 36:1		23:4 26:7,12,13
273:19	transportation	36:14 37:20 44:20	undergraduate 13:13	26:19 27:7,15
topics 34:14 43:6	181:21	60:17 62:4 64:20	understand 11:16	44:10 46:13
43:22 252:19	traps 176:15	68:21 70:7 79:5	12:21 34:9 79:3	110:20 116:1
269:15 270:7	travel 50:3 80:13	81:6,16 82:13	99:7 244:15	126:4 127:6
top-of-the-head	87:17 173:7	99:22 121:9 137:5	understanding	144:16,19 145:2
284:14	180:10	143:1 191:18	67:11 93:4 238:18	148:15 152:17
torch 277:13	travelled 70:18	218:12 221:9	understands 164:8	153:2,5 167:20
total 56:4 135:3,14	87:16 174:6,14	225:14 269:11	165:10	194:18 206:13
135:20 137:6,10	176:14 177:4	274:18 281:17	understood 159:1	225:16,17 226:5
204:10	travelling 181:7	Tufts 226:5 269:2	260:2	227:4 233:14
totally 32:19	tremendous 8:22	turn 8:11 12:13	undertake 25:17	234:7 236:7 240:5
185:22	9:21 26:22 37:11	45:21 122:10	84:22 147:5 276:4	240:7 242:12
touch 21:12 148:22	37:12 38:19 70:13	134:13 185:8	undertaken 148:9	275:7,10
274:14	150:5 153:11	206:21 223:5	148:16	university-educa
touching 153:7	tremendously	248:13 265:9	undertakes 45:2	166:15,16
town 21:9 28:11	208:5	266:22	undertakes 43.2 undertaking 9:5,17	unproductive
276:17	trials 93:16 149:2	turned 261:17	undertaking 9.3,17 undertook 8:14	69:13,18
track 19:19 26:1	282:18	turning 135:10	142:3	unravel 111:5,5
53:3 133:17	trichodermic	two 6:17 10:5 16:16	unfortunately	unreadiness 14:6
139:16,22 251:5	176:19,20 177:1	46:1 50:17 59:11	141:17 163:2	unsung 128:21
tracking 10:15	tried 59:4 60:13	59:16,20 70:4	171.1/103.4	unused 65:7

38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19 275:9 280:4 284:5	update 3:4,5 45:10 46:1 48:10,10 49:13 50:14 174:3 231:21 233:11 updated 173:12 284:8 updates 6:13 ups 207:20 upset 236:17 uptake 73:20 197:17 228:3 upward 206:14 urea 73:16 74:4 82:11 83:7 USAID 1:1 2:2,3,7 2:10,11,15,17 6:5 8:7 10:21 11:6,16 11:17,22 15:2,13 15:17 16:13 20:22 24:3 28:22 29:6 29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12
ups 207:20 upset 236:17 uptake 73:20 197:17 228:3 upward 206:14 urea 73:16 74:4 82:11 83:7 USAID 1:1 2:2,3,7 2:10,11,15,17 6:5 8:7 10:21 11:6,16 11:17,22 15:2,13 15:17 16:13 20:22 24:3 28:22 29:6 29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	284:8
uptake 73:20 197:17 228:3 upward 206:14 urea 73:16 74:4 82:11 83:7 USAID 1:1 2:2,3,7 2:10,11,15,17 6:5 8:7 10:21 11:6,16 11:17,22 15:2,13 15:17 16:13 20:22 24:3 28:22 29:6 29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	-
197:17 228:3 upward 206:14 urea 73:16 74:4 82:11 83:7 USAID 1:1 2:2,3,7 2:10,11,15,17 6:5 8:7 10:21 11:6,16 11:17,22 15:2,13 15:17 16:13 20:22 24:3 28:22 29:6 29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	-
urea 73:16 74:4 82:11 83:7 USAID 1:1 2:2,3,7 2:10,11,15,17 6:5 8:7 10:21 11:6,16 11:17,22 15:2,13 15:17 16:13 20:22 24:3 28:22 29:6 29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	197:17 228:3
82:11 83:7 USAID 1:1 2:2,3,7 2:10,11,15,17 6:5 8:7 10:21 11:6,16 11:17,22 15:2,13 15:17 16:13 20:22 24:3 28:22 29:6 29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	_
2:10,11,15,17 6:5 8:7 10:21 11:6,16 11:17,22 15:2,13 15:17 16:13 20:22 24:3 28:22 29:6 29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	82:11 83:7
8:7 10:21 11:6,16 11:17,22 15:2,13 15:17 16:13 20:22 24:3 28:22 29:6 29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
15:17 16:13 20:22 24:3 28:22 29:6 29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	8:7 10:21 11:6,16
29:16 33:14 34:4 34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
34:9 35:4 37:12 38:10 44:4 45:1 46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
46:21 48:12,22 59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	34:9 35:4 37:12
59:15 75:15 84:16 96:14 97:14 100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
100:14,19 104:17 105:1 107:22 109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	59:15 75:15 84:16
109:18 111:11 112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
112:6 117:10 120:1,12 122:2,14 124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
124:4,8 130:13,19 131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	112:6 117:10
131:12 133:8 135:4 142:8 143:5 143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
143:19 144:9,11 144:19 147:14 154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	131:12 133:8
154:2 155:6 158:9 168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	143:19 144:9,11
168:6 171:6 172:22 184:18 187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
187:11 199:11 207:12 224:9,15 234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	168:6 171:6
234:2 235:5 237:9 239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
239:15 243:9 244:17 250:4 253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	
253:21 263:1,6 264:14 265:19	239:15 243:9
264:14 265:19	
413.7 400.4 484.3	264:14 265:19
	213.7 200.4 204:3

287:5 288:9
USAID's 28:19
42:5 151:20 240:6
248:4
USAID-funded
162:4
USDA 40:15
131:13 132:18
135:5 137:3 189:5
199:6 203:15
220:7
USDA's 203:16
use 20:16 25:11
40:19 50:9 54:21
56:15 105:5
116:17 128:12
129:13 149:6
157:3 177:1 178:1
179:11 191:1
196:13,14 205:8
238:8 244:1 261:3
useful 83:18 165:16
167:5 228:6
uses 236:6
usually 18:14 166:7
utilized 215:9
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22 131:3,22 152:17
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22 131:3,22 152:17 153:5 158:4 163:8
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22 131:3,22 152:17 153:5 158:4 163:8 173:18 186:15
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22 131:3,22 152:17 153:5 158:4 163:8 173:18 186:15 189:12 205:15,20
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22 131:3,22 152:17 153:5 158:4 163:8 173:18 186:15 189:12 205:15,20 206:13 216:18
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22 131:3,22 152:17 153:5 158:4 163:8 173:18 186:15 189:12 205:15,20 206:13 216:18 225:16 227:3
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22 131:3,22 152:17 153:5 158:4 163:8 173:18 186:15 189:12 205:15,20 206:13 216:18 225:16 227:3 230:3,20 240:20
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22 131:3,22 152:17 153:5 158:4 163:8 173:18 186:15 189:12 205:15,20 206:13 216:18 225:16 227:3 230:3,20 240:20 247:20 254:19
utilized 215:9 U.C 229:16 U.K 192:19 U.N 34:12 61:4 U.S 35:8 51:9 53:2 55:13 56:20 57:7 57:11 73:11 79:11 83:11,14 100:16 107:20 116:17 117:5 121:15 123:20 124:14 126:1,7 128:21 129:7 130:22 131:3,22 152:17 153:5 158:4 163:8 173:18 186:15 189:12 205:15,20 206:13 216:18 225:16 227:3 230:3,20 240:20

V
vague 25:11
valuable 253:4
261:14 280:13
283:7
value 11:14 12:21
77:16 78:3 79:4
119:18 120:16
160:6 195:1 204:6
218:7,7,19 227:15
227:19 228:7,8,14
228:18 231:12
241:2
variation 92:13
varied 156:18 variety 9:7 11:1
25:4 27:11 145:22
147:18 152:4,11
238:5
various 11:5 27:14
31:18 43:13 146:2
146:19 147:12,13
148:17 151:9
152:2 157:19
158:7,13 161:1
164:3 183:13
212:19 263:6
Varner 13:17
vary 225:6
vast 97:21
vegetable 149:21
172:14 177:21
vegetables 174:18
176:3 200:21
vehicles 106:6
Vern 188:17
versa 164:20
versus 250:1
vice 26:7 110:19 164:20
vicinity 13:6
view 86:16 158:14
226:19 252:20
village 93:19,20
176:18
viral 177:21
Virginia 2:2,18
<i></i> ,

170:19 **viruses** 148:16 178:8 vis 275:10,10 **visible** 108:15 219:19 **vision** 186:4 195:10 226:22 visit 46:7 47:17 115:11 140:7 141:3 143:19 144:15 147:16 155:10 163:7 165:15,17 181:22 275:1 279:21 **visited** 65:5 148:10 153:2,3 165:1 167:16 171:10 173:4 174:16,20 176:15,18 178:20 **visiting** 274:13 282:16 **visits** 132:19 143:17 144:1 154:19 163:4,5 273:20 274:20 vital 152:6 287:10 vitally 275:8 voice 282:12 volunteer 284:10 vulnerable 77:9 \mathbf{W} Wachnata 98:15 wait 196:19 243:5 waiting 47:15 walks 248:22 **wall** 161:10 want 5:8 6:20 7:12 7:19 12:22 13:11 13:18 14:9 26:4 28:15 30:5,7 31:15 35:12 39:9 42:22 45:4,6,17 47:16 50:1.13 51:9 56:16 58:16 64:6 66:16 70:21

81:22 82:1 84:2 92:1 98:9 101:7 101:12.22 103:19 105:11 114:15 115:18 118:4,6,8 118:9,10,11,13 120:21 123:7 124:18 127:1 142:11 170:15 182:11 186:1,9 187:17,18 191:19 195:20 199:1,18 200:15 201:1,8 202:21 204:3,4 205:5 206:2 214:19 215:18 216:4 223:9 229:4 231:19 233:8 235:3 239:17 241:17 248:17 250:17,20 251:3,7 254:13 258:22 259:11,17 265:4,7 271:20 274:1 282:11,15 283:3 283:10 285:2 287:20 wanted 19:20 20:2 23:19 24:14 27:18 83:21 86:10 92:2 102:11 105:2 106:8 138:6 155:16 186:16 187:8 189:20 191:21 193:2 198:21 200:10 202:8 204:17 216:1,4 237:4 238:7 239:1 256:3 261:15,20 273:21 273:22 278:2 wanting 30:15 31:8 160:22 266:17 wants 31:17 219:7 227:10 252:15 warehouse 107:5 Washington 1:14

40 1 76 2 04 22	25 4 21 12 20 17	W 07. 10	60.257.12	221 11 240 12 15
49:1 76:2 84:22	25:4 31:13 38:17	weren't 87:12	women 60:3,5,7,12	231:11 240:13,15
87:17 96:13 97:10	39:1 40:14 67:7	278:13	60:18 61:4,15,18	241:12 243:6,6
98:4,6,16,19	109:3 130:12	West 55:9,10 58:6	69:7,8,22 82:7	266:8 278:15
99:13,18 100:1	154:13 155:13,15	194:12 195:4	92:4 94:22 95:2	284:4,10 287:5,22
122:3,14 158:9	191:5 197:6 213:2	we'll 21:11 96:1,2	96:20 123:17	288:7,8
159:17 221:19	219:19 238:7	109:11 131:20	160:11 175:4,7	worked 6:4 13:16
224:9 277:5	239:9 241:18	140:6 171:7 182:2	176:18 192:2	44:17 71:5 149:3
wasn't 65:7 94:21	242:14 243:11	195:18 220:7	women's 61:1,8	160:3 183:10,10
103:8 162:11	259:19 263:6	245:21 254:15	62:10 65:19 94:8	184:22 186:7,7
165:4 236:13	288:9	277:17	94:18 113:3	205:21 286:20
wastage 231:2	weak 261:19	we're 88:3 116:16	wonder 212:18	working 7:5,11
water 43:3,7,9 78:1	wealth 62:9	136:6 221:9	wondered 92:22	10:18 11:17,21
81:10 96:17	Web 66:12 67:15	271:10	wonderful 6:6 46:7	13:1 23:15 29:15
167:18 168:1	199:19	we've 45:16 131:5	47:11,22 154:11	35:21 37:6 38:14
191:1,13 197:11	webinar 247:1,5,5	131:5 219:14	156:1,2 283:17	47:21 68:5 71:13
204:19 205:6,8	247:9	249:1,6,7,14	wondering 207:12	73:18 74:7 87:11
212:14 213:3	website 20:22	254:9 271:4	255:11	101:3 116:19
215:1 221:20	week 18:17 20:14	WFP 85:5	Wondirad 165:18	118:12 133:22
watershed 33:9	21:9 27:8 28:11	whatsoever 34:22	word 21:18,21	134:7 143:21
91:6	76:12 270:12	wheat 156:19	110:2	154:6 155:1
watersheds 91:9	271:9 277:13	196:15,15,18	words 47:3 50:18	161:14 173:10
wave 72:13	weekend 277:11	203:6,10,21 204:5	74:20	175:5 190:20
way 9:10 21:20	weeks 80:3 90:6	204:12	work 6:9 7:1 8:3	205:10 219:13,19
25:9 32:19,21	134:4 196:20	White 80:2 277:10	11:6,15 13:1,2	220:2,7 225:10
44:11 51:22 52:10	246:17	whiteflies 178:9	15:12 22:22 23:18	226:4,6 253:10
54:18 57:15,17	Weisenfeld 2:19	wide 56:22 105:17	26:10,20 29:6	265:15 280:8
65:14 72:22 82:1	3:4 45:11 48:8	112:3 222:5	36:19 37:20 39:17	282:3
85:6 89:13 97:6	49:14 84:14,18	252:19	39:18 40:19 44:11	works 49:18 93:17
119:3,19 121:13	85:15 89:1 93:2,5	wider 269:17	44:19,19 75:2	93:19,20 213:15
126:15 130:6,11	97:5,11 100:6	wide-ranging	76:6 77:12,22	237:9 256:9
132:3 144:10	210:18	223:3	78:1,5 79:3,22	263:21
151:11 153:17	welcome 22:20,20	wide-scaled 209:10	90:22 91:17 92:20	workshop 3:7,10
154:17 155:18	31:3 33:1 49:16	William 1:19	97:4 106:6 107:15	140:9 141:4,5
156:8 157:13	49:19 113:1	172:21	128:18 133:14	145:4,9,13,14
159:15 162:13	222:20 248:8	WILLIAMS 2:22	134:1 137:21	146:15 150:2,16
167:1,15 170:13	268:20 281:21	262:20 264:3	143:3 144:13	151:18 152:7,11
178:15 180:11	welcomed 172:20	willing 163:16	149:12 162:20	155:11 157:12,14
198:11 213:11	well-defined	wilt 174:22 178:7	169:11 171:3	158:22 161:14
228:6,14 230:16	132:10	win 259:18 261:12	172:6 173:11	163:1 172:12
235:18 237:5,8	well-informed 28:8	wind 141:14	176:14 186:6	177:13 183:17
239:1 241:21	well-thought-out	winners 251:13	189:9 192:14	185:11 186:4,21
250:4 258:1 260:7	200:9	257:11	200:3 202:3	194:1 201:10
260:8,14 261:9	well-versed 174:8	winnowing 245:10	203:13,19 205:1	267:8 269:1,10
262:1,10,12 267:3	went 111:18 140:21	wish 112:8 123:10	213:4,4 219:7,21	288:11
267:11 271:3	140:22 145:14	202:21	220:5,5,9,10	workshops 11:2
280:1 287:19	158:18 170:4	wither 77:18	226:8 227:18	24:22 142:5,16
ways 23:5 24:15	236:6	Witte 192:14	229:15 230:16	143:3 144:16

145.17 150.4	24:5 25:20 29:12	\$1.2246.67	1050a 107.21	100.10 110.6
145:17 150:4		\$1-2 246:6,7	1950s 107:21 1960s 108:6	108:19 110:6
161:9 181:20,21	29:13 32:16 44:17	\$1.2 53:11		253:8
185:13 189:15	51:5 54:4 55:6,13	\$1.5 258:4	1990s 109:14	40-ish 245:21
191:16 193:17	57:3 63:1 64:18	\$1.9 258:18	2	400 236:10,22
world 10:3 12:3	68:18 72:4,7,17	\$100 258:14	2:46 288:14	43 52:5 60:8
18:15 28:21 29:1	74:3,20 75:11	\$13.5 123:3	20 38:10 48:21 87:6	450 245:8 249:19
30:16 43:9,14	78:12,13,20 79:12	\$2 257:20	88:2 111:4 139:17	253:2
47:20 51:20 52:7	79:15,21 93:9	\$2.1 71:3	148:5 190:2	475 56:4
55:21 58:4 67:9	97:20 112:18	\$2.5 258:4	204:22 247:20	49 3:4 247:18
67:21 72:6,7	122:10 123:2	\$2.68 53:2	254:18	5
76:14,22 80:9,10	127:11 132:14	\$200 98:3	2000 111:20 233:17	5 3:2 12:16 18:9
92:21 113:18,22	134:6 135:15	\$3.5 52:17,19	2000 111.20 233.17 2000s 109:14	89:15 246:8,9
166:17 169:21	172:8 186:22	\$4-5 246:8	137:19	5th 244:8
195:10 208:4	199:20 217:13	\$481 55:22 56:6	2003 78:15,19	5,000 71:15
212:19 217:4	242:19 255:22	\$60 200:5	2003 78.13,17 2007 51:14	50 60:10 247:18
230:7,9,11 235:16	years 8:2 16:8 26:15 38:10,11	\$968 53:12 97:20	2007 51:14 2008 51:14 103:5	50 s 110:7,19
237:15 260:13	/	1	112:3	50,000 81:18
worldwide 60:6	46:19 48:21 52:20	$\frac{1}{125:20}$	2009 50:22 51:13	500 247:9
98:5 187:6 211:6	57:14 58:21 62:8	1,000 187:3,4	54:9 63:10 80:8	500,000 81:20
worried 69:15	68:3,5 71:4 74:9	1:45 231:20 232:3	103:5 208:5	529 1:14
worries 280:3	75:15 78:21 83:16	1:57 233:2	2010 8:17 63:11,12	32) 1.14
worrying 90:14	85:22 89:15	1:58 232:5	103:10 113:10	6
250:11	106:11 108:19	10 111:4 175:11	2010-2011 172:9	6th 244:8
worst 78:21	109:19 111:4,4	194:10 259:3	2010-2011 172.7 2011 7:6 53:1	60 78:21
worth 46:14 47:9	124:2 131:9,21	10:42 140:15,21	2011 7.0 33.1 2012 1:10 25:20	60s 110:19 111:13
257:21	135:2,22 136:4	10:42 140:15,21 10:50 140:16	53:5,6,11	63 110:5
worthwhile 47:13	144:18 156:12	10.30 140.10 100 148:6	2013 53:9	
worthy 264:7	164:19 175:21	101 3:6	2013 33.7 206 3:19	7
wouldn't 69:14	184:22 204:22	11:02 140:22	21st 62:15 122:18	7 89:15
270:21	236:16 246:8,9	12 15:6 53:21 56:7	137:1	70s 108:6 111:13
wrap 80:19	250:17 255:14	97:21 236:21,22	22nd 245:8	700 172:17
wrapping 79:10	256:8	12:35 232:5	234 3:22	750 247:13,13
writing 46:20	year's 202:10 269:1 270:3	13 1:10 53:21	24 55:7	77 242:18
written 46:18 239:11 271:5		175:21	25,000-hectare	
	yesterday 28:13 29:22 30:1 49:4	13th 247:4	71:8	8
wrong 56:1 137:11	199:4	13.5 136:3	26th 270:9	8:30 1:14
		14th 1:14	20th 270.7	8:38 4:2
X 81:19	yield 177:3	141 3:8	3	80 78:18 137:12
XII 44:4 46:8	young 96:20 115:17 124:4,5,6	15 259:3	3 53:21	245:11 248:20
	153:16	15th 19:14	30 33:4 38:10	80s 111:13
Y	youthful 249:6	15th 17.14 155 3:11	108:19 236:9	9
yeah 18:6 20:11	youmui 249:0	160 180:14	300 247:21	
84:16 85:15 93:2	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	17th 246:18	300,000 74:5	90 46:19 47:18
93:5 111:10	Zambia 126:18	170 3:14	350 247:21	90s 110:8 111:20
135:10,16,16		185 3:17		94,000 74:5
221:6 223:17	\$	19 190:2	4	99 13:5
year 7:3 12:3 20:3	\$1 258:4		40 38:11 96:20	
	l [·]	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting on BIFAD and New

University Partnerships

Before: US AID

Date: 04-13-12

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &