

**MODIFICATION 1 to RFQ-674-09-025 :  
SURVEY AND DATA BASE FOR PROGRAM PLANNING**

**Questions and Answers:**

**Q.**Task 1, page 3. Design and administer a (web-based) survey instrument

Paragraph 5 specifies that the USG PEPFAR team ‘retains all propriety rights to the survey instrument...’ Please confirm that these rights relate only to the specific survey or surveys designed and installed for use by USG PEPFAR and do not extend to our underlying (and proprietary) source (developed to drive our survey platform).

**A.**We can confirm that proprietary rights in this case refer only to the specific survey or surveys designed and installed for use by our USG PEPFAR team and not to underlying source property or products.

**Q.**Task 2, page 4. Developing a web-based (relational) database

Paragraph 2 states that the programming *should* use open source technology. Is this merely a preference or an absolute requirement? The main open source database programmes operate make use of Unix platform and create compatibility issues with our MS-based platform.

**A.** Open source technology is not an absolute requirement.

**Q.** Are CV's of the available staff required?

**A.** No

**Q.** If we do wish to attach CV's as an annexure, are they from the 20 pages limit?

**A.** CVs may be added as an annexure.

**Q.** Is this RFQ a follow-on or replacement of the existing PEPFAR data warehouse project?

**A.** Neither. This inventory is not designed as a replacement for the Data Warehouse which still serves as the results reporting platform for the United States Government PEPFAR program in South Africa.

**Q.** Is this project purely about mapping the partners while the data warehouse will continue to collect results based data?

**A.** The purpose of this RFQ is outlined in the Scope of Work.

**Q.** Will the contractor be required to oversee capture of data as is currently captured in the data warehouse?

**A.** No

**Q.** Is the database expected to be able to accommodate (capture, store, and report on) COP planning data during the planning periods?

**A.** Yes

**Continuation Page of Modification 1 to RFQ-674-09-025**

**Q.** Is the database expected to manage targets?

**A.** No

**Q.** Training is not specifically mentioned in the RFQ. However, training prime partners and sub-primes on

a) methods of data entry may be important in ensuring that quality data is produced;

b) methods of data collection and collation to improve quality could be important. Is it acceptable to include this in the proposal?

**A.** Yes

**Q.** When do we expect the new PEPFAR indicators to come out, and would the contractor be required to effect a re-survey once they are available?

**A.** The survey is not tied to any indicators.

**Q.** Will the contractor be required to accommodate the new as well as the old indicators in the database?

**A.** The survey is not tied to any indicators.

**Q.** While the RFQ indicates about 150 prime partners, and 450 sub-partners in 4000 sites, how many new partners and sub-primes are expected to come on board each year? This has implications for the maintenance component over 5 years.

**A.** A limited number of new partners are expected to be added in the coming years

**END OF MODIFICATION**