

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT NAME:**

**INDICATOR:** Number and area of targeted parks and protected areas with adequate management.

**UNIT OF MEASURE:** Number/Area (millions of acres)

**SOURCE:** Based on PiP criteria/ The Nature Conservancy

**INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:**

**COMMENTS:** Criteria include:

(a) Immediate conservation threats deterred; (b) A long-term management plan being developed; (c) LAC NGOs strengthened to implement and/or assist in the management of selected parks and reserves; (d) Long term financial plan in progress and funding ensured for recurrent operation costs; (e) A local constituency actively participating in supporting park protection. Three Colombian sites have been removed from future targets.

\*Note: La Paya, Colombia has not achieved the SO, as reported in last year's R4. This has been corrected in this table.

YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
1990 B	-----	0
1994	4/4.1	4/4.1
1995	7/5.9	7/6.3
1996	14/12	9/6.7
1997	19/17.7	13/9.6
1998	21/13.5	
1999	25/18.4	
2000	26/18.8	
2001	32/25.0	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.1 NAME:** Strengthened on-site capacity for long-term protection of targeted parks .

**INDICATOR #4.1.1:** Sites with adequate number of trained park guards and protected areas specialists.

<b>UNIT OF MEASURE:</b> Number  <b>SOURCE:</b> Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy) <b>INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:</b> <b>COMMENTS:</b> Adequate number determined by needs assessment set forth in initial workplans and monitored by yearly evaluations. Three Colombian sites and one Costa Rican site have been removed from future targets.	YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
	1990 B	-----	0
	1994	4	4
	1995	7	7
	1996	14	11
	1997	18	19
	1998	22	
	1999	24	
	2000	25	
	2001	31	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.1 NAME:** Strengthened on-site capacity for long-term protection of targeted parks and reserves.

**INDICATOR #4.1.2:** Sites with needed protection infrastructure.

<b>UNIT OF MEASURE:</b> Number  <b>SOURCE:</b> Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy) <b>INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:</b> <b>COMMENTS:</b> Needed infrastructure determined by needs assessment set forth in initial workplans and monitored by yearly evaluations. Two Colombian sites and one Costa Rican site have been removed from future targets.	YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
<p>Note: Final target may change as PiP enters new sites.</p>	1990	-----	0
	1994	4	4
	1995	7	7
	1996	14	16
	1997	18	22

1998	24	
1999	26	
2000	26	
2001 T	32	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.1 NAME:** Strengthened on-site capacity for long-term protection of targeted parks and reserves.

**INDICATOR #4.1.3:** Sites with adequately demarcated and patrolled park boundaries.

**UNIT OF MEASURE:** Number

**SOURCE:** Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy)

**INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:**

**COMMENTS:** According to needs assessment set forth in initial workplans and monitored by yearly evaluations. Three Colombian sites have been removed from future targets.

YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
1990 B	-----	0
1994	4	4
1995	7	7
1996	14	10
1997	18	15
1998	23	
1999	26	
2000	27	
2001	32	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.2 NAME:** Strengthened capacity of partner NGOs for sustainable long-term management of targeted parks and reserves.

**INDICATOR #4.2.1:** Number of NGOs that have met and continue to meet the PiP institutional, administrative, and management criteria.

**UNIT OF MEASURE:** Number

**SOURCE:** Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy)

**INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:** Criteria include:

- (a) management and financial reports submitted;
- (b) audit passed;
- (c) complete work plan;
- (d) annual evaluations submitted;
- (e) personnel development;
- (f) project implementation.

**COMMENTS:**

\* Planned numbers of NGOs are lower after 1995 because NGOs from PiP sites no longer receiving intensive USAID funding are not included in planned FY'96 through FY'98 numbers. TNC is developing a survey to measure NGO capacity after USAID funding period. These NGOs are included again in FY'99 to FY'2001 planning levels.

YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
1990B	-----	0
1994	17	17
1995	19	19
1996	14*	14
1997	13*	14
1998	11*	
1999	19	
2000	22	
2001	24	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.2 NAME:** Strengthened capacity of partner NGOs for sustainable long-term management of targeted parks and reserves.

**INDICATOR #4.2.2:** Number of NGOs involved in policy interventions per year; Number of policy interventions undertaken per year.

<p><b>UNIT OF MEASURE:</b> Number NGOs/interventions PER YEAR</p> <p><b>SOURCE:</b> Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy)</p> <p><b>INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:</b> Policy interventions include those contributing to the development and implementation of improved government policies affecting park management, such as public planning processes, park zoning, park regulations and enforcement.</p> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b> The number of NGOs include not only those supported by PiP directly, but those working in alliance with partner NGOs on policy interventions as a result of PiP funding.</p> <p>The definition of what constitutes a policy intervention was made more rigorous this year, thereby reducing the planned and actual numbers for this and future years. Numbers from earlier years have not been revised.</p> <p>Future year's targets also drop, because the number of sites from which data is collected drops as sites graduate.</p>			<b>ACTUAL</b>
	1994 B	-----	14/64
	1995	20/70	17/93
	1996	25/100	42/139
	1997	50/110	49/104
	1998	50/115	
	1999	30/60	
	2000	20/50	
	2001	15/40	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.3 NAME:** Community Constituency developed to support sustainable management of targeted parks and reserves.

**INDICATOR #4.3.1:** The proportion of the local constituency aware of the importance of local park/reserve site.

**UNIT OF MEASURE:** Percent (disaggregated by gender)

**SOURCE:** Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy)

**INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:** "Awareness" includes: (a) awareness of location of park boundaries and/or zones and reasons for placement of those boundaries; (b) ability to articulate the ecological, economic, and health benefits accrued to local communities due to existence of park; and (c) recognition and understanding of the objectives of particular projects being conducted in or around the park (e.g., sustainable forestry management).

**COMMENTS:** Data based on representative site samples until methodology is mature. All sites will be sampled by 1999. Incorporation of gender into planning numbers still being developed.

FY'97: 13 sites sampled with neighboring adult population of 262,000  
116,000 adults aware; 44,000 woman.

YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
1995 B	-----	very low
1996	10%	36% (16% women; 20% men)
1997	15%	44% (17%W; 24% M)
1998	20%	
1999	25% (28 sites)	
2000	25% (33 sites)	
2001 T	25% (35 sites)	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.3 NAME:** Community Constituency developed to support sustainable management of targeted parks and reserves.

**INDICATOR #4.3.2:** Number and proportion of people engaged in conservation-oriented activities in and around park site.

**UNIT OF MEASURE:** Number and Percent per year (disaggregated by gender)

**SOURCE:** Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy)

**INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:** Examples of activities include ecotourism, small-scale organic agriculture, bee keeping, sustainable harvesting of wildlife and other non-timber forest products, etc.

**COMMENTS:** Data based on representative site samples until methodology is mature. All sites will be sampled by 1999. Incorporation of gender into planning numbers still being developed.

Note: FY'97: 16 sites sampled with neighboring adult population of 289,607.

YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
1995 B	-----	very low
1996	4200/15%	6248/11% (1371 W)
1997	5600/20%	9837/5% [2262 W]
1998	7000/25%	
1999	8400/30%	
2000	9900/30%	
2001	10500/30%	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.3 NAME:** Community Constituency developed to support sustainable management of targeted parks and reserves.

**INDICATOR #4.3.3:** Number and proportion of people involved with consultation and decision-making at the site.

**UNIT OF MEASURE:** Number and Percent (disaggregated by gender)

**SOURCE:** Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy)

**INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:** Includes community members involved with park management planning.

**COMMENTS:** Data based on representative site samples until methodology is mature. All sites will be sampled by 1998. Incorporation of gender into planning numbers still being developed.

Note: FY'97: Ten sites sampled. Neighboring adult population around the sites is 104,383.

YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
1995 B	-----	very low
1996	560/2%	200/4% (55 women)
1997	1120/4%	1188/1.7% [273 woman]
1998	1680/6%	
1999	2240/8%	
2000	3300/10%	
2001	4200/12%	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.4 NAME:** Non-USAID funding sources attained or created for parks and reserves.

**INDICATOR #4.4.1:** Annual local government and NGO contributions for park and reserve protection.

**UNIT OF MEASURE:** Annual \$US per year for all sites/Average \$US per site.

**SOURCE:** Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy)

**INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:** Annual targets determined by projected local government and NGO contributions in annual work plans. Information on actual contributions derived from Quarterly Financial Billing Reports to USAID.

**COMMENTS:** Planning numbers based on \$US needed for park protection activities at the site per year. These vary yearly depending on which sites are still receiving USAID support, how much support is being provided by USAID, and what individual site needs are during a particular year. Only sites still receiving intensive USAID support are included in calculations.

Number of sites:

1991 = 10  
 1992 = 20  
 1993 = 25  
 1994 = 26  
 1995 = 28  
 1996 = 21  
 1997 = 18  
 1998 = 17 (some new sites for only part of year)  
 1999 = 9  
 2000 = 7

Baseline: 1991 = \$179,000; \$ 17,900 per site

Target: 2001 = \$191,610/\$31,935 per site (6 sites)

**NOTE: Planning levels at new sites are comparable to those from beginning of program (e.g, 1991, 1992). We anticipate, but cannot plan, that local non-USAID support will increase due to our presence and activities at new sites.**

YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
1992	835,000/ 41,750	687,922/ 34,396
1993	1,145,000/ 45,800	1,259,806/ 50,396
1994	1,395,000/ 53,654	2,322,506/ 89,327
1995	1,705,000/ 60,693	3,100,562/ 110,716
1996	2,225,512/ 105,483	2,917,653/ 138,936

	1997	1,509,095/ 83,839	3,075,140/ 170,841
	1998	976,409/ 57,436	
	1999	348,012/ 38,688	
	2000	258,592/ 38,688	

**OBJECTIVE #4:** Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity.

**APPROVED:** 19/07/96 **COUNTRY/ORGANIZATION:** LAC Regional

**RESULT #4.4 NAME:** Non-USAID funding sources attained or created for parks and reserves.

**INDICATOR #4.4.2:** Number of parks and reserves with long term management plans completed and updated regularly by GO/NGO partners.

**UNIT OF MEASURE:** Number of park/reserve sites

**SOURCE:** Intermediate partner (The Nature Conservancy)

**INDICATOR DESCRIPTION:** This is a key indicator of a site's ability to achieve long term non-USAID support for its survival as a viable area. An updated financial plan indicates that a de facto management plan exists upon which were based the activities "costed out" and budgeted in the financial plan. These plans include the selection of the most important and feasible activities for park protection, and insure that sufficient income exists or can be realistically projected to cover these activities.

**COMMENTS:** All other sites have long term management plans in progress.

YEAR	PLANNED	ACTUAL
1990 B	-----	0
1994	4	0
1995	8	0
1996	15	6
1997	13	12
1998	19	
1999	28	
2000	29	
2001( T)	35	